

Minutes of a Meeting of the Framing Our Future Committee – To Deliberate on Submissions to the draft Nelson 2060 Strategy

Held in the Council Chamber, Civic House, Trafalgar Street, Nelson

On Tuesday 30 April 2013, commencing at 9.05am

Present: Councillor D Shaw (Chairperson), His Worship the Mayor A

Miccio, Councillors I Barker, G Collingwood, R Copeland, P

Matheson, P Rainey, R Reese, and M Ward

In Attendance: The Chief Executive (C Hadley), Executive Manager Strategy

and Planning (M Schruer), Manager Strategic Response (C

Ward), Manager Administration (P Langley), and

Administration Adviser (L Laird)

Apologies: Councillors A Boswijk and K Fulton, and for lateness His

Worship the Mayor A Miccio

1. Apologies

Resolved

<u>THAT</u> apologies be received and accepted from Councillors Boswijk and Fulton, and His Worship the Mayor A Miccio for lateness.

Rainey/Collingwood

<u>Carried</u>

2. Interests

There were no updates to the Interests Register. In relation to the agenda, Councillor Shaw declared an interest with the Nelson Environment Centre, and Councillor Collingwood with the National Council of Women.

3. Submissions to the Draft Nelson 2060 Strategy

Document number 1473905, agenda pages 3-30 refer.

The Committee discussed the report. The Manager Strategic Response informed the Committee that measures for targets would be part of the development of the implementation plan. It was noted that the Strategy was a high level document and its implementation would involve ongoing

discussions with the community through work plans, including asset management plans and the Long Term Plan.

The Committee progressed through the 'Summary of Requests for Changes' based on the submissions received (agenda pages 9 – 16 refer).

Attendance: His Worship the Mayor A Miccio joined the meeting at 9.23am.

There was agreement that the Nelson 2060 Strategy would be a 'living document' and would be adaptable to changes in the coming years, and therefore updated at various points.

3.1 <u>Submission L7 Carl Horn, document pages 9 and 10</u>

It was agreed to replace 'or' with 'and' in the second sentence of the 'Keeping people here and attracting new people' section. It was also agreed to keep the reference to 'unique' in the vision.

3.2 <u>Submission 19 Mary Ellen O'Conner, document page 14 Goal 1</u>

It was agreed that there should be no change.

3.3 <u>Submission 14, Stephen Zanetti, document page 16 Goal 2</u>

It was agreed officers were to include words about the Council's advocacy role on behalf of the community.

3.4 <u>Submission L8 Peter Lawless, document page 18 Biodiversity and Sustainability Principles</u>

There was an in-depth discussion about biodiversity and landscapes, and how they should be integrated into the draft Strategy. The Committee encouraged officers to increase reference to biodiversity specific to Nelson in the document.

It was agreed that Goal 3 would be broadened in line with the recommendations of the Mayor's Taskforce. The Committee was cautioned to only broaden the goal in line with the submissions and to be careful not to introduce new information.

The Manager Strategic Response said that officers were working on the wording of Goal 3 with the submitter, Peter Lawless.

It was also agreed to include references in Goal 3, 5 and 8 to the value of landscapes.

3.5 <u>Submission 71 Lynn Cadenhead and submission 75 Debs Martin, document page 18 Biodiversity</u>

It was agreed the changes requested in these submissions were in line with that agreed to in item 3.4 above.

3.6 <u>Submission L7 Carl Horn, document page 19 Goal 3</u>

The Committee agreed to the suggested amendment.

3.7 Submission 26 Steve Cross, document pages 20 and 24, Goal 4 and 6

There was an in depth discussion about the production of food (Goal 4). It was agreed that the targets on page 21 of the document would be broadened to include further references to how food was produced in the Nelson area.

The Committee discussed how to provide for young people within this document. It was agreed that further references to support the provision of opportunities for young people should be added to page 31 and to the background section on page 9 of the document, and other sections as officers saw appropriate.

3.8 Submission 74 Federated Farmers, Youth and Housing

In relation to the youth issues raised in this submission, the Committee noted that the same decision as that for submission 26 (item 3.7 above) applied.

As for housing affordability, the Committee agreed this was an issue to be discussed during the development of the implementation plan. It was further agreed that any wording relating to housing affordability in the document should be strengthened.

3.9 <u>Submission L16 Britta Hietz, document page 21 Goal 4</u>

The Committee agreed to the suggested amendment.

3.10 Submission 8 Jenny Easton, document page 24 Goal 6

It was agreed to add the content regarding car pooling. However, the Committee felt the request for adding fossil fuel targets would be something that would be looked at during the development of the implementation plan.

3.11 <u>Submission 12 Lindsay Jamieson, document page 24 Goal 6</u>

It was agreed that there should be no change to this goal, as advised by staff and the Mayor's Taskforce.

3.12 Submission 41 Chris Allison, document page 24 Goal 6

It was agreed that there should be no change to this goal, as advised by staff and the Mayor's Taskforce.

3.13 Submission 74 Federated Farmers, document page 26 Goal 7

The Committee agreed to add reference to 'vibrant' in Goal 7. It was also agreed that a further bullet point should be added on page 27 of the document as recommended by the Mayor's Taskforce.

3.14 Submission 6 Sharyn Black, document page 37

It was agreed to add the additional comment as stated in the staff advice.

3.15 <u>Submission L7 Carl Horn, document page 43</u>

The Committee agreed with the staff advice.

3.16 <u>Submission 17 David Watt and submission 71 Lynn Cadenhead, document page 49 Heritage</u>

There was agreement that the draft document was light on built heritage and that officers should strengthen the references to historic heritage in the document.

It was noted that the Council has statutory responsibilities under the Resource Management Act 1991 to recognise and provide for the protection of the historic heritage of Nelson.

3.17 Submission L7 Carl Horn, document page 58 and 60

The Committee discussed the 'Nelson 2060 at a Glance' table and whether it should be at the back or front of the document. The Committee agreed with the staff advice to leave it at the back.

The Committee agreed with the submitter that a glossary should be added to the document.

The Committee discussed the importance of including the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi and agreed that officers should insert references to these principles where appropriate.

3.18 Submission L4 Zachary Domike, document page 60

Attendance: Councillor Shaw declared a conflict of interest with discussions relating to the Nelson Environment Centre and withdrew from the table.

It was agreed that a resource should be developed in line with the advice in the report.

3.19 Submission 70 Barbara Graves, Safe at the Top

The Committee agreed that officers should include reference to community safety in Goal 9.

3.20 Submission 74 Federated Farmers

The Committee agreed with the staff advice.

3.21 Submission L7 Carl Horn, Population

There was a thorough discussion about whether the document should include information regarding Nelson's optimal population. It was acknowledged that such information would require further community consultation.

Attendance: Councillor Ward left the meeting at 11.00am.

The Committee discussed the value of monitoring Nelson's population trends and patterns to assist future planning. It was noted that the Nelson Regional Economic Development Agency was currently working on a population strategy. The Committee agreed the document should reference monitoring the population. The Committee also agreed to the other additions requested by the submitter.

The Committee discussed other issues raised by the submissions. It was mentioned that a submitter had noted some linkage problems in the document and that at some points it was unclear how the ten goals related to the four themes.

The Committee discussed ageing issues in relation to the document and that this should be further outlined in Goal 9.

The Committee agreed that any decision in relation to the Mayor's Taskforce should be left until the document has been adopted and the implementation plan phase has been scoped to best decide what future role they could have.

In summary, the Committee was advised that the Nelson 2060 Strategy would be a tool to help guide how decisions were made and that it would sit beneath the Long Term Plan.

Resolved

<u>THAT</u> the Framing our Future Committee includes feedback from the Mayor's Taskforce on Sustainability, in relation to the submissions received on the draft Nelson 2060 Strategy (in document 1494342), in its consideration and deliberations;

<u>AND THAT</u> the draft Nelson 2060 Strategy be amended to reflect the Framing our Future Committee's decisions on submissions made at this meeting, and be reported back to the Council for adoption.

Copeland/Matheson

Carried

	Framing
	Our
	Future
the	Committe
dr	e N
aft Nels	inutes
2	10
2060 Strateo	Deliberate on
the draft Nelson 2060 Strategy 30 April 2013	Framing Our Future Committee Minutes to Deliberate on Submissions to
ū	Ö

There being no further business the meeting end	ed at 11.23am.
Confirmed as a correct record of proceedings:	
Chairpers	son Date