

---

## Minutes of a meeting of the Nelson City Council – Policy and Planning and Governance

Held in the Council Chamber, Civic House, Trafalgar Street, Nelson

On Thursday 3 November 2011, commencing at 9.02am

---

Present: His Worship the Mayor A Miccio (Chairperson), Councillors A Boswijk (Deputy Mayor), R Copeland, G Collingwood, E Davy, K Fulton, P Matheson, J Rackley, P Rainey, R Reese, D Shaw and M Ward

In Attendance: Chief Executive (K Marshall), Executive Manager Community Services (S Coleman), Executive Manager Network Services (A Louverdis), Executive Manager Regulatory (R Johnson), Executive Manager Strategy and Planning (M Schruer), Executive Manager Support Services (H Kettlewell), Executive Manager Community Relations/Kaihautu (G Mullen), Chief Financial Officer (N Harrison), Manager Community Relations (A Ricker), and Administration Adviser (E-J Ryan)

Apologies: Apologies were received and accepted from Councillor I Barker

Councillor Davy gave the opening prayer.

### 1. Conflicts of Interest

No updates to the Conflict of Interest Register were noted, and no conflicts of interest with any agenda items were declared.

### 2. Public Forum

#### 2.1 Name of the All-Weather Athletics Track

Mr Vernon Mardon addressed the meeting and encouraged Council to consider naming the All-Weather Athletics Track at Saxton Field after the late Harold Nelson.

Mr Mardon said that Harold Nelson won gold and silver medals at the February 1950 Auckland Empire Games, and that he was team captain and flag bearer at later Empire and Olympic Games. Mr Mardon added that Mr Nelson had moved to Nelson and had been instrumental in coaching and developing athletics in the region.

Mr Mardon said that there was general public support for naming the All-Weather Track after Harold Nelson. He also acknowledged that the request to name the track after Mr Nelson may raise issues surrounding sponsorship of Saxton Field, but gave examples of other sponsored sports stadiums which had stands named after prominent sports people.

In response to a question, Mr Mardon confirmed that Mr Nelson's family would be happy to have the Track named after Mr Nelson.

### **3. Hearings – Parking and Vehicle Control Bylaw Review**

- 3.1 Mr Hugh Briggs spoke to his submission. He explained that he hoped to operate segway tours around the CBD and Cathedral area, but that segways were currently banned from footpaths in this area. He asked Council to consider allowing safe and controlled use of segways on footpaths through the Bylaw, by removing them from the definition of 'wheeled device'.

Mr Briggs demonstrated the use of his segway, and explained that it was operated by balance, and could turn in a very small area.

In response to a question, Mr Briggs explained that the top speed was 20km/hour, but that he had fitted his segways with speed limiters, with a top speed of 12km/hour.

In response to another question, he acknowledged that some people used segways for commuting purposes; however he was intending his tour operation to involve recreational use of segways only.

### **4. Confirmation of Minutes**

13 October 2011

Document number 1174066, agenda pages 1-15 refer.

Resolved

***THAT the minutes of a meeting of the Nelson City Council – Infrastructure and Community Services, held on Thursday 13 October 2011, be confirmed as a true and correct record.***

His Worship the Mayor/Reese

Carried

### **5. Mayor's Report**

Document number 1172093, agenda pages 16-17 refer.

His Worship the Mayor invited Councillor Collingwood to speak about her visit to the Christchurch CBD. Councillor Collingwood emphasised that this had been a visit rather than a tour, and that it had been an overwhelming and emotional experience. She explained that the visit

had provided some important lessons about earthquake strengthening that Council could learn much from. Councillors thanked Councillor Collingwood for her detailed account.

His Worship the Mayor spoke about RWC2011. He said that there had been extremely positive feedback, particularly with regards to the sense of confidence and achievement in the community, and he added that many managers, teams and broadcasters had considered Nelson to be their favourite host city. He congratulated the 2008 Nelson City Council for their bid to become a host city, and thanked staff for the huge effort put in since then to make the RWC2011 events successful.

Resolved

***THAT Council retrospectively approves travel and transport costs for Councillor Collingwood to attend the visit to Christchurch central business district on 19 October 2001;***

***AND THAT Council approves for the Mayor to attend a summit of territorial and regional Mayors/chairs from across central New Zealand in Wellington on 16 November 2011.***

Davy/Boswijk

Carried

Attendance: Councillor Collingwood abstained from this resolution.

## **6. Notice of Motion regarding the Montgomery Square Upgrade Project**

Document number 1179666, agenda pages 18-30 refer.

His Worship the Mayor explained that the Notice of Motion sought to revoke the resolution regarding Montgomery Square passed at the Council meeting on 1 September, and to begin the consultation process as outlined in the staff report (1143200) that had been presented at that meeting.

In response to a question, the Chief Executive explained that the proposed consultation process was a robust 4 stage process, developed in accordance with legal advice following the High Court judgment, and that the issue would return to the Council table at the end of each stage, which gave Council a large amount of control over decision-making. He said that staff would need to examine the timeframes given in the original report and come back to Council with an estimate of a new timetable.

An extensive discussion as to the merits of re-initiating the consultation process followed. It was noted that the upgrade of Montgomery Square had been an important initiative of the Heart of Nelson Strategy, and there was general agreement that this Strategy was extremely important

for the future development of Nelson. There was discussion about the importance of continuing work to beautify the CBD area.

Discussion then turned to the design of, and councillors' involvement in, the consultation process. It was accepted that if the project were to move forward, extensive consultation was required, but that care was required that a cautious and robust consultation process did not take away from principles of good urban design. Following this discussion, the mover of the Notice of Motion, Councillor Rainey, proposed an additional clause, seconded by Councillor Fulton

***AND THAT Councillors have an opportunity for input into the design of, and, the consultation.***

The Chief Executive reminded Councillors of the legal advice they had previously received, and suggested it may be appropriate to hold a confidential workshop to give Councillors the opportunity to work through and feel comfortable with the legal issues that the designed consultation process covered. He also reminded Councillors that, because the consultation process returned to the Council table at every stage, there was an opportunity to alter the process if required.

Resolved

***THAT the resolution passed at the Council meeting 1 September 2011:***

***THAT the Montgomery Square upgrade project not proceed as a Heart of Nelson initiative and no further work be undertaken in this regard;***

***AND THAT Council do not commit the funds allocated for this work;***

***be revoked;***

***AND THAT the process and recommendations set out in document 1143200 (Montgomery Square/Bank Lane – Way Forward) be adopted in order to progress the upgrade of Montgomery Square and Bank Lane;***

***AND THAT Councillors have an opportunity for input into the design of, and, the consultation.***

Rainey/Fulton

Carried

Attendance: Councillor Reese left the room prior to this vote, and returned after the vote had been taken. His Worship the Mayor abstained from voting, and Councillors Matheson and Rackley requested that their vote against the Notice of Motion be recorded.

## POLICY AND PLANNING ITEMS

### 7. Status Report – Policy and Planning

Document number 1034725, agenda pages 31-33 refer.

With regards to item 1, it was clarified that the Nelson Harbour Navigation and Safety Bylaw has been deferred pending advice from the Department of Internal Affairs.

Resolved

***THAT the Status Report – Policy and Planning (1034725) be received.***

Reese/Shaw

Carried

### 8. Portfolio Holder's Report

Councillor Reese spoke about the Earthquake-Prone Building Policy and the timeframes associated with building assessments. She suggested that there was currently a time-lag in implementation of the Policy, and that addressing this should be a priority through the Long Term Plan process. She also said there were issues surrounding the regulatory function of Council and its responsibilities as a building owner.

The Chief Executive explained that there would be an update presented to Council on these matters in due course, and the question of relative priorities and resourcing for the work required would be considered by Council in the course of the upcoming Long Term Plan.

### 9. Chief Executive Report – Policy and Planning

Document number 1165632, agenda pages 34-38 refer.

Resolved

***THAT the Chief Executive Report – Policy and Planning (1165632) be received.***

Reese/Shaw

Carried

#### 9.1 Review of Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Strategy

It was explained that the Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Strategy was required to be reviewed by June 2012.

In response to a question, the Chief Executive explained that the Nelson Tasman Regional Pest Management Committee was a joint committee set up to review the joint Regional Pest Management Strategy, but that Tasman District Council was responsible for the operation of the Strategy. It was expected that the annual operational report on these matters would come to Council shortly.

Resolved

**THAT the commencement of a minimal review of the Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Strategy occurs before June 2012 by notifying the existing RPMS and then a second in-depth review is undertaken following the promulgation of the Biosecurity Amendment Bill and the release of the National Policy Direction.**

Reese/Shaw

Carried

9.2 Regional Land Transport Committee Safety and Personal Security Portfolio

A question was raised as to whether the Policy and Planning portfolio holder ought to be one of the five Council members on the Nelson Regional Transport Committee, as the Committee discussions often involve policy and planning issues. It was suggested that the easiest way to achieve this outcome would be if one of the current members was willing to resign from the Committee, to allow the Policy and Planning portfolio holder to join the Committee.

His Worship the Mayor explained that the appointment of councillors to committees is a Council matter, and that anyone councillor could resign from a committee by informing him. He added that he would be happy to receive any expressions of interest from Councillors.

9.3 Plan Change 17

Resolved

**AND THAT an independent Commissioner be appointed to hear and make recommendations decisions on submissions to Proposed Plan Change 17 (Enner Glynn and Upper Brook Valley).**

Reese/Shaw

Carried

9.4 Independent Commissioner's Decisions on Plan Changes 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and A1

The Principal Adviser Resource Management Planning, Matt Heale, joined the meeting and confirmed that the Independent Commissioner had rezoned part of Blackwood Street Reserve West as Open Space in Plan Change 19, to act as a buffer between industrial and residential land, and to provide better access to the walkway beside the stream in the area.

It was confirmed that the Nelson Tasman Housing Trust and Habitat for Humanity will be advised that the land is no longer zoned as residential.

Resolved

***THAT the Council receive the information relating to decisions for Plan Changes 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 and A1.***

Reese/Shaw

Carried

Attendance: The meeting adjourned for morning tea from 10.51am to 11.02am.

**10. Parking and Vehicle Control Bylaw 2011 (No 207) – Assessment and Decisions on Submissions**

Document number 1170763, agenda pages 39-121 refer.

The Principal Adviser Transport and Roothing, Andrew James, and the Planning Adviser, Peter Rawson, joined the meeting and presented the report. They explained the changes to the Bylaw that had occurred as a result of the Special Consultative Procedure. They acknowledged Mr Brigg's submission, but said that segway use was a grey area, as the law was not very clear, and that this was an issue for NZTA and the Police to clarify. They explained that the Bylaw was required to be adopted by the end of 2011.

A discussion followed as to whether the Bylaw could provide for segway use in the restricted areas. It was noted that the definition of 'wheeled recreational device' was a 'catch-all' provision, but that specific devices such as mobility scooters were excluded. It was agreed that the wording of clause 12.1 should be reviewed to improve the clarity of the definition of 'wheeled recreational device' and whether or not segways were included within this.

There was also a discussion regarding the slight extension of the exclusion zone for using wheeled recreational devices, in that the exclusion line that previously ran down the centre of Collingwood Street had shifted to include both sides of the street.

Discussion also focused on the inclusion of clause 4.3, regarding cars parked continuously in a Time Limited Parking Area, and how this would work in relation to the Policy relating to exclusive parking use that was to be developed. Mr Rawson advised that this clause had been included in the Bylaw to ensure that there was a regular turnover of car parks within time limited parking areas, and that it had been included as a direct result of the consultation process. He said that the Policy relating to the exclusive use of parking spaces was separate to, and sat under the Bylaw, and that it would be consulted on separately.

It was suggested that there were several aspects of the Bylaw that could benefit from being reviewed, and that a clause be added to the resolution requiring review of the Bylaw early in 2012.

Resolved

**THAT the submissions to draft Parking and Vehicle Control Bylaw 2011 (No 207) be received (1171599);**

**AND THAT the Parking and Vehicle Control Bylaw 2011 (No 207) as amended following the hearing of submissions (1172229) be adopted as a final version of the Bylaw;**

**AND THAT Council officers be instructed to develop and consult on a policy relating to exclusive use parking spaces (clause 7 of the Parking and Vehicle Control Bylaw 2011 (No 207)) as a priority;**

**AND THAT a review of the Parking and Vehicle Control Bylaw 2011 (No 207) take place in 2012.**

Davy/Matheson

Carried

## **11. Māori Wards**

Document No. 1179451, supplementary papers refer.

His Worship the Mayor acknowledged and welcomed the Iwi representatives in the public gallery.

The Executive Manager Support Services, Mr Kettlewell, presented the report. He explained that Council had debated this issue thoroughly when preparing the Council's submission regarding amalgamation, in which Council had recommended the establishment of a Māori ward in the event of amalgamation. As a result, the recommendation was in favour of a Māori ward being established, as this was already Council's stated position in that submission. Mr Kettlewell further added that section 19Z of the Local Electoral Act 2011 required Council to decide on the establishment of a Māori ward by 23 November 2011 in order to establish this for the 2013 election, and that should no decision be made, the opportunity to establish a Māori ward would be lost for a further three years.

Council expressed concern that the report had not been distributed to councillors at an earlier date. Mr Kettlewell explained that the recent establishment of Māori wards at the Waikato Regional Council had triggered the realisation that the timeframe within which this issue needed to be addressed would shortly run out, and accordingly the matter had been brought to Council within a short timeframe. The matter was included on the public agenda as normal and the paper circulated immediately after it had been checked to ensure legal compliance with the extensive complexities of the Local Electoral Act.



In response to questions, the process for establishing a Māori ward was outlined. Mr Kettlewell explained that Council was not required to follow a Special Consultative Procedure for this issue, and that the Local Electoral Act specified the process to be followed. He said that the Local Electoral Act specifically provided for Councils to make these decisions without the need for public consultation.

Councillors discussed whether to establish a Māori ward for the 2013 election. It was agreed that this was an extremely important issue and general support for the establishment of a Māori ward was indicated. However, it was noted that this was also a sensitive issue that may provoke strong reactions within the community and that it would have been useful to seek and consider community feedback, had there been time to do so, even though Council was not legally required to consult on this issue.

There was an extensive discussion as to whether a decision should be made at this meeting, or postponed for a two week period so that public feedback on the issue could be obtained, and if so, whether it was possible to obtain meaningful public feedback within such a short period.

Councillor Ward moved, and Councillor Copeland seconded an amendment to the substantive motion:

*THAT Council delay a decision on the establishment of a Maori ward for two weeks.*

The amendment was put and lost 9 votes to 3 on a show of hands.

Councillors agreed that it was important that the community should be aware of the high level of support councillors had for the establishment of a Māori ward, and that this should be actively communicated to the community in a positive manner.

Despite the short timeframe, staff were thanked for having brought the issue to Council's attention and it was noted that this was preferable than having lost the opportunity to consider the issue again for a further three years.

The motion was put and it was carried. Councillor Davy called for a division (1189993).

Councillor Barker	Absent
Councillor Boswijk	Yes
Councillor Collingwood	Yes
Councillor Copeland	Yes

Councillor Davy	Yes
Councillor Fulton	Yes
Councillor Matheson	Abstained
Councillor Rackley	Yes
Councillor Rainey	Yes
Councillor Reese	Yes
Councillor Shaw	Yes
Councillor Ward	Yes
The Mayor	Yes

Resolved

***THAT Council resolve that a Māori ward be established for the 2013 Local Government Elections in accordance with section 19Z of the Local Electoral Act 2001.***

Boswijk/Fulton

Carried

Attendance: The meeting adjourned for lunch from 12.42pm – 1.15pm.

## 12. Exclusion of the Public

Resolved

***THAT the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting in accordance with section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 on the grounds that the public conduct of this part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists:***

Item	General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Particular interests protected (where applicable)

1	Nelson City Council Public Excluded Minutes – 13 October 2011	Section 48(1)(a)  The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(a) To protect the privacy of natural persons</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(h) To carry out commercial activities</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>
2	Public Excluded Status Report – Policy and Planning  The report contains information regarding the future use of land	Section 48(1)(a)  The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>
3	Public Excluded Chief Executive Report – Policy and Planning  The report contains information regarding a Contaminated Sites Remediation Fund Application.	Section 48(1)(a)  The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(b) To protect information that may disclose a trade secrets or the commercial position of a person</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(c) To protect information that is subject to an obligation of confidence</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>
4	Public Excluded Minutes – Resource Management Act Procedures Committee 1 September 2011	Section 48(1)(a)  The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>

<b>5</b>	Public Excluded Status Report – Governance  This report contains information regarding the sale and purchase of land.	Section 48(1)(a)  The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>
<b>6</b>	Public Excluded Chief Executive Report – Governance  This report contains information regarding the Port Nelson Statement of Corporate Intent	Section 48(1)(a)  The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(h) To carry out commercial activities</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>
<b>7</b>	Due Diligence Nelson School of Music and Theatre Royal	Section 48(1)(a)  The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(c) To protect information that is subject to an obligation of confidence</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>
<b>8</b>	2015 FIFA Under 20s World Cup – Hosting Opportunity	Section 48(1)(a)  The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(g) To maintain legal professional privilege</li> </ul>
<b>9</b>	Statements of Expectation for Nelmac, The Suter and Tasman Bays Heritage Trust 2012/2013	Section 48(1)(a)  The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(a) To protect the privacy of natural persons</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>
<b>10</b>	Due Diligence Report	Section 48(1)(a)	The withholding of the

	Kahurangi Employment Trust	The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(a) To protect the privacy of natural persons</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(b) To protect information that may disclose a trade secrets or the commercial position of a person</li> </ul>
11	Audit Risk and Finance Committee Public Excluded Minutes – 18 October 2011	Section 48(1)(a) The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(a) To protect the privacy of natural persons</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(h) To carry out commercial activities</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>
12	Economic Development Committee – 9 August and 18 October 2011	Section 48(1)(a) The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Section 7(2)(c) To protect information that is subject to an obligation of confidence</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(h) To carry out commercial activities</li> <li>• Section 7(2)(i) To carry out negotiations</li> </ul>

***AND THAT according to section 48(5) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, Mr Graeme Thomas remain after the public has been excluded, for item 7 of the public excluded agenda (Due Diligence Nelson School of Music and Theatre Royal) and item 10 of the public excluded agenda (Due Diligence Report Kahurangi Employment Trust), as he has knowledge that will assist the Council.***

Rackley/Davy

Carried

The meeting went into public excluded session at 1.16pm and resumed in public session at 3.17pm, during which time a resolution was passed in accordance with Standing Orders paragraph 3.3.7 to extend the duration of the meeting beyond 6 hours.

### **13. Re-admittance of the Public**

Resolved

***THAT the public be re-admitted to the meeting.***

Boswijk/Collingwood

Carried

### **14. Regional Public Transport Plan**

Document number 1164279, agenda pages 122-168 refer.

The Principal Adviser Transport and Roading, Andrew James, presented the report.

There was extensive discussion about the submissions that had been made regarding sustainability and fuel efficiency of buses, as well as public transport demand management, and how these had been captured within the Regional Public Transport Plan. Mr James explained that the Plan had been altered following consultation to require at least four new vehicles, and that buses would be required to comply with the strict EURO5 standard. Mr James also advised that the budget for travel demand management could be addressed through the Transport Asset Management Plan and the Long Term Plan process.

Discussion also considered how the Regional Transport Plan and the 'Framing our Future' Sustainability Vision aligned together. Councillors were reminded that 'Framing our Future' had not yet been adopted, but that there would eventually be a trickle-down effect into Council policy. Mr James also noted that the Regional Land Transport Strategy also included important sustainability messages regarding public transport, and that the Regional Public Transport Plan was just one element of Council's Transport Strategy.

In response to a question, Mr James explained that the contract for public transport services had been tendered prior to the adoption of the Regional Public Transport Plan, because public transport services were required to start on a particular date, and there was a lead-in time to ensure services were ready to start. He confirmed that the public transport service contract was flexible and would be reviewed on an annual basis.

Resolved

**THAT Council adopt the Regional Public Transport Plan 2011 (1074116).**

Davy/Rackley

Carried

Attendance: Councillor Reese abstained from voting.

## **15. Public Forum Discussion**

Councillors discussed Mr Mardon's request that the All-Weather Athletic Track at Saxton Field be named after the late Harold Nelson. It was acknowledged that there may be difficulties in achieving this due to the sponsorship agreements regarding Saxton Field, and an alternative suggestion was made, of naming one of the driveways within the Saxton Field complex after Mr Nelson.

Councillors discussed this alternative, and general support for naming a driveway within Saxton Field after Mr Nelson was indicated. However, it was noted that there was a particular process to follow for naming streets, and, that there may be implications surrounding sponsorship agreements that required clarification before a decision could be made. It was observed that there were also other Council facilities outside of Saxton Field for which advice regarding naming may be required, and noting that a report would come back to Council on the issues in due course.

## **16. Wastewater Asset Management Plan 2012 – 2022**

Document number 1162924, agenda pages 169-171 refer.

Councillors acknowledged the large amount of work staff had put into the various asset management plans.

Resolved

**THAT the Wastewater Asset Management Plan 2012-2022 (RAD1159591) be adopted as the version that will inform the Long Term Plan 2012-2022.**

Boswijk/Fulton

Carried

## **REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES**

### **17. Regional Transport Committee Minutes – 6 October 2011**

Document number 1169896, agenda pages 172-179 refer.

Resolved

***THAT the minutes of a meeting of the Nelson Regional Transport Committee, held on 6 October 2011, be received.***

Davy/Collingwood

Carried

**18. Resource Management Act Procedures Committee Minutes – 1 September 2011**

Document number 1169060, agenda pages 180 - 181 refer.

Resolved

***THAT the minutes of a meeting of the Resource manage Act Procedures Committee, held on 1 September 2011, be received.***

Reese/Boswijk

Carried

**GOVERNANCE ITEMS**

**19. Status Report - Governance**

Document number 1034743, agenda page 182 refer.

Resolved

***THAT the Status Report - Governance (1034743) be received.***

Boswijk/Davy

Carried

**20. Chief Executive Report - Governance**

Document number 1177086, agenda page 183 refer.

Resolved

***THAT the Chief Executive Report - Governance (1177086) be received.***

Boswijk/Rackley

Carried

**21. Change to Timing of Council Meetings for 2012**

Document number 1165910, agenda pages 184-197 refer.

There was a brief discussion regarding the date of the first Council meeting for 2012, and whether Council meetings should commence a



week earlier. It was agreed to leave the starting date of the first Council meeting at 31 January 2012.

Resolved

***THAT the draft calendar (1179791) for 2012 be adopted.***

Davy/Collingwood

Carried

## REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

### 22. Audit Risk and Finance Committee – 18 October 2011

Document number 1175353, agenda pages 198-201 refer.

Resolved

***THAT the minutes of a meeting of the Audit Risk and Finance Committee, held on 18 October 2011, be received.***

Collingwood/Boswijk

Carried

### 23. Economic Development Committee – 9 August and 18 October 2011

Document number 1140418 and 1175408, agenda pages 202-210 refer.

Resolved

***THAT the minutes of a meeting of the Economic Development Committee, held on 9 August and 18 October 2011, be received.***

Fulton/Matheson

Carried

### 24. Hearings Panel – 5 October 2011

Document number 1168363, agenda pages 211-213 refer.

Resolved

***THAT the minutes of a meeting of the Hearings Panel, held on 5 October 2011, be received.***

Collingwood/Davy

Carried

It was noted that the 15 October edition of Live Nelson contained an article about the application by Auckland Point School students to the name the Will Watch Way extension. A suggestion was made that an

interpretation panel be erected as soon as possible, as this was the second group of young people that had pursued this idea.

Resolved

***THAT the Hearing Panel recommend to the Council that an interpretation panel at an appropriate location be erected to explain the historical significance of the area and the Te Pa o Pohe site, subject to funding considerations through the Long Term Plan 2012-2022 process.***

Collingwood/Davy

Carried

There being no further business the meeting ended at 3.58pm.

Confirmed as a correct record of proceedings:

\_\_\_\_\_ Chairperson \_\_\_\_\_ Date