



# AGENDA

**Ordinary meeting of the  
Nelson City Council**

**Framing Our Future Committee  
Hearing of Submissions to the draft Nelson 2060  
Strategy**

**Tuesday 9 April 2013  
Commencing at 9.00am  
Council Chamber  
Civic House  
110 Trafalgar Street, Nelson**

Membership:

Councillors Derek Shaw and Mike Ward (Co-Chairpersons), His Worship the Mayor Aldo Miccio, Councillors Ian Barker, Ali Boswijk (Deputy Mayor), Gail Collingwood, Ruth Copeland, Eric Davy, Kate Fulton, Paul Matheson, Jeff Rackley, Pete Rainey and Rachel Reese

**9 April 2013**

1483111

---

Page No.

## **Apologies**

### **1. Interests**

- 1.1 Updates to the Interests Register
- 1.2 Identify any conflicts of interest in the agenda

### **2. Confirmation of Order of Business**

### **3. Introduction**

### **4. Hearing of Submissions to the draft Nelson 2060 Strategy**

#### 4.1 Hearing Schedule

A copy of the Hearing Schedule will be available at the meeting.

#### 4.2 Index of Submissions

Document number 1483401

**3-6**

#### 4.3 Copy of Submissions

Document number 1483401

**7-197**

**Framing our Future  
Nelson 2060 Strategy  
March 2013  
Submissions**

**Framing our Future  
Nelson 2060 Strategy**

Page Number	Submission Number	Name	Organisation	To be heard Y / N
1	1	Colin Bass	BusinessLAB Ltd	N
3	2	Roger Youmans		N
4	3	Earle Norriss	Brook Waimarama Sanctuary	N
5	4	Marion McNicoll		N
7	5	Beth Mills		N
10	6	Sharyn Black	Initiator of Civics Education Group Nelson	Y
13	7	Mark Atkinson	New Zealand Defence College	N
15	8	Jenny Easton		N
18	9	Kane Hogan		N
19	10	Queenie Ballance	National Council of Women of New Zealand (Inc) Nelson Local Issues Group	N
23	11	Beate Baden	Nelson TPPA Free	N
27	12	Lindsay Jamieson		N
28	13	Timothy Wright		N
29	14	Stephen Zanetti		N
30	15	Robin Hall		N
33	16	Diana Maskill	Nelson TPPA Free	N
34	17	David Watt	NZ Historic Places Trust	N
38	18	Gwynn Davies		N
44	19	Mary Ellen O'Connor		Y
47	20	Brendan Santorini		Y
51	21	Jay Berkow	Nelson TPPA Free	N
56	22	Graeme O'Brien	Nelson TPPA Free	Y
61	23	Rach Large	Nelson Youth Council	Y
63	24	Venus Biesold	Nelson TPPA Free	N
65	25	Christine Grove		Y
67	26	Steve Cross		Y
72	27	Rosemary Callaghan		N
77	28	Nigel Freeman		N
79	29	Gwendolyn Bray		Y
81	30	Roger Bray		Y
84	31	Annie		Y
86	32	Bill Leonard		N

**Framing our Future  
Nelson 2060 Strategy**

Page Number	Submission Number	Name	Organisation	To be heard Y / N
88	33	Janet Whittington	Stoke Sunday Market	Y
91	34	Helen Black		Y
93	35	Sabine Mueller	Nelson TPPA	Y
95	36	J Brandt		N
97	37	Penny Molnar		N
100	38	Helmut Janssen	Adaptive Resource Management Ltd	Y
102	39	Colin Robertson		N
104	40	Arny Ahnfeldt	Current Generation Ltd	N
106	41	Chris Allison	Bicycle Nelson Bays	Y
108	42	Joachim Lang	Nelson TPPA Free	Y
110	43	Paul Bieleski		N
112	44	S Standish		N
113	45	Kindra Douglas	Community-Led Development Nelson Network	N
115	46	Kindra Douglas	Victory Community Health Centre	N
118	47	Kay Hunter	U3ANelson (University of the 3rd Age)	Y
120	48	Lindsay Hunter	SeniorNet Nelson	Y
122	49	Jonathan Pivac	Nelson TPPA Free	Y
124	50	Verena Gruner	Riverside Community	Y
126	51	Sandra Rowlands		N
128	52	Euan Coad		N
130	53	Charles Mersmans	RCTB	N
132	54	Klaus Wendlandt	Nelson TPPA Free	N
134	55	Florian Pruls		N
136	56	Barbara Cole		N
138	57	Kathryn Francis		N
140	58	Cheryl Lasseau		N
142	59	Jenny Harris-Mackay		Y

**Framing our Future  
Nelson 2060 Strategy**

Page Number	Submission Number	Name	Organisation	To be heard Y / N
144	60	Cameron Harris-Mackay		Y
146	61	Masen Vincent		N
148	62	Tristan Vincent		N
150	63	Frank Ryan	Nelson TPPA Free	Y
152	64	Joan Skurr		N
154	65	Karen Driver	Nelson Environment Centre	Y
156	66	Jacqueline Gibson		Y
161	67	Glenys MacLellan		Y
163	68	Bill Sayer		N
171	69	John Morrison		N
176	70	Barbara Graves	Safe at the Top	N
179	71	Lynn Cadenhead		N
180	72	Dr Ed Kiddle		Y
184	73	Lisa Gant		N
186	74	Michael Bennett	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Y
190	75	Debs Martin		Y

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Friday, 1 March 2013 11:40 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Categories:** Yellow Category

-----  
**From:** [colin@businesslab.co.nz](mailto:colin@businesslab.co.nz)[SMTP:COLIN@BUSINESSLAB.CO.NZ]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 01, 2013 11:39:43 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Colin Bass

**Organisation (optional)**

BusinessLAB Ltd

**Your email address**

[colin@businesslab.co.nz](mailto:colin@businesslab.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

021424952

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Yes it is, very aspirational

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes I do. An innovation precinct for example should align itself with the aspirations of the 2060 plan.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Involved in discussions around an innovation hub / precinct

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

Yes

**5. What else could help you to take action**

Other key stakeholders communicating their commitment to the aspirations of the plan - a show of support.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

I just hope the elections this year do not effect the focus on the 2060 plan.

1

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**



---

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Monday, 11 March 2013 9:59 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Nelson 2060

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

---

**From:** Roger Youmans[SMTP:TIGA@KINECT.CO.NZ]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 11, 2013 9:58:54 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Nelson 2060  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

I think all your ( or should I say our) goals are good. But, why in goal eight switch to Maori? You have had to translate that, otherwise no one would know what you were talking about. Maori have failed miserably to retain their own language, why should the rest of New Zealanders spend millions to promote it? The Government and Local Bodies have spent huge sums on double labelling all their offices and services, even our passports are also in Maori. Much to the amusement of the Australians and others. We hear radio announcers gabbling Maori, when no one knows what they are talking about. Maori is a primitive language with no use in the modern world. If Maori themselves can not revive it, why should the rest of us try. Yes we should try to help them overcome their violent behaviour particularly on the domestic front, also their crime rate, throwing money at it will not fix it.

While we are on 'special' treatment for Maori, every one should be consulted on Government and local bodies intentions, why are Maori again especially consulted. You will never achieve racial harmony in this country until every one is treated equally. No special rights for any one. As for the Maori ownership of the – foreshore and sea bed, -all water, and now even radio waves, these ridiculous claims should be put in the rubbish tin, where they belong.

Roger Youmans.

From: Submissions  
 Sent: Tuesday, 19 March 2013 8:36 a.m.  
 To: Administration Support  
 Subject: FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

Follow Up Flag: Follow up  
 Flag Status: Completed

-----  
 From: Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
 Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 8:36:27 AM  
 To: Submissions  
 Subject: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
 Auto forwarded by a Rule

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Earle Norriss

**Organisation (optional)**

Brook Waimarama Scantuary

**Your email address**

[ej.norriss@xtra.co.nz](mailto:ej.norriss@xtra.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

5476817

**Your postal address**

377 Suffolk Road  
 Stike

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Yes well thought out. The minority who think the council's function is core activities need to be resisted.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

I would like to but health issues make that difficult

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Brook Waimarama Scantuary

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

**5. What else could help you to take action**

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 19 March 2013 4:18 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 19, 2013 4:18:16 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Marion McNICOLL

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[djmnicoll@xtra.co.nz](mailto:djmnicoll@xtra.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

006435477334

**Your postal address**

389 Suffolk Road, Stoke

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Fairly standard vision

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

In an average way.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Recycling, reducing consumerism, growing trees.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

Not especially

**5. What else could help you to take action**

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Capping population should be debated more seriously.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**4**

**From:** Sarah Yarrow  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 20 March 2013 9:48 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** Nelson 2060 submission

**Categories:** Yellow Category

Nelson 2060 submission below

---

**From:** Beth Mills [<mailto:Beth.Mills@nmit.ac.nz>]  
**Sent:** Monday, 18 March 2013 4:47 p.m.  
**To:** Lynne Hall  
**Subject:** Re: Only 10 days to go - Nelson 2060 feedback

Hi Lynne.

To answer the feedback questions...

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

It holds stretchy goals that I believe are in keeping with some of the directions that Nelson is already moving.

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?  
Yes, both personally and professionally.

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Playing my part personally by supporting youth leadership via the Sea Cadets, making sure I am part of some key decisions locally (like this vision strategy), considering the sustaining principles mentioned in the strategy and trying to grow my own food. Professionally, I support NMIT in the same sustaining principles and set up activities with my students (Trainee Rangers) that support the environment and community - such as weed and track work at the Brook, building bike pathways and fencing, etc.

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

Yes - I think it provides good guidelines as long as it is kept in the forefront of organisations' minds.

5. What else could help you to take action?

Good marketing locally - awareness

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

Yes - I already am.

I hope that helps.

Cheers

Beth Mills

5

On 18/03/2013, at 3:42 PM, "Lynne Hall" <[lynne.hall@ncc.govt.nz](mailto:lynne.hall@ncc.govt.nz)> wrote:

<image001.png>

## Have your say on Nelson 2060

### Only 10 days to go!

The draft Nelson 2060 strategy is still open for feedback. You can download the draft strategy [here](#), view it in a handy online 'flipbook' or there are hard copies at the Customer Service Centre or any of the Libraries for a read.

### Tell us what you think

Nelson 2060 is still in draft form so we'd love to hear your thoughts. Even a quick email to say whether you like it or not would be great.

Feedback is open until 28 March.

You can read more and complete the form online [here](#), email your thoughts to [submissions@ncc.govt.nz](mailto:submissions@ncc.govt.nz) or put pen to paper and post to Nelson 2060, Nelson City Council, PO Box 645, Nelson 7040.

If you want to know more about the strategy please contact Sarah Yarrow, [sarah.yarrow@ncc.govt.nz](mailto:sarah.yarrow@ncc.govt.nz), 545 9742 or Karen Lee [karen.lee@ncc.govt.nz](mailto:karen.lee@ncc.govt.nz), 546 0339.

Kind regards

Sarah

**Sarah Yarrow**  
Policy Adviser

Nelson City Council  
*te kaunihera o whakatū*  
PO Box 645 Nelson 7040 New Zealand  
03 545 8742  
[www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz](http://www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz)

*Please consider the environment before printing this email*

Get it done online at [www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz](http://www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz)  
◆ [pay your invoice](#) ◆ [have your say](#) ◆ [view scenic Nelson webcam](#) ◆ [reserve a library item](#) ◆ [look for maps](#) ◆  
[subscribe to a rss feed](#) ◆ [Find us on Facebook](#)

You are prohibited from distributing this E-mail without permission. If you have received this E-mail by mistake or are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and erase the message immediately. This E-mail message and any accompanying data is confidential and may be legally privileged. The Nelson City Council does not warrant or guarantee that this communication is free of errors, virus or interference.

This e-mail has been scanned and cleared by MailMarshal.

CAUTION: This e-mail message and accompanying data may contain information that is confidential and subject to privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message or data is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately by return e-

mail and delete all material you have incorrectly received. Nelson Marlborough Institute of Technology <sup>5</sup> does not accept any liability for the individual opinions of staff members expressed within this e-mail message. Thank you.

RECEIVED

19 MAR 2013

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission  
writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Office Use Only

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Service

Submission  
Number

62

File Ref		INITIALS	
----------	--	----------	--

Name Sharyn Black

Daytime phone 03 5484461

Address 3/30 Tasman St

Organisation represented (if applicable) Individual (an initiator of Civics Education)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?  YES  NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information  
Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be  
included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

See attachment 2pp

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form



## Feedback –What will Nelson be Like in 50 years, Nelson 2060

“Council as the ultimate leader has not identified itself”

The democratic representative, inclusive and transparent processes must be the primary goal, not leadership. Empowerment in goal one is the operative word.

- On re-reading the Draft of Nelson 2060 after a break I am overwhelmed that the vision and goals are nebulous romanticism, in the tradition of communities and ideals that sprang up in the UK in opposition to the industrial cities such as Manchester, and the hell-hole of parts of London from the 1800s. (“The outstanding lifestyles immersed in nature and strong communities”.)
- The idea of a strong economy built on knowledge and understanding has everyone pay (p.17) without any real recognition of disparities between rich and poor, the increasing electronic divide, and that groups of people will exist who need subsidies. The document wants voluntarism from the people without job creation references. True, it does say “eliminate conditions that undermine people’s capacity to meet their basic needs”, but that is not direct support to the poor in society, only a manipulation of conditions by a management of leaders.
- The Draft also mentions “inclusive and transparent processes”. This phrase must be lifted up into a primary goal else have the whole of the civics phrases (recognised as being scattered through the Draft), dealt with quite separately to sustainability of the environment.
- The environmental sectors are sound and should be part of any today’s Council forward planning to do with water, sewage and the like. They can stand alone. If we put them forward with such emphasis, which I support, we should match that weight with a representative local democracy that identifies the management structure and means for representation. I know the objective is to find new means of collaboration. For the moment they are electronic call centre interviews with people who put themselves forward as a panel of interviewees, and in the future it could be on-line polling. This neutralizes group mobilization, and is a marketing plan for exactly that.
- The tone of the Draft is middle class upwardly mobile environmentally focused affluence and leadership without representation. Representation should be added to inclusive and transparent processes – empowerment and representation and leadership dropped down the priorities and placed within a corporate or representative model. I have heard it is an ageless document. Children are given no mention but schools, sport and arts societies are as the means to reach the young, which is just continuing to have adult contact.
- No civil structure is offered for the means by which people’s needs are perceived. There is no corporate or representative model and no town plan taking Nelson forward. This is a great oversight given elections are drawing close. It only has fine bones of a potential brief

## 2.

that in town planning history is a good 30 years old, even dating back to the garden city town planning movement of the Americans. No such planner was in the Taskforce.

- Council itself as the ultimate leader has not identified itself and that is a gaping hole. We know central government wants control and has passed the new local government law to achieve that. We need to know for the future what management frame our "leaders" will front with to central authority. Can Council in fact have one or is it seamless management from the centre? "Partnerships" can be with central government itself and corporations. The lack of a council model for itself was pre-emptive of the change in legislation and must now be created as an outcome of taking submissions. We must have in the Draft the exemplary model of inclusive and transparent representative democracy as the first goal of any Vision towards 2060. That has not been bought forward as a primary objective from the original brief.
- I appreciate that we can all engage with the environment through the mythologies, mixed race genealogies and knowledge of Maori with Chatham Islanders as the first peoples. However both Maori and Pakeha are imbued with the Western and Eastern traditions of the many cultures we have and can expect to have (for instance the biblical and academic histories of the ancient Egyptians, Persians, Arabs and Greeks, and the Magna Carta). This combined background must be recognised as valid knowledge in the Draft that we also seek, not just romanticized guardianship and protection to place Maori political authority in the "charter" with the Treaty at a level already voted against by the people. The document asserts Maori authority in a way it barely approaches for the Council itself, except to say Council is the ultimate leader. People together however can be guardians and protectors with scientific knowledge, not necessarily cultural.
- As said, another whole series of conversations need to be had around civil society once the Draft is accepted and I urge Council to set them up as an outcome of calling for community submissions with the intention of empowering not leading its citizens.
- The sense one gets is that the conversations have already been had, the outcome is a foregone conclusion; it is a leadership model central government wants and submissions will change little. That the people have been consulted and they have not considered a society wider than the narrow range of management skills represented in the Taskforce, and the university professor's presentation was elitist and pre-emptive of the change to the local government act. Council can challenge central government with this Draft, and go once again to the community to include not the managers of the lower income population, but the people themselves. They have not represented themselves to date. That is the divide.

Sharyn Black (initiator of Civics Education Group – Nelson), 3/30 Tasman Street, The Wood, Nelson 7010

Phone: 03 5484461/TEXT 021 201 5038

Ruth Killman

---

**From:** Sarah Yarrow  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 20 March 2013 9:47 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Unclassified

**Categories:** Yellow Category

Nelson 2060 submission below

**Sarah Yarrow**  
Policy Adviser

Nelson City Council  
*te kaunihera o whakatū*  
PO Box 645 Nelson 7040 New Zealand  
03 545 8742  
[www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz](http://www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz)

*Please consider the environment before printing this email*

---

**From:** ATKINSON MARK, SGT [<mailto:MARK.ATKINSON@nzdf.mil.nz>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 20 March 2013 8:30 a.m.  
**To:** Sarah Yarrow  
**Subject:** Unclassified

Hello Sarah,

Firstly, thank you for the e-mail allowing me to contact you and offer my views and opinions.

As I do not live in Nelson, yet anyway, I am not sure I am totally qualified to speak. However the long term plan that my wife and I have is to settle in Nelson having visited the location on a number of times from our home town of Blenheim.

The goals that you have set are very interesting and show definite characters of development, sustainability and foresight. Of course the time frame involved could be both an asset and/or hindrance to your goals. The timeframe gives you a very long period to work on the goals; however it could be a hindrance due to the uncertainty and unknowns of the future. How you are able to critically qualify and quantify the goals could be just as big a challenge as the goals themselves.

From my position, as an observer, I welcome the work you and your team are undertaking to maintain and improve Nelson not only for the local residents but also for the visitors who travels through Nelson but especially for the environment that they all depend on.

I would be very happy to review, discuss or be a sounding board for matters pertaining to "Nelson 2060" Environmental concerns having studied this field and had a wealth of experience in sustainability and education.

Regards,  
Mark Atkinson

**SGT Mark Atkinson, BSc (Hons) Env Stud, Cert. Ed.**

LDD (South) 2

New Zealand Defence College

DDI: +64 (03) 577 1729, M: +64 027 724 8279

Internal 346 7729

[www.nzdf.mil.nz](http://www.nzdf.mil.nz) | NZDC intranet <http://org/hqnzdf-ted/default.aspx>

GTW, RNZAF Base Woodbourne, Blenheim, 7206

Postal:c/- RNZAF Base Woodbourne, Private Bag, Blenheim, New Zealand

The information contained in this Internet Email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information, but not necessarily the official views or opinions of the New Zealand Defence Force. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this message or the information in it. If you have received this message in error, please Email or telephone the sender immediately.

---

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 20 March 2013 10:01 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Submission on 2060  
**Attachments:** Submission on Nelson 2060.docx  
  
**Categories:** Yellow Category

-----  
**From:** jenny easton[SMTP:JENNYM.EASTON@GMAIL.COM]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 20, 2013 10:01:17 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Submission on 2060  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

Hello Sarah,  
I couldnt resist adding some comments in brackets, because they are really next steps.  
I am keen to stay involved in this process.

regards  
Jenny

--  
Jenny Easton  
29 View Mount, Stoke, Nelson  
03 547 1613

Submission on Nelson 2060

8

By Jenny Easton

29 View Mount, Stoke

Phone 5471613

I dont want to be heard.

**Firstly:** I fully support this strategy, and recognise, with gratitude, the hard work that has gone into creating a framework of policies to get from the current way of thinking, to how we want it to be in fifty years from now. And also commend you on a beautifully presented document with lots of great photos, especially of children who will be the recipients of this vision.

**Page 7** Blue box states that the 2060 strategy requires wider regional response.

This is really important and its impossible to think about Nelsons future without including the TOS region of at least Tasman District, and Marlborough is also important. There were regional people on the Task force and it would be good to know how they saw this NCC initiative being assisted, or better still joined in, by neighbours. The councils dont have to amalgamate to work together on this important issue.

**Page 8**

**Our economy**

Do you mean Nelson the region or NCC? Supply lines also disrupted by floods. [There is a problem with Just in Time supermarkets delivery philosophy, and no big warehouse storage in Nelson Region. Any emergency cutting the supply line to Christchurch will have Nelson Region very hungry! Can both Councils work on that?]

**Page 9**

**Energy and resource scarcity**

Why does Nelson have such a high per capita use of fossil fuels? Which sector? Is this because we don't have rail? [ I realise I could research this in the Cawthron document, but haven't had time. This anomaly is a key place to start work on reducing GHG emissions.]

**Planning together**

The other local authorities TDC and MDC are also crucial. Is 2060 on any of the joint TOS agendas??

**Page 14 –**

**Goals :** I see on page 42 the document talks about the importance of measuring progress. Very true, but some goals are so hard to find good ways to monitor them you might decide not to have

1

them, but that would be a pity. The hardest part of setting goals is to work out how to measure the progress towards them and I hope there are specialists who can help you with that.

#### Page 17

How we might do, it last bullet point.

Would council planners be able to assist other organisations? The 2060 vision Sustainability principles and decision framework might be hard for other organisations to understand easily, and some professional assistance would help it happen.

#### Page 24

##### Goal 6

There is a global movement ( tired of waiting for Governments to stop procrastinating) for each person to reduce their fossil fuel consumption by 10% every year for 10 years. World wide this would limit the global warming to 2 degrees. Why doesn't NCC 2060 adopt that as a goal? Its not difficult to do the first few years, and would lead into renewable energy etc.

Public transport should be mentioned here, and car pooling. Car pooling needed be seen as competition to buses, as it also changes peoples attitude to travelling, and makes them more neighbourly which is part of being resilient.

[Is there any way to discourage wasteful recreational use of fuel? ]

#### Page 27

Cleaner Production advice would help Nelson Industries be more sustainable. Requires specialist advice and NCC may have to provide this, or subsidise it by providing in bulk.

#### Page 33

Is this better incorporated with Goal 6?

How we might do it, last bullet point.

Measure *which* resources?

#### Conclusion

This is a very good start, and I hope it is taken up by other organisations as well as NCC walking the talk. The next big challenge is to monitor progress, and engage the neighbouring councils and communities in obtaining this vision.

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 20 March 2013 8:31 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: framing our future  
  
**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed  
  
**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** kane hogan[SMTP:KANEHOGAN@YAHOO.CO.UK]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 20, 2013 8:30:33 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** framing our future  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

hi just wanted to suggest a 'web-hub'? with things like 'links' for videos to watch for nelsonian's to be inspired by etc.  
 such as these exceptional examples of TED.com talks below, which show people pioneering neighborhood gardening,  
 that promotes health, participation and more of a green economy which saves people money too.

[http://www.ted.com/talks/pam\\_warhurst\\_how\\_we\\_can\\_eat\\_our\\_landscapes.html](http://www.ted.com/talks/pam_warhurst_how_we_can_eat_our_landscapes.html)

<http://cnn.com/video/?/video/living/2013/03/15/orig-ideas-gangsta-gardener.cnn>

.....  
 And how about a 'real survey' 6 monthly?...that checks in with people. Covering the obstacles and challenges at the coal face...  
 once the ideals are on paper.

thanks

kane



RECEIVED

21 MAR 2013

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records



10

**National Council of Women of New Zealand (Inc)**  
*Te Kaunihera Wahine o Aotearoa*

Consultation 2060 Framing our Future  
Nelson City Council

March 2013

Nelson 2060 Framing our Future

Submitter - Queenie Ballance, Phone 539 0459  
6 Brougham Street, Nelson 7010

Organisation - Nelson Local Issues Group National Council of Women (NCW)

I do not wish to be heard.

This submission has been prepared by the Nelson Local Issues Group, Nelson Branch National Council of Women (NCW), a group of women within the Nelson Branch NCW who are particularly interested in issues concerning Nelson.

In reply to questions as listed:

*1 What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it the inspiring future you want?*

We think that Nelson 2060 is a document that waffles around the obvious. The speed of change makes it very difficult to visualize what a city will look like and how it will function in 2060. We note that many now shop on line - whether this trend will lead to the demise of shops is as yet unknown.

As stated in Issue 15 Framing our future there should be integrated regional planning, sufficient arable land to feed us, good urban planning, (including building standards that encourage solar heating and prevent trees from shading houses and gardens), and good quality air and water.

*2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play helping Nelson achieve the vision?*

Our group takes time, and has interest in, thinking about local government issues as they arise, and to make submissions when our discussion decides it is appropriate.

*3 What are you already doing to helping Nelson achieve the vision?*

See answer to 2.

*4 If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?*

No

*5 What else could help you take action?*

We already take some action. It would help raise interest if public felt it was listened to eg completion of heritage railway to Trafalgar Street and beyond seems to have been completely dropped in spite of broad public support; and concerns that loading bays of commercial buildings which front onto main roads are not good urban design

are still there (eg Rebel Sports and Briscoes on Rutherford Street opposite Theatre Royal).

*6 Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?*

We think this is already happening and as NCWNZ is an organisation of organisations there is good networking with other groups and we participate in public consultations and submissions to Council

*7 Do you have any comments or thoughts?*

Need to recognize population is aging and opportunities for employment of younger people need to be encouraged. NMIT's presence helps maintain population balance.

We suggest that the long drawn out process of this consultation and the production of such a glossy, coloured document is money not well spent. A strategy is a working document that should be simple and succinct, the current document Nelson 2060 may 'look good' but does not actually say a lot. Attached is submission made in October 2011 which shows that we have not come very far towards finalising a strategy.

It is good to see the development of community gardens, page 21, however the last vestiges of horticultural land should be preserved as is and not 'developed'.

COPY OF SUBMISSION FROM OCTOBER 2011  
 Nelson Branch National Council of Women of New Zealand  
 6 Brougham Street  
 Nelson 7010

Nelson City Council  
 Framing our Future  
 Nelson

**Framing our Future**  
**Nelson's Sustainability Strategy**

This submission has been prepared by National Council of Women (NCW) Nelson Local Issues Group.

NCW works for the wellbeing of women, families and society by informing women, encouraging research and debate then conveying comment to relevant authorities. The make up of the branch reflects the wider community in having a range of ages, socio-economic and educational backgrounds

We commend NCC for its initiative in beginning to think and, hopefully, plan for 50 years hence with the thrust of sustainability.

We acknowledge that wide consultation has taken place and the vision put out for comment is a compilation of comments from those people. The strategy has tried to cover many aspects resulting in a wordy vision. It is important that this work does not just become a word-fest. It is vital that the wording is more precise and that all aspects of what is needed to sustain a healthy society are taken into account.

Our replies to the questions as set out in the consultation paper follow:

*Does this vision of Nelson create a place that you and your family would choose to live in?*

- we already have chosen to live here without the changes proposed mainly because we were able to take into account the beautiful setting and the climate. As these will not change we assume others will decide to come here for those reasons.

We also acknowledge that many people are not free to choose where they live - the decision may be based solely on employment,

- Some of our members would not pick Nelson as a place to live based on this vision as only the CBD seems to be promoted, with insufficient comment on suburbs. For them the vision should be a village concept with a community having pedestrian access to a dairy, medical centre, bank etc. The strategy seems to portray just the continuation of the present developments where a car is essential to access facilities.

COPY OF SUBMISSION FROM OCTOBER 2011

*Does this vision successfully unite people; the economy; the environment and culture in a common future?*

- to some people it would, but not to others
- the economy appears to over-ride the environment which creates divisiveness

*What do you like best in this vision?*

The idealism portrayed which would make a great city if all could be implemented. Changes to what is already a good place in which to live would become even better if all aspects of the draft vision were implemented.

*What do you like least in this vision?*

- Its wordiness
- Difficulty of seeing the implementation of what is very idealistic vision because of its cost and the general lethargy of most of the community (recognizing that many need all their energies to survive in the current economic climate).

*Are there any broad themes that are missing?*

- spirituality/culture/law and order/social wellbeing (covering health, education and safety)

*conclusion*

The final version of the vision needs to be broadly based but succinct in wording and perceived as achievable not just a pipe-dream of a few. Not everyone comes from the same place so visions of the future Nelson are equally varied.

Beryl Paine, phone 547 6333, email [rwpaine@xtra.co.nz](mailto:rwpaine@xtra.co.nz)  
 & Queenie Ballance, phone 539 0459, email [queeniebee@clear.net.nz](mailto:queeniebee@clear.net.nz)  
 Co- conveners Local Issues Group, Nelson Branch NCWNZ

October 2011

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 21 March 2013 10:04 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Attachments:** Auckland-City-Resolution.jpeg.DRF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 21, 2013 10:03:44 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

### Your name

Beate Baden

### Organisation (optional)

Nelson TPPA Free

### Your email address

[beatebaden@gmail.com](mailto:beatebaden@gmail.com)

### Your phone number

021 02926542

### Your postal address

17 Campbell Street  
 Nelson 7010

### Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?

Yes

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?
2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?
3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?
4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?
5. What else could help you to take action
6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?
7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution. I support an Active Democracy for Nelson City.

### Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?

Auckland-City-Resolution.jpeg - [Download File](#)

# AUCKLAND CITY RESOLUTION

6/12/12

Resolution number RDO/2012/266

11

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand, that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
  - i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.
  - ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
  - iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
  - iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
  - v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
  - vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;
  - vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
  - viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
  - ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
  - x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
  - xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
  - xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

9/7

CARRIED

**From:** Beate Baden [beatebaden@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 10:37 a.m.  
**To:** Ruth Killman  
**Subject:** Re: Framing out Future Submission on draft strategy

Hi Ruth,

thanks very much for confirming receipt of my submission. Other than indicated I don't wish to present anything in person, but as the TPPA is such an important issue for this country and all of us please kindly include the following text into my submission:

Our government is negotiating the **Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement** with eleven Asian and Pacific Rim countries including the United States behind closed doors. If it goes ahead, we risk serious damage to our innovative economy, our pristine environment, our health, and the ability to shape our own future on a national and local level. I therefore urge the Nelson City Council to adopt the Auckland City TPPA Resolution to ensure that our local government will not lose it's ability to make decision which are best for its people.

The Agreement is being negotiated in secret, which is not acceptable. We live in a democracy and have the right to know what is done in our name and to have a say.

From what has been exposed so far, if the negotiations are completed it will become much harder for the New Zealand government to look after our environment, promote health, protect workers and consumers, and promote the public interest with no apparant benefit for New Zealand:

1. Most restrictions on foreign investment will be frozen and rolled back even further.
2. Big overseas companies will be able to sue the New Zealand government for millions in damages in secretive offshore tribunals, claiming that new laws and regulations (for example, a ban on fracking, smoking control laws, or a cap on electricity prices) have seriously undermined the value of their investments.
3. Medicines will become more expensive as big pharmaceutical companies gain more influence over PHARMAC, and restrictions are placed on generic medicines.
4. Copyright laws will be toughened and more harshly enforced, restricting internet freedom and access to information, costing libraries, schools, and businesses, and stifling innovation.
5. Parallel importing will be banned, meaning that New Zealanders, especially the poor, will have to pay far more for all sorts of ordinary products.
6. Foreign banks, insurance companies and money traders will gain more powers to challenge laws designed to prevent another financial crisis; and overseas property dealers could contest moves to burst the property bubble, such as a capital gains tax.

There are plenty of other things to be concerned about and we have good reason to believe that the TPPA is solely negotiated for big corporations and not in the interest of ordinary kiwis.

**Our government says it is acting in New Zealand's best interest. If they really believe that, they should bring the negotiations into the open, and provide evidence of concrete benefits to outweigh the serious costs.**

**That is what democracy requires and this is why the Nelson City Council should adopt the Auckland City Resolution.**

Kind Regards,

11

Beate

On 21 March 2013 12:53, Ruth Killman <[ruth.killman@ncc.govt.nz](mailto:ruth.killman@ncc.govt.nz)> wrote:  
Dear Beate

**Nelson 2060 draft strategy**

Thank you for your feedback on the Nelson 2060 Draft Strategy.

You have indicated that you wish to present your feedback to Council on Tuesday 9 April 2013 and we will make contact with you after 28 March 2013, when the feedback period closes, to arrange a time.

Council has scheduled a meeting on Tuesday 30 April 2013 at 9am, in the Council Chamber, Floor 2A, Civic House to consider the feedback and all submitters will receive a letter after this meeting outlining the outcome of the consultation.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely

**Sarah Yarrow**

Policy Adviser

Nelson City Council  
*Te Kaunihera O Whakatū*  
PO Box 645 Nelson 7040 New Zealand  
P: 03 546 0200  
F: 03 546 0239  
DDI: 03 545 8742  
E: [sarah.yarrow@ncc.govt.nz](mailto:sarah.yarrow@ncc.govt.nz)  
[www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz](http://www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz)

*Please consider the environment before printing this email*

Get it done online at [www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz](http://www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz)

• [pay your invoice](#) • [have your say](#) • [view scenic Nelson webcam](#) • [reserve a library item](#) • [look for maps](#) • [subscribe to a rss feed](#) • [Find us on Facebook](#)

You are prohibited from distributing this E-mail without permission. If you have received this E-mail by mistake or are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and erase the message immediately. This E-mail message and any accompanying data is confidential and may be legally privileged. The Nelson City Council does not warrant or guarantee that this communication is free of errors, virus or interference.

This e-mail has been scanned and cleared by MailMarshal.



**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Friday, 22 March 2013 11:19 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: 2060 response

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Linz Jamieson[SMTP:LINDSAYJAMIESON2000@GMAIL.COM]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 22, 2013 11:18:28 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** 2060 response  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

Dear sir/madam

In the sustainable energy section of the proposed 2060 strategy it was good to note that Nelson's high sunshine hours was seen as a positive opportunity to reduce the region's total dependence on external energy sources. However, it surprised me to see that the only use of the sun that received a mention was for water heating. While encouraging an increase in this technology would have significant benefits in reducing energy use I wondered why there was no mention of Council support or encouragement for people / businesses to also use the sun to generate power with solar panels.

If there were incentives for people to install solar panels the power generated could be used either directly by the primary generator - resulting in reduced power demand from the regional grid - or the amount of power would be measured and fed into the regional grid for general use, and the amount fed into the grid would be deducted from the client's monthly usage. Both end uses of this power generation would result in financial savings for the power generator and whatever money is saved in energy costs would pass into other sectors of the economy.

Combining solar power production with solar water heating would result in a larger overall reduction in the region's imported energy requirements. As this would benefit the whole local economy, and as financial benefit is the most effective way to alter attitudes, the Council might be able to offer rates concessions or reduced permitting fees for new buildings that incorporate these solar technologies.

Perhaps the Council could instigate a campaign for raising local awareness and lobbying central Government and power companies to improve and encourage access to available technologies. They could also look into the feasibility of setting an example and installing solar panels on the Council buildings.

I definitely think that the 2060 strategy should mention an increased use of solar power as one of its goals under either the sustainability or climate change sections of the document.

Kind regards

Lindsay Jamieson

From: Submissions  
 Sent: Sunday, 24 March 2013 8:38 p.m.  
 To: Administration Support  
 Subject: FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

Categories: Blue Category

-----  
 From: Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
 Sent: Sunday, March 24, 2013 8:37:30 PM  
 To: Submissions  
 Subject: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
 Auto forwarded by a Rule

# Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Timothy Wright

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[timowright@yahoo.com](mailto:timowright@yahoo.com)

**Your phone number**

035465662

**Your postal address**

129 Parkers Rd, 7011

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?
2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?
3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?
4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?
5. What else could help you to take action
6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?
7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution. I support an Active Democracy for Nelson City.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Monday, 25 March 2013 10:11 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Nelson 2060

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Stephen Zanetti[SMTP:STEPHENZAN@GMAIL.COM]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 25, 2013 10:10:06 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Nelson 2060  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

In principal, I support the initiative & vision of Nelson 2060 especially its emphasis on the environment, sustainability and people.

As a result of recent exposure to information about the TPPA, I would like to see an indication in Nelson 2060 that the council will represent the freedom of Nelson's people to our government when there are national laws/agreements being considered that will restrict our freedom to make our own choices. This would especially include laws/agreements that put control of freedom/prices in the hands of private interests or companies.

So perhaps under Goal 2 "How do we do it?" ...

- Working with the people, council will help represent Nelson people when there are national laws or agreements being considered that may restrict freedom of choice by giving control to international organisations or companies.

Name: Stephen Zanetti

Phone: 03 547 8411

Address: 69 Newman Drive, Enner Glynn, Nelson

Organisation: n/a

Do you wish to be heard in support of the submission? No

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 26 March 2013 9:31 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Nelson 2060  
**Attachments:** NELSON 2060.doc.DRF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Robin Hall[SMTP:DRHALL@XTRA.CO.NZ]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 26, 2013 9:31:20 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Nelson 2060  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

Enclosed my submssion Thanks Robin Hall

As a person in my late 70's the year 2060 is not on my radar. Therefore the questions on a white A4 paper included in the 2060 document from Council are not ones I would answer. In 2013 I would wish to have a safe CBD where I can do my shopping with adequate safe parking and safe footpaths for walking. Seating provided on the Maitai walkway gives me a place to rest and have a cup of coffee. This unique facility within in the CBD is an amazing asset to our City and I trust it will still b like that in 2060. From my observation it is well used by cyclists, walkers, runners and Mums pushing a child in a buggy or guiding very new cyclists. It is also great to watch family groups with young children feeding the ducks sometimes.

#### NELSON 2060

I would have preferred that this document had not been printed so lavishly and had a plastic cover. This is not within the sentiments of the document and being environmentally friendly. From the Mayor's task force he mentions sustainability Does that include disposing of the plastic off documents?

The money saved could have gone towards having the CBD streets cleaned daily instead of only three times a week as at present – this does not lead to a city to be proud of - again as the document suggests.

#### Goal 4 page 21

Unless there is going to be some policy on tree height neighbours will find themselves with shady gardens making growing vegetables particularly difficult in the winter. Home gardens need to be considered as well as commercial ones.

#### Goal 6 page 25

Current home building restrictions do not encourage construction to take advantage of solar heating in all homes. If solar heating of homes and water and good use of sun to heat homes in the winter was encouraged this would help fuel efficiency. Compulsory saving of water run off from a roof for garden use would help our waterways and again some restriction on tree height to avoid shading homes would also help Nelson become more efficient. Currently it is sad that some homes do not receive enough sun to dry washing in the cooler months due to neighbours with tall trees.

#### Goal 8 page 29

School children learning to grow vegetables and cook them as well as planting trees in reserves needs to be encouraged too – that is also sustainable development leading on to home gardens,

We have people in Nelson who are sculptors and artists so we don't need to contract out either in NZ or overseas if a sculpture is needed - using who we already have as well as what we have Bit pointless attracting skills to Nelson if we aren't going to use them!

#### Goal 9 page 31

The elderly in our community will not have all their essential needs met if there is only concentration on public transport and cycle ways – Some folk as they get older can't walk, bike or ride on a bus.

Don't invent more social ideas until those that are currently available are investigated – eg Stoke 50+ and activities held in the Victory area to name two  
Let us keep the public playgrounds in the suburbs and places like the Lions' Playground and not the CBD – that is for shopping or business. I noted at 12.20pm on Thursday 14 March there was only one vacant seat on the Maitai walkway between Millers Acre and the Collingwood St bridge so we have public space in the city that is being used both by the working community and folk like us who wanted to have lunch

This submission is sent by

Mrs Robin Hall

11a Tainui treet

Stoke

I do not wish to speak to this submission

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Monday, 25 March 2013 5:10 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Monday, March 25, 2013 5:09:33 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Diana Maskill

**Organisation (optional)**

Nelson TPPA free

**Your email address**

[dianam@nayland.school.nz](mailto:dianam@nayland.school.nz)

**Your phone number**

035474242

**Your postal address**

5 Sadlier St, Stoke, Nelson

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?
2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?
3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?
4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?
5. What else could help you to take action
6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?
7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson city to adopt Auckland city tppa resolution. I support and active democracy for nelson city.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

---

**From:** Sarah Yarrow  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 26 March 2013 9:43 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Nelson City Council 2060 Framing our Future final draft strategy  
**Attachments:** nelson 2060 framing our future\_0001.pdf.DRF; nzhpt nelson framing our future 2060 sub\_0001.pdf.DRF; nzhpt nelson framing our future 2060 sub\_0002.pdf.DRF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

---

**From:** David Watt [<mailto:d watt@historic.org.nz>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 26 March 2013 9:41 a.m.  
**To:** Sarah Yarrow  
**Cc:** Karen Lee; [richsarah@ihug.co.nz](mailto:richsarah@ihug.co.nz)  
**Subject:** Nelson City Council 2060 Framing our Future final draft strategy

Dear Sarah,

Please find attached our further submission to Council on the final draft of the Nelson 2060 Frame our Future, and the NZHPT's earlier submission in 2011 on the proposed themes.

We strongly urge Council to go back through our earlier submission in respect to heritage in the built environment. The final draft of the Nelson 2060 Strategy shows an absence of any reference to the importance of the built heritage environment.

Regards

David.

**David Watt**  
Area Coordinator  
New Zealand Historic Places Trust/Pouhere Taonga  
Central Region  
7th floor Alan Burns Insurances House 69-71 Boulcott St  
PO Box 2629 Wellington  
Ph 04 494 8322 Mob 027 2466339  
Fax 04 802 5180

Shop online at [www.historic.org.nz](http://www.historic.org.nz)  
and help keep New Zealand's heritage places alive





26 March 2013

Nelson City Council  
PO Box 645  
NELSON 7040.

Attention: Sarah Yarrow Policy Adviser

**Nelson 2060 Framing our Future**


Dear Sarah,

Thank you for the opportunity for the New Zealand Historic Places Trust to make further comment on the final draft of the Nelson 2060 strategy. Our reading of the final draft Vision and Goals shows a complete absence of the importance of the built heritage environment in the City.

We strongly urge Council to go back through our submission to Council in October 2011 on the Vision and Themes. In this submission we pointed out the rich heritage landscape with many places of national heritage significance and recommended to Council that heritage is recognised as integral to each of the themes proposed, or to be the addition of a separate theme to the Vision and Goals of Council.

We have attached a copy of this previous submission to Council.

Yours sincerely



David Watt  
Central Region Area Coordinator  
New Zealand Historic Places Trust  
Ph 04 494 8322  
PO Box 2629 Wellington.

21 October 2011

Karen Lee  
Sustainability Adviser  
Nelson City Council  
PO Box 645  
NELSON 7040

Dear Karen

**Submission to Framing our future – the vision**

**Nelson 2060 – The Vision**

The New Zealand Historic Places Trust (NZHPT) commends the development of a Vision statement 2060 for the City. We support the themes listed in the draft paper for comment, but note the omission of a theme around heritage.

We would suggest an optional approach on this- one is that heritage is recognised broadly as integral to each of the four themes proposed, or secondly, the addition of a separate theme.

There should be an introductory section about the history and culture of the city and the role of heritage in competitive advantage and a point of difference – heritage providing inspiration and evoking memory, uniqueness of mineral belt, the early Wakefield settlement, industries such as forestry, gold mining, maritime, fishing and aquaculture, horticulture and hops and tobacco, the beginnings of the first public education system in New Zealand, scientific study and research and Victorian buildings.

Under Theme 1 – Urban Design, heritage has a broad contribution to make to the character of Nelson and its landscapes – a large collection of timber Victorian buildings and the role of heritage in sustainability.

Theme 2 in our view should be renamed Connected with our natural and historic environment. The Whakatu Nelson Heritage Strategy has a vision valuing, protecting and celebrating the City's distinctive heritage which contributes to its identity and sense of belonging.

Theme 3 Economy and Knowledge – there is plenty of information around on historic heritage and the economy. Donovan Rypkema, an international expert on sustainability and economic benefits of historic preservation was in New Zealand last year speaking in the main centres. We have included with this submission a paper on this subject which will be useful to Council.

Under Theme 4 Leadership and Partnership – the Nelson City Council is kaitiaki of heritage assets demonstrating leadership in care and celebrating historic and cultural heritage and a responsibility to manage these assets.

Nelson has a rich heritage landscape with many places of national heritage significance. The timing of Vision Nelson 2060 fits well with the proposed upgrade of Council's

heritage inventory adding a number of identified heritage places to the Resource Management Plan, which will increase the appreciation for and protection of places historic heritage significance, including precinct areas in the City.

The NZHPT supports the development of Vision 2060

We **recommend** that Council considers that heritage is recognised as integral to each of the four themes proposed, or as the addition of a separate theme.

Yours faithfully

Ann Neill  
General Manager  
Central Region  
New Zealand Historic Places Trust/Pouhere Taonga  
PO Box 2629 Wellington  
Ph 04 494 8321.

Attch: Economic Benefits of Preservation – Sustainability and Historic Preservation –  
Donovan Rypkema.

cc Nelson Branch Committee NZHPT

RECEIVED

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

25 MAR 2013

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Service

Office Use Only			
		Submission Number	
		18	
File Ref		INITIALS	

Name Craig Davies

Daytime phone \_\_\_\_\_

Address 45 The Ridgeway Sumner Nelson

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES  NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

It is going to be a Disaster for Nelson Rate payers

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form


4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Stay clear of TPPA

Date 25/3/2013 Signature 

*Help with making a submission overleaf...*



**Nelson City Council**  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 - Nelson 7040 - 03 546 0200  
[www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz](http://www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz)

# AUCKLAND CITY RESOLUTION

6/12/12

Resolution number RDG/2012/266

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker;

18

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee;

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand, that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
- i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.
  - ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
  - iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
  - iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
  - v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
  - vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;
  - vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
  - viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, GOMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
  - ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
  - x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
  - xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
  - xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

9/7 CARRIED

18

# It's Our Future\*

**\*Don't let them sign it away**

Affordable medicines;  
Environmental protection;  
Financial regulation;  
Internet freedom;  
Worker's rights;  
GMO labelling;  
Local business;  
Te Tiriti o Waitangi

are threatened by the Trans-  
Pacific Partnership Agreement

They're aiming to sign this off by October 2013 – don't let them!

Learn more and take action [www.itsourfuture.org.nz](http://www.itsourfuture.org.nz)

Page 41

## A secret deal to sell out New Zealand.

The Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPPA) is a free trade and investment agreement being negotiated between eleven Asian and Pacific-rim countries, including the United States. The TPPA could have a huge effect on the lives of ordinary kiwis — if it goes ahead, we risk damage to our economy, our environment, our health, and the ability to shape our own future.

### Secret negotiations

The TPPA negotiations are conducted in secret, what we know about the TPPA comes from leaked documents and detective work. We live in a democracy, which means we have the right to know what is done in our name and to have a say.

### So what's troubling about the TPPA?

From what we know so far, if the negotiations are completed it will become much harder for the New Zealand government to look after our environment, promote health, protect workers and consumers, and promote the public interest:

1. Most restrictions on foreign investment will be frozen and rolled back even further.
2. Big overseas companies will be able to sue the New Zealand government for millions in damages in secretive offshore tribunals, claiming that new laws and regulations (for example, a ban on fracking, smoking control laws, or a cap on electricity prices) have seriously undermined the value of their investments.
3. Medicines will become more expensive as big pharmaceutical companies gain more influence over PHARMAC, and restrictions are placed on generic medicines.
4. Copyright laws will be toughened and more harshly enforced, restricting internet freedom and access to information, costing libraries, schools, and businesses, and stifling innovation.
5. Parallel importing will be banned, meaning that New Zealanders, especially the poor, will have to pay far more for all sorts of ordinary products.
6. Foreign banks, insurance companies and money traders will gain more powers to challenge laws designed to prevent another financial crisis; and overseas property dealers could contest moves to burst the property bubble, such as a capital gains tax.

Learn more at [www.itsourfuture.org.nz](http://www.itsourfuture.org.nz)  
October 2013 is their deadline. Take action before it's too late.



**March 28<sup>th</sup>** Submissions due on Framing Our Future!!  
*Please support our TPPA protest by writing*

★*Details* **Name, phone, address**

★*Organisation* **Nelson TPPA Free**

★*Do you wished to be heard?* **YES**

★*#7 Do you have any other comments or thoughts?*

**Nelson City adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution.  
I support an Active Democracy for Nelson City.**

**Events**

**March 21<sup>st</sup>**

Climate Change public meeting

7:30pm Victory Community Centre

Dr Murray McClintock

Dr Kennedy Graham MP

**March 24<sup>th</sup>**

Hero of the Icelandic revolution Hordur Torfason

6pm Victory Community Centre

<http://www.theawarenessparty.com>

\$5 unwaged/ \$10 waged (\$2 discount with The Town Crier :)

**March 27<sup>th</sup> to April 4<sup>th</sup>**

TPPA cartoons on display at the library

**April 23<sup>rd</sup>**

Town Meeting 6:30pm Lambretta's Café

Update on Framing our Future submissions.

Information on Food Bills being passed in parliament and how they threaten our local food supply

.....

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

RECEIVED

Office Use Only

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

25 MAR 2013

Submission Number  
19

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Service

INITIALS

Name Mary Ellen O'Connell

Daytime phone 9220831

Address 38 Mount St

Organisation represented (if applicable) N.A.

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?  YES  NO # of pages

If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want? -

① It is a very expensive brochure, given that NCC is \$58m in debt.

② The vision is fine, but what's actually going on? NCC is not increasing privatisation of services, meaningless. Less opportunity to deal with Council directly = an increasingly corporate rather than community-responsive culture. CEOs paid ridiculous salaries - awarded ridiculous golden handshakes - a pathetically low turnout in local body elections indicating a 'What's the point' attitude among many.

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

NB Getting multiple submission forms to distribute has been quite difficult making one binder rather than NCC wants real consultation or just a sham. There has been a very short window of time to respond, again, making one wonder what NCC wants real consultation or not.

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

I work constantly to raise awareness of what is really going on

ps. Re the vision - Take the emphasis off "leadership" and put it onto service and responsiveness to the public.

The edicts issued about the replacement or not of Wood

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

19

No.

5. What else could help you to take action?

N/a

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

I'm interested in working with Council to achieve their commitment to 7-below.

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Please see attached


Given the ever-increasing erosion of democracy, I would like the Council to commit themselves to the Auckland City resolution of 6/12/12 in regard to the Trans Pacific Partnership, being negotiated at present behind closed doors. This so called 'agreement' would be a license for Corporate interests (mostly U.S.) to reach further behind sovereign borders than ever to destroy our legislation, environmental & labor protections, Pharmac - who knows. Both central, local government start to look very

Date 25/03/13 Signature [Signature]

(Written under Mrs Scerano

Help with making a submission overleaf...

1466836 9389941



PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200 www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

# AUCKLAND CITY RESOLUTION.

6/12/12

Resolution number RDG/2012/266

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker;

19

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee;

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand, that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
- i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.
  - ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
  - iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
  - iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
  - v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
  - vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;
  - vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
  - viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
  - ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
  - x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
  - xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
  - xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

9/7

CARRIED

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

RECEIVED

Office Use Only

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

25 MAR 2013

Submission Number

20

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Service

INITIALS

Name BRENDAN SANTORINI

Daytime phone 545-2164 027-245-1911

Address 19 Strathaven Place Atawhai Nelson

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

YES  NO

# of pages

WHAT Box ??? If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

NELSON CITY

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

YES

Organic Gardener — No toxins  
Vegetarian Diet — Kindness to Animals / Less Global Footprint.

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

20

5. What else could help you to take action?

Promote Vegetarian Diets as the Meat Industry is a major Global Warmer  
(+ as you sow, so shall you reap - Kill Animals unnecessarily as dont need to eat meat)  
(+ attract droughts, floods, problems)


6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City should adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution to retain what is best for our citizens and retain our Sovereignty.

Date 22 MARCH 2013

Signature



Help with making a submission overleaf...

1466836



Nelson City Council  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

20

# It's Our Future\*

\*Don't let them sign it away

Affordable medicines;  
Environmental protection;  
Financial regulation;  
Internet freedom;  
Worker's rights;  
GMO labelling;  
Local business;  
Te Tiriti o Waitangi

are threatened by the Trans-  
Pacific Partnership Agreement

They're aiming to sign this off by October 2013 – don't let them!

Learn more and take action

[www.itsourfuture.org.nz](http://www.itsourfuture.org.nz)

Page 49

## A secret deal to sell out New Zealand.

20

The Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPPA) is a free trade and investment agreement being negotiated between eleven Asian and Pacific-rim countries, including the United States. The TPPA could have a huge effect on the lives of ordinary kiwis — if it goes ahead, we risk damage to our economy, our environment, our health, and the ability to shape our own future.

### Secret negotiations

The TPPA negotiations are conducted in secret, what we know about the TPPA comes from leaked documents and detective work. We live in a democracy, which means we have the right to know what is done in our name and to have a say.

### So what's troubling about the TPPA?

From what we know so far, if the negotiations are completed it will become much harder for the New Zealand government to look after our environment, promote health, protect workers and consumers, and promote the public interest:

1. Most restrictions on foreign investment will be frozen and rolled back even further.
2. Big overseas companies will be able to sue the New Zealand government for millions in damages in secretive offshore tribunals, claiming that new laws and regulations (for example, a ban on fracking, smoking control laws, or a cap on electricity prices) have seriously undermined the value of their investments.
3. Medicines will become more expensive as big pharmaceutical companies gain more influence over PHARMAC, and restrictions are placed on generic medicines.
4. Copyright laws will be toughened and more harshly enforced, restricting internet freedom and access to information, costing libraries, schools, and businesses, and stifling innovation.
5. Parallel importing will be banned, meaning that New Zealanders, especially the poor, will have to pay far more for all sorts of ordinary products.
6. Foreign banks, insurance companies and money traders will gain more powers to challenge laws designed to prevent another financial crisis; and overseas property dealers could contest moves to burst the property bubble, such as a capital gains tax.

Learn more at [www.itsourfuture.org.nz](http://www.itsourfuture.org.nz)  
October 2013 is their deadline. Take action before it's too late.



The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

**RECEIVED**

Office Use Only

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

25 MAR 2013

Submission Number

21

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Services

INITIALS

Name Jay Berkow

Daytime phone 5 46 93 14

Address 14 Allan Street

Organisation represented (if applicable) Nelson TPPA Free

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES NO # of pages

           If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

**Public information**

*Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.*

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

21

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson support and adopt Auckland TPPA Resolution  
I support an active democracy for Nelson City

Date 23/03/2013 Signature Jay Berkow

Help with making a submission overleaf...

1468636



**Nelson City Council**  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

# It's Our Future\*

21

**\*Don't let them sign it away**

Affordable medicines;  
Environmental protection;  
Financial regulation;  
Internet freedom;  
Worker's rights;  
GMO labelling;  
Local business;  
Te Tiriti o Waitangi

**are threatened by the Trans-  
Pacific Partnership Agreement**

**They're aiming to sign this off by October 2013 – don't let them!**

**Learn more and take action**

**[www.itsourfuture.org.nz](http://www.itsourfuture.org.nz)**

**Page 53**

## A secret deal to sell out New Zealand.

21

The Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPPA) is a free trade and investment agreement being negotiated between eleven Asian and Pacific-rim countries, including the United States. The TPPA could have a huge effect on the lives of ordinary kiwis — if it goes ahead, we risk damage to our economy, our environment, our health, and the ability to shape our own future.

### Secret negotiations

The TPPA negotiations are conducted in secret, what we know about the TPPA comes from leaked documents and detective work. We live in a democracy, which means we have the right to know what is done in our name and to have a say.

### So what's troubling about the TPPA?

From what we know so far, if the negotiations are completed it will become much harder for the New Zealand government to look after our environment, promote health, protect workers and consumers, and promote the public interest:

1. Most restrictions on foreign investment will be frozen and rolled back even further.
2. Big overseas companies will be able to sue the New Zealand government for millions in damages in secretive offshore tribunals, claiming that new laws and regulations (for example, a ban on fracking, smoking control laws, or a cap on electricity prices) have seriously undermined the value of their investments.
3. Medicines will become more expensive as big pharmaceutical companies gain more influence over PHARMAC, and restrictions are placed on generic medicines.
4. Copyright laws will be toughened and more harshly enforced; restricting Internet freedom and access to information, costing libraries, schools, and businesses, and stifling innovation.
5. Parallel importing will be banned, meaning that New Zealanders, especially the poor, will have to pay far more for all sorts of ordinary products.
6. Foreign banks, insurance companies and money traders will gain more powers to challenge laws designed to prevent another financial crisis; and overseas property dealers could contest moves to burst the property bubble, such as a capital gains tax.

Learn more at [www.itsourfuture.org.nz](http://www.itsourfuture.org.nz)  
October 2013 is their deadline. Take action before it's too late.

**THE TOWN CRIER**

Making a Difference

21

March 28<sup>th</sup> Submissions due on Framing Our Future!!  
*Please support our TPPA protest by writing*

★Details Name, phone, address  
★Organisation Nelson TPPA Free  
★Do you wish to be heard? YES  
★#7 Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

**Nelson City adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution.  
I support an Active Democracy for Nelson City.**

---

**Events**

**March 21<sup>st</sup>**

Climate Change public meeting  
7:30pm Victory Community Centre  
Dr Murray McClintock  
Dr Kennedy Graham MP

---

**March 24<sup>th</sup>**

Hero of the Icelandic revolution Hordur Torfason  
6pm Victory Community Centre

<http://www.theawarenessparty.com>

\$5 unwaged/ \$10 waged (\$2 discount with The Town Crier :)

---

**March 27<sup>th</sup> to April 4<sup>th</sup>**

TPPA cartoons on display at the library

---

**April 23<sup>rd</sup>**

Town Meeting 6:30pm Lambretta's Café  
Update on Framing our Future submissions.  
Information on Food Bills being passed in parliament and how they threaten our local food supply

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

**RECEIVED**

25 MAR 2013

Office Use Only	
Submission Number	22
File Ref	INITIALS

Name GRAEME O'BRIEN NELSON CITY COUNCIL Customer Service

Daytime phone 022-1942-516

Address 129a Waimea Rd

Organisation represented (if applicable) Nelson TPPA Free

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?  YES  NO 4 # of pages  
\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

**Public information**

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

Great idea but is it in any way achievable if the TPPA is made law.

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

Yes by informing people of Nelson 2060 and making submissions

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Helping and informing people about local and national issues

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

22

Yes if council lives up to the ideals outlined in Nelson 2060

5. What else could help you to take action?

Nelson City to be an Active Democracy

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

Yes to empower people to take an active part in decision making

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution.

I support an Active Democracy for Nelson City

Date 25/Mar/2013 Signature C/Brin

Help with making a submission overleaf...



**Nelson City Council**  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

# AUCKLAND CITY RESOLUTION

6/12/12

Resolution number RDC/2012/266

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker.

22

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee;

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand, that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
- i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.
  - ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
  - iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
  - iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
  - v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
  - vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;
  - vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
  - viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
  - ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
  - x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
  - xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
  - xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

9/7 CARRIED

Page 58



# It's Our Future\*

22

**\*Don't let them sign it away**

Affordable medicines;  
Environmental protection;  
Financial regulation;  
Internet freedom;  
Worker's rights;  
GMO labelling;  
Local business;  
Te Tiriti o Waitangi

**are threatened by the Trans-  
Pacific Partnership Agreement**

**They're aiming to sign this off by October 2013 – don't let them!**

**Learn more and take action**

**[www.itsourfuture.org.nz](http://www.itsourfuture.org.nz)**

**Page 59**

3

## A secret deal to sell out New Zealand.

22

The Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPPA) is a free trade and investment agreement being negotiated between eleven Asian and Pacific-rim countries, including the United States. The TPPA could have a huge effect on the lives of ordinary kiwis — if it goes ahead, we risk damage to our economy, our environment, our health, and the ability to shape our own future.

### Secret negotiations

The TPPA negotiations are conducted in secret, what we know about the TPPA comes from leaked documents and defective work. We live in a democracy, which means we have the right to know what is done in our name and to have a say.

### So what's troubling about the TPPA?

From what we know so far, if the negotiations are completed it will become much harder for the New Zealand government to look after our environment, promote health, protect workers and consumers, and promote the public interest:

1. Most restrictions on foreign investment will be frozen and rolled back even further.
2. Big overseas companies will be able to sue the New Zealand government for millions in damages in secretive offshore tribunals, claiming that new laws and regulations (for example, a ban on fracking, smoking control laws, or a cap on electricity prices) have seriously undermined the value of their investments.
3. Medicines will become more expensive as big pharmaceutical companies gain more influence over PHARMAC, and restrictions are placed on generic medicines.
4. Copyright laws will be toughened and more harshly enforced, restricting internet freedom and access to information, costing libraries, schools, and businesses, and stifling innovation.
5. Parallel importing will be banned, meaning that New Zealanders, especially the poor, will have to pay far more for all sorts of ordinary products.
6. Foreign banks, insurance companies and money traders will gain more powers to challenge laws designed to prevent another financial crisis; and overseas property dealers could contest moves to burst the property bubble, such as a capital gains tax.

Learn more at [www.itsourfuture.org.nz](http://www.itsourfuture.org.nz)  
October 2013 is their deadline. Take action before it's too late.




PO Box 645 Nelson 7040  
P 03 546 0200  
F 03 546 0239

23

Rachael Large  
545 8746  
rachael.large@ncc.govt.nz  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

26 March 2013

Nelson 2060  
Nelson City Council  
P O Box 645  
Nelson



To The Mayor and Councillors

### **NELSON 2060**

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?  
Yes it is inspiring.

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?  
Yes, Nelson Youth Council has already been involved with the development of Nelson 2060 to date.

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?  
Recycling, catching the bus, organising the youth leadership forum and similar which is supporting and developing leaders already.

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?  
Yes, by utilising the decision framework.

5. What else could help you to take action?

- Advertising of events which promote the Nelson 2060 vision such as bike to work day, where there is free stuff and breakfasts as an incentive. Supporting schools with this as well i.e. Nelson College for Girls has free hot chocolate for those that walk, bike or catch a bus from further afield.
- Initiatives to reduce plastic bag usage in Nelson
- Safer bike pathways, especially on roads.
- Encourage biking and introduce a target to have more bikes than cars on the road by 2060
- Making recycling easier and a more thorough recycling system. Overseas there are stamps on food which identify which number to recycle easily, in Christchurch plant material is also recycled
- Education around recycling – some young people do not know how to recycle or the impact it has. Maybe a shock campaign about the impact we are having on the environment
- A reward system for encouraging recycling, which could be slowly phased out
- Introducing or supporting an initiative to return glass bottles to get money back
- Promotion of community markets, farmers markets and local organics – especially to young people
- Provide education about how to grow your own fruit and vegetables, as many people do not know. Also, to promote that this is a cheaper option.
- Introduce a local system where by people can swap or trade their excess fruits and vegetables as in many cases there is an abundance going to waste in home gardens
- Supporting community gardens or public access for those that do not have the space to grow their own
- Supporting solar energy and solar hot water technologies and providing information on the

benefit and cost of installing. Once installed owners are paid for the electricity they put back into the national grid.

- Running a one or two day workshop on ways of making changes or benefits to making changes including solar technologies, with visits to see how the system operates

23

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

Yes

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?
- The new bus system is good, but it needs to be expanded, i.e. more frequent on Saturdays and running slightly later
  - Nelson should utilise Earth Hour and make this a regular event
  - In Kaitia they have a system where oil from fast food outlets i.e. McDonalds is recycled into reusable fuel

We wish to speak to our submission. Thank you for your consideration.

Yours sincerely

PK 

Blake Hornblow  
Petra Higgins  
Chloe Rumsey  
Harry Tod-Smith  
Moorea Smithline  
Dana Fulton  
Chelcie Phillips  
Teone McGregor  
Alex Doggett  
Kaimana Gallop  
Carla Lindley  
Sam Stevens  
Cambria Doyle  
Fraser Malpas  
Joseph Cotton  
Lucy Upton  
Kassi Barrett-Hemi  
**Nelson Youth Councillors**

From: Submissions  
 Sent: Tuesday, 26 March 2013 2:16 p.m.  
 To: Administration Support  
 Subject: FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

Follow Up Flag: Follow up  
 Flag Status: Completed

-----  
 From: Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
 Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2013 2:15:54 PM  
 To: Submissions  
 Subject: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
 Auto forwarded by a Rule

# Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Venus Biesold

**Organisation (optional)**

Nelson TPPA free

**Your email address**

[venus76@gmail.com](mailto:venus76@gmail.com)

**Your phone number**

5457220

**Your postal address**

49 Wolfe street, nelson

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

yes, it surely is.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes, especially about growing more food, using reusable energy resources and reducing our consumption.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

having a garden, partially reuse grey water to water the garden, working as a supported living worker to help people in the community to enhance their quality of life

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

The vision is helpful to have as a goal in front of us. would be good to have it more referred to from the council which I cannot see.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

Reminders of where we wanna be and breaking visions down into achievable little tasks.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Happy to work together within groups as i already do little bits at the time as we are a young family and time is busy.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

I Want New Zealand not to agree to the TPPA. So as a council I want Nelson City to adopt Auckland city TPPA resolution.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 7:59 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 7:58:29 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

### Your name

Christine Grove

### Organisation (optional)

### Your email address

[cycle\\_easy@yahoo.com](mailto:cycle_easy@yahoo.com)

### Your phone number

5459151 0226102952

### Your postal address

21 Franklyn Street, Nelson

### Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?

Yes

### 1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

It suggests a blissful city. Photos are not necessary . It is too fancy and probably expensive.

### 2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

I am contributing a submission.

### 3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Continually pleading for safer cycling conditions around and in the CBD. I collected 200 signatures to save Nikau on the ACC site from being cut down. I am conscientious recycler. I do not drive my car to the CBD. I hardly ever use it. I support all the lovely goals indicated in the Nelson 2060. Moreover I have for 12 years been trying to prevent the unbeautification process.

### 4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

Yes, I can try to keep NCC to it's goals, one being a cycle/walk friendly city.

### 5. What else could help you to take action

I could rely on council to relinquish the continual provision if car parking at the expense of cycle safety and better bus patronage.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

I work with Bicycle Nelson Bays, Nelsust, Forest & Bird. I do notify council of hazards such as roads signs placed in cycle lane and glass but they hazards reappear.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Is my submission worth the effort? My thoughts are that majority rules. I believe I am in the minority, However the only way to clean the air and water in the estuary is to end this prolific use of individual commuter transport. In 2060 will the view from the middle of Montgomery or Buxton Squares be the surrounding hills or will it be sky and buildings. Will they be light ,open spaces or shady enclosed by tall buildings? Three buildings which obscure the hills have been allowed in the last years and one is happening now.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**



---

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 26 March 2013 9:53 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing Our Future - Towards Nelson 2060 Draft Strategy  
**Attachments:** Framing our Future.docx.DRF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

-----  
**From:** Steve Cross[SMTP:STEVECROSS@XTRA.CO.NZ]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 26, 2013 9:52:04 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing Our Future - Towards Nelson 2060 Draft Strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

Please find attached my submission on the draft strategy document "Framing Our Future - Towards Nelson 2060".

I would like to present my submission in person at the Council meeting on 8 April 2013.

Regards

Steve Cross

## SUBMISSION ON DRAFT STRATEGY “FRAMING OUR FUTURE”

Nelson geologist and historian, Dr Mike Johnston, in his book “High Hopes”, documents a time in Nelson history where a form of “copper fever” took over the then young colony. Many citizens, convinced that the province was about to experience its own form of a minerals boom based on the resources in the Mineral Belt, invested heavily in locally based mining ventures such as the copper mining undertaken in Aniseed Valley. Unfortunately, inadequate appraisal of the mineral resource had been performed and the ventures failed. A number of citizens were bankrupted as a result of the failures. Perhaps the biggest tragedy was that these ventures consumed capital that could have otherwise been used to far greater effect in developing farms and industry within Nelson. To that extent Nelson was all the poorer for bad investment decisions, based on poor data, despite the initial “high hopes” held.

Reading “Framing our Future – Towards Nelson 2060” I can’t help but think that we are about to experience a 21<sup>st</sup> century version of “copper fever” except this time it is “climate change fever”. The Strategy sets the stage for wasteful investment and policy development which will only serve to impoverish Nelson rather than enhance the welfare of its citizens. As with the failed minerals ventures, a number of the proposed goals in the draft strategy are based on emotive or “feel good” factors rather than economic reality. In some places the goals have been developed in response to information which is just plain wrong. That is hardly a good foundation for wise investment of scarce resource.

Whilst the draft strategy is pitched as a sustainability strategy, sustainability is highly dependent on a healthy economy. If a community becomes impoverished as a result of bad governance, the community suffers and population will drift to areas of greater opportunity. A sustainability strategy doesn’t exist on its own, it must form part of the greater long term strategy for Nelson.

Surely one goal for a sustainability strategy must be for the council to maintain the level of rates increases at less than the rate of inflation, without adding to debt. Continued borrowing by Council is unsustainable and places a burden on future generations. It is surprising that the draft strategy doesn’t contain any reference to sustainable funding policies.

It is very disappointing that the draft strategy doesn’t even identify remediation of Nelson’s stormwater drainage as something that should be a goal. That means Nelsonians could well be waiting for another 50 years before adequate, basic infrastructure is put in place. There is no goal set to deal with heavy trucks and traffic volumes on Rocks Rd – the current situation is an affront to Nelson and most Nelsonians would rank dealing with this a far higher objective than growing more food. Again, provision of basic transportation infrastructure is essential to the sustainability of the region. More cycleways aren’t going solve Nelson’s transportation issues.

The draft strategy is heavily predicated on the supposed threats posed by climate change. Indeed, the background to the draft strategy states:-

*“The effects of greenhouse gases and changing climate patterns on our planet are already becoming evident. They include extreme weather events, increased global average air and ocean temperatures, widespread melting of snow and ice and a rising global average sea level.”*

In actuality, as recently noted by the UK Met office, *“there has been no statistically significant increase in the world’s temperature since 1997”*.

The draft strategy is really a Trojan horse for Council to adopt the Green Party manifesto. Some parts of the draft strategy mirror Green Party policy wording.

Two goals in particular within the draft strategy have serious failings and should be discarded. These are Goal 4 – “We produce more of our own food” and Goal 6 – “We move from using fossil fuels to renewable energy sources”.

Goal 4 is just plain bizarre and is the kind of goal that one might have expected from a mediaeval village under imminent threat of siege. It is weird goal to say the least for a 21<sup>st</sup> century city living in the modern world in a modern economy and can only be a product of the fervent imaginations of gloom-merchants/catastrophists/scaremongers and/or survivalists. In detailing the background to this goal it is stated that :-

*“We rely on areas outside of Nelson to produce the food and other products we need so we’re at risk from changes in price and supply. Supply lines can be disrupted by a major disaster, such as an earthquake. We can protect our livelihoods and will be able to withstand shocks better by producing and supplying more of our own basic requirements such as food, building materials and local energy and at the same time support our local economy.”*

This statement displays a kind of “fortress” mentality which, if followed by every other city in New Zealand would result in substantial economic inefficiencies. It is totally erroneous to say that Nelson relies on other areas to produce our food – one only needs to look at the vast quantities of fish; fruit and dairy products that are exported out of Nelson to realize that this statement is just not true. Our local economy is heavily weighted towards food production and export. To adopt an anti-trading stance is counter-productive to Nelson’s economic interest. And to suggest that our supply lines are vulnerable in the event of an earthquake is unfounded scaremongering at best. Despite the ravages of the Christchurch earthquake and its associated disruption there has never been any suggestion that Christchurch residents went without food for any length of time (other than Marmite) or starved.

On its own Goal 4 might appear to be innocuous enough except, if adopted, the draft strategy signals that measures such as land use planning (code for prohibiting subdivisions) will follow. The natural consequence of such a policy is that residential

land will become a scarce resource, the price of it will increase and its affordability will decrease. That would have an adverse effect on Nelson's ability to attract new residents and detract from economic welfare – all for the sake of a policy based on some survivalist view of the world.

Goal 6 is another “feel good” goal which, if implemented, could result in substantial loss of economic value for the region and hence diverting capital from productive use to areas of low value. The draft strategy holds up Nelson Airport as a shining example of the way forward through its use of 44 photovoltaic panels and makes the extraordinary claim that “*the investment in solar panels makes sense financially*”. That is an outright falsity and counter to international experience which shows that solar power generation still requires massive subsidies to be viable. The draft strategy provides no supporting evidence for this outrageous claim, which would easily be proved or disproved if Nelson Airport were to release details on its power purchases prior to installation of the panels and after installation, along with details of the capital cost of the equipment. Whilst the solar panels might give everyone a cuddly feel-good feeling the fact is they were purchased using money that could have otherwise been divided to Council and used for other more productive purposes. The panels represent an expensive PR exercise. Worse still, the panels have probably caused a net increase in global CO2 emissions. Manufacture of solar panels is energy intensive with between 350 Kg and 1500 Kg of CO2 being generated per square metre of solar panel. Given that 100% of electricity generation in the South Island is already from renewable sources, and additional generation will only result in spill of hydro water, the airport installation is likely to have added to net emissions, not reduced them.

Nelson does not have an abundance of renewable energy sources. Other than solar, use of which would result in prohibitive energy costs relative to the rest of the country, biofuels would represent the next possible alternative. As has been shown with the US ethanol industry, biofuels in themselves pose many problems including diversion of farmland from food production to energy production; ramped up food prices; and a dependence on subsidies. On current knowledge, it is not a feasible strategy for Nelson to become self-sufficient on its own renewable energy.

In NZ we already have in place a mechanism to ensure that emission reductions occur in the economically most efficient manner. The mechanism is called the Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) and places a price on carbon. That price at the moment is around \$2/t. If Council really wished to see Nelson play a part in reducing emissions it should buy up Carbon credits at \$2/t and ask that they be deleted from the Register. That would help the price of carbon credits to increase, thereby encouraging industry and carbon generating activities to invest in reducing their emissions. Any activities Council proposes to undertake to reduce carbon emissions, such as installation of further photovoltaic panels, should be evaluated against the alternative of purchasing carbon credits in order to ensure that the desired end goal is being achieved at the lowest possible cost.

Unfortunately, Goal 6 does not seem to weigh up costs and benefits or evaluate whether the desired goal (reduction in emissions) is being achieved in an economically efficient

manner or not. As formulated, Goal 6 could see substantial investment made for very little tangible result. It is a goal formulated on “feel good” ideals rather than rational thought.

Perhaps the most disappointing thing about the draft strategy is it does not explicitly deal with three fundamental goals which should be high priority if Nelson is to achieve the vision set out. The goals that are missing are:

1. Creating Opportunities for Young Nelsonians;
2. Ensuring Nelson Infrastructure Fosters Economic Growth and Wellbeing
3. Ensuring that rates increases in the long term don't exceed the rate of inflation, without increasing Council's debt burden.

Income data from 2006 shows the median income in Nelson then was \$23,100 compared with \$28,000 in Wellington. Only 14% of Nelsonians earned more than \$50,000 p.a. compared with 23.6% of Wellingtonians. Since then, if anything the wage disparity has increased. For many decades Nelson has seen its smartest, most talented young people leave the district due to lack of opportunity. The draft strategy does not explicitly deal with this issue, yet Nelson will not achieve the vision set out if it can't attract and retain talented and educated young people. That should be the most fundamental goal of the draft strategy yet it is a mere footnote. There are examples of other regions in NZ developing strategies to attract and retain their talented young people eg Southland.

The second fundamental building block for economic prosperity is infrastructure. In particular it is a damning indictment on past Councils that we still have heavy traffic travelling around a world class waterfront. It has been remiss of Council to allow this to continue for so long. There is an irony in the fact that the draft strategy is so concerned about the possible disruption that might occur to food supplies in the event of an earthquake but completely ignores the vulnerability the city has to the far more likely event of Rocks Rd being out of action (as has happened in very recent times).

In short, the draft strategy needs amendment to reflect goals well founded in economic rationality rather than warm fuzzies. Currently the strategy reads like a Green Party manifesto document.

S.E Cross

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 26 March 2013 2:37 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 26, 2013 2:37:08 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Rosemary Callaghan

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[rlc.mjc@xtra.co.nz](mailto:rlc.mjc@xtra.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

(03) 545 0015

**Your postal address**

135A Dodson Valley Road  
Atawhai

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Not sure.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

I would like to think so.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Restoring a previously farmed piece of land back to native bush.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

Not sure.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

Greater local emphasis on sustainability.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Perhaps.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

27

I have concerns about local government and its capacity to care and act about local issues due to influence from outside corporate interests. See comments below in other. I would like to see Nelson City Council safeguard against interference by requesting the government only sign the TPP agreement with certain riders. Please refer to the resolution signed by Auckland City Council RDO/2012/266

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

Auckland-City-resolution.pdf - [Download File](#)

24 Approval of Plan Change 127 - Huapai North: Introduction of Special 29 Zone to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011

Resolution number RDO/2012/264

MOVED by Cr P Webster, seconded Cr DM Morrison:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) approves Plan Change 127 to the District Plan, as set out in Attachment A to this report, pursuant to Clause 17 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- b) authorises the Manager Planning North/West to complete the statutory processes under Clause 20 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991 to make Plan Change 127 operative in the District Plan.

CARRIED

26 Presentation on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements - Recommendation from the Economic Forum

*Deputy Mayor Hulse exited the meeting at 2:22 pm.*

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand.

Resolution number RDO/2012/265

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

Cr Northey moved an amendment, by way of addition to the original recommendation, seconded by Cr Casey:

- a) ..., that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
  - i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.
  - ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
  - iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
  - iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
  - v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
  - vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;



- vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
- viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
- ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
- x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
- xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
- xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

For:

Councillors: Dr Cathy Casey  
Sandra Coney  
Alf Filipaina  
Ann Hartley  
Mike Lee  
Richard Northey  
David Taipari  
Patience Te Ao  
Wayne Walker

Against:

Councillors: Hon Chris Fletcher  
Des Morrison  
Calum Penrose  
Noelene Raffills  
Sir John Walker  
Penny Webster  
George Wood

Cr Anae Arthur Anae, Cr Cameron Brewer, Mayor Len Brown, Cr Michael Goudie, Deputy Mayor Penny Hulse, Cr Dick Quax and Cr Sharon Stewart were not in the room at the time of voting.

The division was declared CARRIED by 9 votes to 7.

CARRIED

The substantive motion was put:

Resolution number RDO/2012/266

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand, that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
  - i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.



- ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
- iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
- iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
- v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
- vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;
- vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
- viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
- ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
- x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
- xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
- xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

CARRIED

*Cr Fletcher exited the meeting at 2:44 pm.*

27 Final copy of the Maui's dolphin Threat Management Plan Submission to DOC and MPI

Resolution number RDO/2012/267

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) approves the final submission on the Maui's dolphin Threat Management Plan (TMP), which was lodged with the Department of Conservation and Ministry for Primary Industries on 12 November 2012.

CARRIED

*Deputy Mayor Hulse returned to the meeting 2:45pm*

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Office Use Only	
Submission Number	28
INITIALS	

RECEIVED

26 MAR 2013

Name NIGEL FREEMAN

Daytime phone 548 2875

Address 190 NILE ST. EAST.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Service

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

I AM PLEASED TO KNOW THAT RESPONSIBLE PEOPLE ARE DEVELOPING A PRACTABLE PLAN FOR NELSON'S FUTURE

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

YES

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

VEGETABLE GARDENING, CONSERVING WATER WALKING AND BICYCLING RATHER THAN DRIVING.

L... A...

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

28

YES

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

YES

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

PROMOTE VEGITARIANISM

Date 24 MARCH 2013

Signature [Handwritten Signature]

Help with making a submission overleaf...

1466836



**Nelson City Council**  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
[www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz](http://www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz)

# RECEIVED

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion? 6 MAR 2013  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the Customer Service writing guidelines (over) before starting.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Service

Office Use Only	
Submission Number	29
File Ref	INITIALS

Name GWENDOLYN BRAY

Daytime phone 548-3323

Address 29 Bronte St Nelson 7010 Mailing address PO Box 494 Nelson 7040

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?  YES  NO # of pages \_\_\_\_\_  
If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information  
Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

Yes - with reservations especially in terms of emphasis. For example: (pages refer to the Draft 59 page "Facing our Future")  
 Page 7 P1 states "Change can happen gradually (or) be sudden."  
 I suggest turning this around and make stability or steady state an important aim. In such a context change is much easier to handle.  
 P3 on the need for "good leadership" necessitates a guarantee of a process to get rid of bad leadership. In fact grassroots wisdom + culture is far more important in long term sustainability and stability. So the 4th paragraph is of greater importance because capturing everyone's wisdom provides the leadership, the support and the knowledge of what it is that we need.  
 ★ To Secure our FUTURE. STOP BORROWING. PAY off DEBT.  
 BE DEBT-FREE and you will be more capable of retaining our sovereignty and ability to decide our future.

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?  
Yes.

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

My training and experience in ecological thinking forms the basis of 40 years work in coastal conservation in Top of the South. I am a founding member and current chair of Friends of Nelson Haven & Tasman Bay. Our history from 1973 to 2006 is available on request. Our website [www.nelsonhaven.org.nz](http://www.nelsonhaven.org.nz) contains this history plus annual reports up to the present.  
 Virtually all human activity on the Top of the South coast, water and sea ends up affecting our coastal waters. At present there are total huge improvements and huge challenges. A current example is the wild shellfisheries (mussel, scallop, oyster) of Tasman Bay which at their peak were worth \$90 million annual use at present commercially depleted. NCC has the power to ban dredging in its area of T. Bay which could be an interesting move. Where else on the coast has dredging been? 21. Feb 09 Tasman Bay in the past decades? **Page 79**

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

29

Yes see Q3 above

Also - NCC could consider taking a stand on the Trans Pacific Partnership Agreement - TPPA - as exemplified by the Auckland City Resolution of 2-12-12. The TPPA is being negotiated in secret and could result in a major transnational corporation challenging local body decisions which displeased it - such as banning alcohol or tobacco use in designated areas. Environmental safeguards required by NCC could be challenged - at great expense - in a NZ or worse offshore court. Intellectual property rights, pharmaceutical bulk purchases, access to medical, theatre and scientific data could be challenged.

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

I already am - through Friends of Nelson Haven

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Especially important is for NCC to work towards being Debt-Free. Recent events in Cyprus, Italy, Greece, Portugal etc demonstrate how sovereignty is lost if you borrow and become indebted. Then outside/foreign powers can dictate your future - framed or unframed.

Date 24 March 2013

Signature *[Handwritten Signature]*

Help with making a submission overleaf...



Nelson City Council  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

RECEIVED

Office Use Only

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

26 MAR 2013

Submission Number

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Service

30

File Ref

INITIALS

Name J. Roger Bray

Daytime phone 548 3323

Address 29 Bronte St

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

YES  NO

# of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

Nelson City Council should support the Auckland City Resolution 6/12/12 attached.

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

Yes  
if the council face the dire future which the NZ government is placing on us and our loss of local sovereignty

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

I am reminding all our friends that the international capitalist conspiracy to decline our democracy and make chaos is happening. I'm supporting the slogan Capitalism Sux and by Sux is meant Does not work!

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

Yes if they get behind the people and support their efforts to retain our freedoms and expand them when possible 30

5. What else could help you to take action?

Nelson City Council Councils in N.Z.

Cooperation between and the other such

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

Yes, with the Council

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Not at the moment

Date 25 March 2013

Signature

J. Roger Bray

Help with making a submission overleaf...

1466836



Nelson City Council te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200 www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz



# AUCKLAND CITY RESOLUTION

6/12/12

Resolution number RD0/2012/286

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker;

30

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee;

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand, that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
- i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.
  - ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
  - iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
  - iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
  - v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
  - vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;
  - vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
  - viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
  - ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
  - x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
  - xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
  - xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

9/7

CARRIED

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion. Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

RECEIVED

26 MAR 2013

Office Use Only

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Service

Submission Number

31

File Ref

INITIALS

Name Anne

Daytime phone 0277407480

Address 122 Grove Street, The road, Halsbury

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

YES  NO

# of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

It is inspiring. I am in full support of of moving towards a more environmentally aware way of living. I believe it is the only way to secure a positive future for the earth for all

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

it's creatures!!

Yes, we all do.

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Yes

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

31

Yes.

5. What else could help you to take action?

Many more courses for people at a cheap or subsidised rate to do with things such as growing food, sustainable living practices, how to be a conscious consumer.

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

Yes -

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Myself (and hundreds of other people do not want the T.P.P.A to go through. And if it does then we wish to be like Auckland and take ourselves (Nelson) off the agreement.

Date 26/3/13 Signature [Signature]

Help with making a submission overleaf...

RECEIVED

26 MAR 2013

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Office Use Only	
NELSON CITY COUNCIL Customer Service	Submission Number 32
File Ref	INITIALS

Name Bill Leonard

Daytime phone 970-0836

Address 50 Cambria St

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

It's mostly OK, but its paucity of directional specifics leaves the door open to interpretations which will too easily be based on current bad habits and destructive beliefs. Re pg 38, perhaps the document should have included a long list of actions. As long as our mayors fly to China and we continue to breed and farm animals, we'll never get where we're trying to go.

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

Yes, I'm one of the few people who knows what sustainability really requires.

RECEIVED

26 MAR 2013

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Customer Service

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Low-fat, mostly whole food, increasingly locally-sourced vegan diet, reducing demands on our healthcare system, our land, and our energy and water supplies. Also, I do not use a car or truck. I do not contribute to an animal-farming industry which, by its very nature, cannot and will not be ecologically sustainable. 2060 will have a global human population of 9,000,000,000. There simply will not be enough land to feed mass-bred animals on.

4. If you were going to take <sup>further</sup> action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

It should. On pg 39, Principle 4 ascribes to inclusive and transparent democratic processes. The next time I get thrown out of a "commercially sensitive" council meeting, I can quote scripture.

32

5. What else could help you to take action?

being surrounded by Nelsonians who aren't so meat-addicted that they reject, suppress or ignore vital pathways to our shared goals

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

At the moment, no. Perhaps later on I will find some optimism — or stomach — for it.

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Return-on-investment, when placed ahead of (or even on-par with) other goals, will continue to block our <sup>other</sup> goals. Nelson will not only need to have its anti-nuclear policy cemented in law irrevocably, but it will need to do the same with transnational trade agreements, as they are counter to the localisation, security and overall wellbeing which '2060' espouses.

Date

25/3/2013

Signature

Billy Leonard

Help with making a submission overleaf...



Nelson City Council  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

# draft

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 10:23 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

---

**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 10:22:56 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Janet Whittington

**Organisation (optional)**

Stoke Sunday Market

**Your email address**

[whittington99@xtra.co.nz](mailto:whittington99@xtra.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

539-0669

**Your postal address**

35 Brougham St Nelson 7010

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

I think it is the best and most forward thinking document I have seen or heard a council produce. While I have been party to the meeting that produced the documents to date, I would like to raise our heads up from local detail to the international laws that may restrict our ability to implement any of the ideas coming from this fabulous council initiative.

I would like to encourage the council to insist that the central government conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership & Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Nelson and New Zealand. that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the objectives I have outlined below.

I would also like the council to pass the same resolution as the Auckland City Council did on 6 Dec 2012 Resolution number RDO/2012/266. Copy attached for your reference.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes, I am submitting to call the council's attention to the TPPA [Trans Pacific Partnership Agreement], and how it will restrict and override the vision if allowed to be passed into law by central government.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

33

I am supporting local economies through the establishment of the outdoor markets, currently the Stoke Sunday Market. This facilitates fledgling enterprises to begin and grow in our region. I would like to encourage the council to pass a resolution that does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives such as outdoor markets. These help our locals into meaningful employment.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

It would if the council would pass a resolution that

- a. Contained enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of all our rights for trade or investment advantage.
- b. Had general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi and New Zealand's economic and financial stability.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

If the council would pass a resolution that ensured that no laws were imposed upon us, did not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of the Nelson City Council or other local government organisations.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes. Furthermore, I think this element needs to be retained to enable us to pass the above in resolutions in council. I would like to see the council ratify our right to do so. I would like to continue to be able to negotiate with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the all agreements, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

I would like the council to resolve to:

- a. Provide substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Nelson Tasman region into international markets including the USA market.
- b. Ensure it does not allow anything to undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control
- c. Ensure that we do not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current laws.
- d. Retain and develop enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage
- e. Have general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi and New Zealand's economic and financial stability.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

ACC-resolution-re-tpa-Dec-2012.jpg - [Download File](#)

# AUCKLAND CITY RESOLUTION

6/12/12

Resolution number RDC/2012/255

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand, that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
- i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.
  - ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
  - iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
  - iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
  - v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
  - vi. Does not expand Intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;
  - vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
  - viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
  - ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core international Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
  - x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
  - xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
  - xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

9/7 CARRIED



Ruth Killman

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 3:34 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 3:34:23 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Helen Black

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[helen.hamberg@gmail.com](mailto:helen.hamberg@gmail.com)

**Your phone number**

545 9020

**Your postal address**

8A Sowman Street  
 Nelson 7010

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

I believe for visions to be a useful exercise, there has to be a sense trust that the council knows its community well enough to be able to prioritise. My number ONE priority is infra structure and goal Nine and Ten.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

I have enough on my plate to just survive day to day.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

No

**5. What else could help you to take action**

For me to see the council prioritise to spend money on infra structure instead of deferring these things year after year, instead of spending money on the 'nice extras in life'.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Absolutely. The arrangement of conservation groups and the MTB Club to have 'partnerships' with Parks and Facilities who singelhandedly make decisions that changes the usage of public land without public consultations is WRONG and unlawful.

Codger's is a perfect example where the council bypassed any public consultations in regards to the increased development and status of a MTB Park.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

I engage in three areas that I feel passionate or strongly about.

1. Infra structure: Stormwater drains around Nelson need attention. Damp and cold houses create unnecessary health issues because of inadequate or non existent surface water drains.

2. Safe roads, paths and tracks for walkers and drivers around Nelson: The Codger's area in the Brook is a mess. Lack of parking, bikers flying down the street the wrong way, or down the footpaths, some track intersections have blind corners, bikers freedom camp in the Brook Valley Parks.

The easy access to Codger's tracks are drawing inexperienced bikers to the park. There are relatively many bikers that injure themselves biking. What can be done to protect walkers from these bikers? There are not enough nicely graded and shaded walks in Nelson for walkers to go elsewhere.

3. Sufficient variety of walks where I can exercise my dog. A dog is an excellent motivator to start living a healthier life or prevent isolation.

I would like the council to steered away from business enterprises and concentrated on council core business. I am horrified that tourists are looked upon as 'cash cows' or that my rates are spent on supporting the creation of businesses.

I do find it difficult to hear that the council cannot afford to fix past mistakes in regards to stormwater when council wants to give \$6 million to the Suter Gallery or \$1 million towards pest fencing of the Brook Sanctuary. I would love to be a philanthropist, but unfortunately I cannot afford to do so.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 12:43 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 12:43:27 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Sabine Mueller

**Organisation (optional)**

Nelson TPPA

**Your email address**

[freobine@hotmail.com](mailto:freobine@hotmail.com)

**Your phone number**

035484335

**Your postal address**

5 Atarau Way  
 Nelson 7011

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Yes, It is good to have a plan and a positive, optimistic vision for Nelson

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

I am interested in what is going on and I work in a community owned hospital.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

Yes, if we benchmark all actions against the goals

**5. What else could help you to take action**

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Nelson City adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution. I support an Active Democracy for Nelson City. The vision of fresh water, healthy locally grown affordably priced food that grows in naturally nutrients rich soil, art, crafts, innovations and festivals, a community that includes and caters for all people from all walks of life, sustainable resource management, employment with fair pay for good work, child friendly environment e.g professional child care centers- this is a vision that I will actively support.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 10:49 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

---

**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 10:48:56 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

---

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

J Brandt

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[jasmiiin@hotmail.com](mailto:jasmiiin@hotmail.com)

**Your phone number**

**Your postal address**

Withheld [available to Council on request]

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Yes Nelson 2060 is very inspiring in many ways and reflects the future I want. I particularly like the emphasis on being ecologically exemplary and reducing the use of chemicals - personally, I would like to see this statement strengthened further by saying we will minimise and/or strive to eliminate the use of chemicals in the environment. Organic/bio dynamic is the way to go to keep the land, the water and our people healthy.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes, everybody has a part to play - together we can make a difference, together we get there faster.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Examples: My preferred mode of transport is the bicycle (reduced use of fossil fuels); I make many things myself from sustainable materials (e.g. beauty & health products from plant materials) re-using vessels thus reducing plastics going to landfill; I choose to buy local products and produce.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

**5. What else could help you to take action**

36

Increasing the number of safe cycle lanes (separate from the cars) like the railroad reserve would encourage more people to use bicycles as mode of transport. Especially Rocks Road is a scary experience for cyclists - careless drivers/huge trucks/glass shards/mudslides/diesel fumes etc

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Nelson 2060 acknowledges the importance of local resilience. I am concerned how the Trans Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPPA) may impact on local government ability to make decisions about local matters once signed. If Council were to consider passing a similar resolution to that passed by Auckland Council recently in regards to the TPPA, I would support that.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 10:38 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: submission to Nelson 2060  
**Attachments:** Response to Nelson 2060.doc.DRF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

---

**From:** Penny Molnar[SMTP:SAPMOLNAR@XTRA.CO.NZ]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 10:37:38 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** submission to Nelson 2060  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

---

Please find attached my response to your request for Nelson 2060 feedback.  
Penny Molnar

Thank you for this opportunity.

I think it is an inspiring vision for our future and the place I want to spend the rest of my life.

My comments to particular goals are:

Goal 1: Encouragement of leaders is great. I consider it NCC's role to bring leaders together often and for specific issues to ensure the vision is achieved.

Goal 2: Continue opportunities for everyone to participate in decision making. Ensure once decisions are made that they are then not 'put on the back burner' and the momentum is lost. This is very disheartening for citizens. There needs to be a process to keep goodwill and engagement with the wider community in place to sustain the actions to achieve the vision over the next 50 years – regardless of any governance changes.

Goals 3 and 4: They are both vital for our health and wellbeing and must not be compromised. The growing of edible fruits and foods in public places is fantastic and a great way for young and old people to be involved with healthy food production.

Goal 5: I agree. Good communication processes and networks are necessary for this. I think it is one of NCC's roles to drive/manage/assist with the maintenance of such networks.

Goals 6 and 7: Yes, I agree and NCC needs to be the driving force leading/facilitating these goals. Our economy needs to thrive but not at the expense of our health and wellbeing. Example: NCC needs to take a strong stand on the number of fast food outlets in the city and where they are placed. Burger King at the entrance to our CBD is a very poor image. Some countries don't allow large advertising boards. We could do the same.

Goal 8: Yes, I agree. NCC needs to be facilitating relationships between education and industry.

Goal 9: Yes, I agree. It is vital that NCC has strong links into all aspects of the community. That it sets a goal of reducing the gap between the 'haves' and the 'have nots' which has been growing rapidly in the last 20 years.

Examples: Affordable housing for all that is healthy to live in. Good, affordable and accessible public transport. Public amenities that everyone can enjoy and will improve their health and fitness – sports grounds, parks, bike and walkways including walkways within and between subdivisions so that people can get up onto the tops of the hills.

It is important that NCC goes on supporting community based organisations that work hard to improve the lives of the less fortunate. We need to work together as it is difficult for such voluntary groups to raise funds on their own accord to meet their costs. The work done by these groups contribute to NCC's vision – we need each other.



Goal 10: Yes I agree. It is a pity it is so expensive to take waste to the refuse centre. Some communities could benefit from free rubbish pick up to reduce the build up of back yard rubbish. Fast food outlets could be charged more rates to make up for the rubbish they create all around the city streets eg McDonalds, Burger King.

I am a member of Community Workers Training and Support Trust and through that organisation and others that I am part of I will continue to participate in community activities and responses to NCC's requests for feedback.

Thank you again for this opportunity.  
Penny Molnar

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 8:57 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 8:56:56 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Helmut Janssen

**Organisation (optional)**

Adaptive Resource Management Ltd

**Your email address**

[resourceinfo.mgmt@gmail.com](mailto:resourceinfo.mgmt@gmail.com)

**Your phone number**

5716026

**Your postal address**

1005 Opouri rd  
RD1  
Rai Valley

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

The vision begins well. It's ending fails to deliver the pulling power necessary for a realistic 2060 vision. Today's neoliberal policy jargon (socially balanced / economic prosperity) already means anything to anybody fit to maintain the status quo, which certainly won't be an option.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Absolutely

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Am developing life-supporting capacity for:

" residents to connect easily to each other and to the beautiful place we call home. Our inclusive

leadership style supports our unique approach to living, which is boldly creative, ecologically exemplary"

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

Helpful as a reference.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

Providing unbureaucratic support and funding for head/heart/hand people who are already actioning the vision to help people and commuity take responsibility and for the life-supporting capacity of its environment.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Absolutely

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Immediate Action required to support local communiyt and environment: Nelson City to follow Auckland's lead declare a resolution that exempts and protects freedom of Nelson Tasman community and ENVIRONS from TPPA corporate globalistic agenda.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

.....

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 8:01 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
  
**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed  
  
**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 8:00:53 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Colin Robertson

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

colin.robertson4@gmail.com

**Your phone number**

02040101775

**Your postal address**

Braemar Eco Village, Nelson

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Yes but without mechanisms being written into Annual and 10 year plans to achieve the goals in a reasonable timeframe it is empty rhetoric.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Of Course, everyone in Nelson has a par to play

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Living a very low impact existence, entirely. Have been an organic farmer. Have had a house i designed and built featured in Ecofest. I highlight fossil fuel use and the impact of it to the local community as well as local Environmental issues through groups such as Greenpeace, Forest and Bird, The Coal Action Network. Participate in the Sustainability forum. Got the loomio group for the Sustainability forum up and running. Donate a substantial amount of my earnings to legal fights against environmentally destructive resource concent applications. But none of this is enough.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

At current rates (according to the IEA) we should expect 3.5 degrees of warming by 2050. according to Price Waterhouse coopers it'll be 6 degrees by 2100. This is pretty profound, the carrying capacity of the planet will be much lower and the issues facing us will be huge on fronts. Famine/effects of regular severe weather events (NIWA tell us to expect what are currently 1 in 200 year events to come every few years. mass migration is to be expected. regular and severe droughts. To be naive about what is clearly our trajectory and the real challenges we will face and represent Nelson in 2060 in the way that the document does reminds me of Huxely's Brave New World. The euphemistic way climate change is described is shameful as it minimises the precieved risk in the minds of readers of this document who in many cases see you as an authority.

#### **5. What else could help you to take action**

I'm open to suggestions? I think I am doig everything I can. I use less than 2kw/hr of energy per day which is generated from PV. I cycle to work. I have the second most efficient car in NZ but wish I could live without one which I cannot due to the state of our public transport system. It is not what I should do and this question is intentionally framed to throw the focus once again onto the individual. Council needs to be brave enough to heavily subsidise public transport until usage numbers are high enough to make it economically viable at very low cost per passenger. Our Nbus is ridiculously expensive compared to public transport elsewhere. Cost comparisons to prove its affordability are flawed as they take off the cost of car ownership from the consideration of a bus user when in Nelson a bus user would generally still hold onto a car due to the logistical challenges of living in a town with historical planning and the infrastructure developed with the car in mind. We also need a council initiated delivery scheme (potentially given to a private entity to operate under license) where goods bought in any shop in town are delivered to the shoppers home at low cost. This would enable people to shop for anything on their bike.

#### **6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

happy to work with everyone where possible.

#### **7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

The discussion document and goals are highly desirable outcomes but they need a rigorous top down plan to achieve them along with grassroots efforts from the community. I feel that these submission questions are framed to put the focus on the individual. I feel the greatest barriers to sustainability in Nelson can only be achieved with some top down policy changes, reprioritisation and intentional effort from the council. On a granular level we desperately need to sort out our bike lanes. The focus must change to them being considered core infrastructure not nice to have fluffy stuff. Currently our bike lanes are in many ways more dangerous than cycling on the road largely due to right of way issues. Also in part due to the locations that they suddenly end. Many of our bike lanes are much less direct than the road so are not used by the majority of cyclists. We need to capture and store our resources and energy more efficiently. The council must be active in advocating for its community opposing the content of the TPPA in its submissions to central government. We could and should be leading the country but to do this we need more than rhetoric.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 7:05 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 7:05:13 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Arny Ahnfeldt

**Organisation (optional)**

Current Generation Ltd

**Your email address**

[solar@currentgeneration.co.nz](mailto:solar@currentgeneration.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

5478369

**Your postal address**

129 Pascoe Street, Annesbrook, Nelson

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

There is some very thoughtful & considered ideas to be examined in moving forward in a positive way. We agree that this should be a living document, as the city evolves & grows there should be plenty of room for the document to evolve and grow to accommodate progression

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes we want to be involved in our community to leave a positive legacy for the future

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Our business is based in renewable energy and we are a strong advocate in the promotion and the education of people in the benefits of alternative energy, especially solar. Our business is run on solar power, the first business in Nelson being grid connected and making a return on power from the sun!

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

**5. What else could help you to take action****6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes, we would like to support the council in promoting solar & renewable energies. We offer advice & partnership in helping the council to utilize solar on their own buildings and in educating the people of Nelson in the sustainable & financial benefits.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

We are sorry that we have only just heard about Nelson 2060. We would appreciate if there was any way of receiving information relative to our area of expertise through email or snail mail

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 4:52 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 4:52:14 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Chris Allison

**Organisation (optional)**

Bicycle Nelson Bays

**Your email address**

[cga@orcon.net.nz](mailto:cga@orcon.net.nz)

**Your phone number**

6435486636

**Your postal address**

8 Winns Lane, Tahunanui

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

It's a good basis for the city to work from.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

**5. What else could help you to take action**

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes, BNB is working intensively with council and other involved organisations at present.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

There is some mention of creating greater opportunities for cycling in the strategy, but the economic, environmental and social benefits of much greater use of cycling for recreation and transport deserves more attention, and more ambitious (measurable ) targets. NCC's application for NZTA's



Model Community funding took the position that Nelson could become "an outstanding model community not just in New Zealand but throughout Australasia." This ambition would be a worthy inclusion in the Nelson 2060 strategy.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 4:43 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 4:42:29 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Joachim Lang

**Organisation (optional)**

Nelson TPPA free

**Your email address**

[safetheplanet@newmiddleearth.com](mailto:safetheplanet@newmiddleearth.com)

**Your phone number**

03 5485335

**Your postal address**

5 Atarau Way  
 Nelson

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?
2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?
3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?
4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?
5. What else could help you to take action
6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

yes

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Nelson City to adopt the Auckland City TPPA resolution. I support the active Democracy for Nelson City.

2.) Introduce catalytic converters for all cars and make it a requirement for all cars entering the Nelson Area (like Germany is doing for example)

NZ is one of the few western countries that does not have mandatory catalytic converters! Introduce emission tests with WOF, some cars pollute the air badly. You are banning good and well working woodburners but not smoky cars.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 4:00 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Submission.

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Kathy Solly on behalf of Council Enquiries (Inquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 3:59:49 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** FW: Submission.  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

-----  
**From:** Jocelyn & Paul Bielecki [<mailto:AEL@Tasman.net>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 3:32 p.m.  
**To:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Subject:** Submission.

## FRAMING OUR FUTURE: OPINION.

### SUBMITTER:

I. Paul Bielecki, 44B Parkers Road, Nelson.

Ph 548-6803.

### VISION.

I approve of the good work that has been done.

My environmental economics textbook notes six definitions of sustainability documented.

My understanding of sustainability is that it means **everlasting**, not the greenwash pr talk from corporations.

### PLAYING A PART.

I have already been part of a presentation to the council on peak petroleum. Very few councillors deigned to attend. I am continually confronted by irrational denial. .

I will continue to research energy economics as much as my age will allow.

The export of unprocessed logs is resented by many people as exploitation. I think that logs could be squared up before export. This will enable more wood to be carried by trucks reducing the number of trips or having lower a lower centre of gravity and less weight carried for the same amount of useful wood. There should be an advantage in the shipping also. Squared off logs should be valued higher and more useful wood shipped. The we will have the sectors of wood cut off to use a resource for energy production or chemical production.

COMMENTS.

43

I object to the policy of growth. Page 9 says "... economic wellbeing so we can **attract** or keep the workforce ...". Under Goal 7, page 27: "... how best to **attract** and retain skilled people ...", and "... **attract** new businesses ...". Under Goal 8 on page 29: "... great jobs **attract** young people to come to ...". These imply a wish to keep on the growth treadmill.

To cater for growth, there will be a continuous extra demand that will degrade Nelson's attributes.

Growth must eventually stop so we must stop growth now so we can avoid the problems it brings. In a non-growth society or a steady-state society or an equilibrium society (what ever we call it) will enable a better standard of living and standard of consumption. There be no need for house building as the unchanging population level will have enough homes; we only need to concentrate on maintenance and replacing fire damaged homes. We will have enough factories, office blocks, sports grounds, bridges, roads, stadiums, water supply, sewage works, etc for the fixed population. Avoiding the need to divert so much activity to these works, there will be more time for ourselves.

The campaign to make Nelson a nuclear free zone has helped us avoid the 3 nuclear station meltdowns of Fukushima and the desperate situation that Japan finds itself. This forward looking statement is accepted as a given now. The same firm position should be taken for making our region GM free. The promises for this technology have clearly failed and have many harmful effects where it has been introduced. The idea that our local body and people will have to carry the can for mistakes rather than the proposers is something to avoid. I understand that a Northland Council reject GM so as to avoid any consequential costs.

The same applies to any acceptance of any consequences of the TTPA that is being proposed in secret. We should follow the Aucklanders and move to reject the TTPA.

Date: 27<sup>th</sup> March 2013. Paul Bieleski.

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 1:38 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

---

**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 1:37:39 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

S Standish

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[matt.sue@xtra.co.nz](mailto:matt.sue@xtra.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

547 2484

**Your postal address**

19 Shelley Crescent Stoke

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?
2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?
3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?
4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?
5. What else could help you to take action
6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?
7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Would like to see the council explore the full ramifications of the TPPA and its effects on New Zealanders, councils and possible consequences to the environment. Make this information public so we can all make informed decisions.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 12:39 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Submission to Nelson 2060 from CLD network

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Kathy Solly on behalf of Council Enquiries (Inquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 12:38:52 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** FW: Submission to Nelson 2060 from CLD network  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

-----  
**From:** Victory Community Health [<mailto:vchinfo@victory.school.nz>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 10:41 a.m.  
**To:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Subject:** Submission to Nelson 2060 from CLD network

The Community-Led Development network wishes to make the following comments on the Nelson 2060 plan:

#### **Submission from Community-Led Development Nelson Network**

We see this whole process as one following community-led principles and as such it is innovative, responsive and visionary and we support it. We also endorse the commitment and leadership NCC is offering to provide civic engagement and planning for our future in this way. Much of this plan is about us all creating and participating to build a "place" that expresses who we are and what we are about, now and in the future. This is also consistent with CLD principles, so we believe that our network can offer ways to actively be part of the way forward.

We appreciate the way in which this plan conveys all the goals, the principles underpinning them and the actions, which includes the measures of how we are doing, which are important tools to enable us to adapt and modify as we proceed.

In order to maintain goodwill and engagement sustainably over the next fifty years, we will assume that NCC will always take into account community views, regardless of changes within the governance of Council. While the Local Government Act has reduced the mandate for councils to identify and work to various well-beings, we will also assume from the goals in this plan that NCC and our community are pledging to work together and uphold these values.

To answer the "call to action" (pg 58), we intend to use the vision, goals and decision framework to guide us in our work. We see ourselves playing an active part

**Community-Led Development Network** - a collaborative group who is actively creating a learning environment about these principles – made up of individuals who supported the Victory Village Forum and now support further explorations; organisations working in this way; representatives from local and central government agencies interested in this way of working.

Specifically in support of this submission:

Victory Community Health Centre Inc

Felicite Jardine

Tahunanui Community Centre

New Zealand Red Cross (Tasman)

Nelson YMCA  
Nayland Community Education  
Megan Courtney  
Felicite Jardine  
Brigid Ryan, Ryan & Rienhoff & Associates  
Neighbourhood Support Nelson Inc  
Nelson Multicultural Council

Kindra Douglas, QSM - Director  
**Victory Community Health Centre**  
**NZ COMMUNITY of the YEAR 2010**  
2 Totara St, PO Box 8057, Victory, Nelson 7046, NZ.  
[communityhealth@victory.school.nz](mailto:communityhealth@victory.school.nz)  
website - [www.victorycommunitycentre.co.nz](http://www.victorycommunitycentre.co.nz)  
(03) 546 8381  
*Please note I am NOT in this office on Wednesdays*

*Seize the moment!*



Please consider the environment before printing this email.



---

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 12:39 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: submission to Nelson 2060 - attached  
**Attachments:** VCHC\_Nelson\_2060\_Framing the Future\_submission\_mar13.doc.DRF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Blue Category

---


**From:** Kathy Solly on behalf of Council Enquiries (Inquiry)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2013 12:38:38 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** FW: submission to Nelson 2060 - attached  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

---

**From:** Victory Community Health [<mailto:vchinfo@victory.school.nz>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 March 2013 10:41 a.m.  
**To:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Subject:** submission to Nelson 2060 - attached

Kindra Douglas, QSM - Director  
**Victory Community Health Centre**  
NZ COMMUNITY of the YEAR 2010  
2 Totara St, PO Box 8057, Victory, Nelson 7046, NZ.  
[communityhealth@victory.school.nz](mailto:communityhealth@victory.school.nz)  
website - [www.victorycommunitycentre.co.nz](http://www.victorycommunitycentre.co.nz)  
(03) 546 8381  
*Please note I am NOT in this office on Wednesdays*

*Seize the moment!*

 Please consider the environment before printing this email.

1. We commend the Nelson City Council and staff for embarking on this open-ended voyage into the future. Just as the pioneers who settled this land –both Maori and European, we set off with only a rough outline of what we want to do and what may be possible in an uncertain world that faces many interlinked and difficult decisions over the next 50 or so years. That we have been successful for so long says much for the ingenuity and hard work that our tupuna/ancestors accomplished in past centuries. However, we now have come to the realisation and need for some fundamental changes in the way we treat the land/whenua, water/wai and each other.

2. This rough chart (Nelson 2060) is our roadmap to the future for us and our descendants. So it is important that we endeavour to start out from the same page. We do this by agreeing on an inclusive vision and goals that are inspiring. The Vision of Nelson 2060 is such a vision. A number of us have worked on this vision and believe that it will create an inclusive, connected and beautiful city worthy of our past and positioned for the future.

3. Victory (both the Community Centre and the wider community) have played an active part in the Framing the Future process and in realising the goal of inclusive and connected community. We see ourselves as being on the way to a more sustainable community, both environmentally and socially, and a model for inclusive community development and involvement. Our recent award as the inaugural NZ Community of the Year shows that recognition from our peers, but also gives us something to continue to strive for. Just as Framing the Future is open-ended, community building is also open-ended and must be continually reaffirmed and evaluated. Nelson 2060 must work for all its citizens from all sectors of society.

4. Our focus is on improving health and well-being within Victory. We are contributing to a number of goals outlined on pages 12-13 but are sometimes limited by time, capacity and resources. Our Strategic Plan recently finalised is helping us to concentrate on our mission and achievable targets, while remaining aspirational. We continue to seek mutually supportive relationships with Council and other agencies, and to examine ways in which we can reduce consumption and foster new learnings about becoming more sustainable.

5. We will and are taking action in a number of areas. Nelson 2060 is helpful to us as a reminder of the big picture, but needs to be broken down into a series of linked actions to move us from here (2013) to the unknown future of 2060.

6. We believe that one of the ways to get action on Nelson 2060 is to develop a Charter of Co-operation, and to invite people and organisations, and firms to sign up to the Charter as a sign of their commitment. Each organisation should then examine how it can best incorporate one or more of the goals into its own planning processes. Each strategic and annual plan and report should then contain indicators to report how these goals are being realised within that organisation. Council should also be part of this process and report on progress in each Annual report and in the media.

As a start we believe we can sign up to eight of the goals on the basis of our current activities, and will further examine how we can contribute to the other two goals.

7. We continue to be interested and committed to working with others to realise the vision of Nelson 2060. Indeed, this is the way our model of sustainable and connected community development works. We will continue to offer Victory Community Centre as venue for gatherings and meetings, to build local capacity and to involve the members of Victory community in these future oriented activities and processes.

8. Young people are the hope and the beneficiaries of the future. Being co-located with a primary school gives VCH an advantage to involve young people from an early age. We would like to see constructive dialogue between local schools as they strategise how best they can be involved in the Nelson 2060 Vision as it develops and grows in future years. Local schools can also be prepared to use the community and its organisations as relevant and unique learning opportunities, and together with others, help develop the young leaders of tomorrow.

Lifelong learning is also important in motivating and involving the community in more sustainable lifestyles.

---

Doug Craig and Pam Frater on behalf of the Board of Victory Community Health Centre Inc.



**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 7:51 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Categories:** Blue Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 7:51:25 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Kay Hunter

**Organisation (optional)**

U3ANelson (University of the 3rd Age)

**Your email address**

[kay@csw.co.nz](mailto:kay@csw.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

03 5477274

**Your postal address**

46 Coster Street  
Enner Glynn  
Nelson 7011

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Admirable! Very well thought out and covering all bases.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes, continuing my involvement in U3A Nelson - ensuring a wide range of intellectual activities available for residents, aged 50+

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Commitment to our organisatin

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

**5. What else could help you to take action**

Help from the council in promoting the organisation.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes, anything to do with continuing education for older people.

47

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Sorry to be negative, but the costs and resources utilised in colour printing is not modelling sustainability.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 7:56 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 7:56:15 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Lindsay Hunter

**Organisation (optional)**

SeniorNet Nelson

**Your email address**

[Lindsay@csw.co.nz](mailto:Lindsay@csw.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

03 5477274

**Your postal address**

46 Coster Street  
Enner Glynn  
Nelson 7011

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Excellent. Well thought out.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes, SeniorNet

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Training the over 50s in use of computers and emerging communication technologies, at an affordable price.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

We are doing much now. The need will increase with the demography. Retirement homes are not well served.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

Space to work in, and broadband.

48

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes, we cooperate with U3A, and assist other charities where our expertise helps.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

RECEIVED

20 MAR 2013

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Office Use Only

Submission Number

49

File Ref

INITIALS

Name JONATHAN IVAN VALENTINE PEVAC

Daytime phone 02102998149

Address Riverside Community

Organisation represented (if applicable) Nelson TPPA free

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

YES  NO

# of pages

         If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form



4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

49

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

yes definitely and i am actively engaged within the general community and also many organisations/trusts/N.P.O.'s

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

for Nelson city council adopt Auckland city TPPA resolution. I support an active democracy for Nelson city.

Date 27/03/2013 Signature [Signature]

Help with making a submission overleaf...



Nelson City Council

Whakatawhiri i te huarua

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Office Use Only	
Submission Number	50
File Ref	INITIALS

**RECEIVED**

20 MAR 2013

Name Verena Gunnar NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Daytime phone 0211 44 9563

Address Riverside Community 7175 Upper Moutere Rd

Organisation represented (if applicable) Riverside Community

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?  YES  NO # of pages

*If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.*

**Public information**  
*Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.*

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City should adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution  
I support an Active Democracy for Nelson City

Date 27/3/13 Signature Kareva James

Help with making a submission overleaf...



PO Box 645 - Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

RECEIVED

23 MAR 2013

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Office Use Only

Submission  
Number  
51

File Ref

INITIALS

Name Sandra Rawlands

Daytime phone \_\_\_\_\_

Address 1 - Riverside Community RD2 Upper Moutere

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES  NO  # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

51

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

I would like Nelson City to adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution and I support an active Democracy for Nelson City.

Date 26 = 3/13 Signature SR Rowlands

Help with making a submission overleaf...



RECEIVED

28 MAR 2013

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.

Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Office Use Only

Submission  
Number

52

File Ref

INITIALS

Name Evan Coad

Daytime phone 03 5267033 x23

Address Riverside Community RD2 upper montevie

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ *If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.*

Public information

*Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.*

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City adopts Auckland City TPPA Resolution.  
I support an active Democracy for Nelson City.

Date 26/3/2013

Signature *En Cud*

Help with making a submission overleaf...



**Nelson City Council**

te kunihera o whakatō

PO Box 645 - Nelson 7040 - 03 546 0200  
[www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz](http://www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz)

RECEIVED

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

28 MAR 2013

Office Use Only

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Submission Number

53

Name Charles Mersman

File Ref

INITIALS

Daytime phone 526 7447

Address 289 main road Lower Moutere

Organisation represented (if applicable) RCTB

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?

YES  NO

# of pages

           If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form



4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City adopt Auckland City  
TPPA Resolution.  
I support an active Democracy  
for Nelson City!

Date 9-6-3-2013 Signature [Signature]

Help with making a submission overleaf...



**Nelson City Council**  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 - Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Office Use Only	
Submission Number	54
INITIALS	

RECEIVED

23 MAR 2013

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Name Klavis Wendt

Daytime phone 05267033 / ext. 46

Address Riverside Community, RD2 Upper Motueka, 7175

Organisation represented (if applicable) Nelson TPPA free

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES NO  # of pages

           If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City decept Auckland City  
TPPA Resolution. I support an Active  
Democracy for Nelson City

Date 26. 3. 13 Signature [Handwritten Signature]

Help with making a submission overleaf...



**Nelson City Council**  
te kaunhera o whakatu

PO Box 645 - Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.

Please tell us what you think.

RECEIVED

Office Use Only

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

20 MAR 2013

Submission Number

55

NELSON CITY COUNCIL Records

File Ref

INITIALS

Name Florian Pauls

Daytime phone 03 526 7488

Address 40 Riverside Community, RD2 Upper Moutere

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES  NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

55

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City adopt Auckland City TPPA Resolution.  
I support an Active Democracy for Nelson City

Date 26/3/13 Signature RJR

Help with making a submission overleaf...



**Nelson City Council**  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

RECEIVED

23 MAR 2013

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL Office Use Only  
Records

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission  
writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Submission  
Number

56

Name Barbara Cole

File Ref

INITIALS

Daytime phone 03-5267033 ext 26

Address Riverside Community Lower Moutere

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

*Nelson City adopts Auckland City TRPA Resolution.  
I support an active Democracy for Nelson City*

Date 26-3-13 Signature *BM/lee*

Help with making a submission overleaf...



**Nelson City Council**  
te kōwhiri o whakatū

PO Box 645 - Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

RECEIVED

10 MAR 2013

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Office Use Only			
		Submission Number	
		57	
File Ref		INITIALS	

Name Kathryn Francis

Daytime phone 02102441048

Address Riverside Community Lower Moutere

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES  NO  # of pages \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information  
Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?



4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

57

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

I submit that Nelson city adopts Auckland City Councils TPPA resolution. I support an active Democracy for Nelson City.

Date 26 March 2013 Signature Kathryn Pinner

Help with making a submission overleaf...



**Nelson City Council**  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

**RECEIVED**

28 MAR 2013

Office Use Only

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Submission  
Number

58

File Ref

INITIALS

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Name Cheryl Lasseau

Daytime phone 022 488 4780

Address 289 Main Road Lower Moutere RD2 Upper Mo.

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES  NO  # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

**Public information**

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

*very inspiring - glad to see climate change addressed - maybe a slowish time line*

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

re: TPPA - I support a active democracy for Nelson like the Auckland City TPPA resolution

Date 26-3-13 Signature Chryl...

Help with making a submission overleaf...



Nelson City Council  
Te Kaitiaki o Whakatū

PO Box 645 - Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

# RECEIVED

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion 29 MAR 2013

Please tell us what you think.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Office Use Only

Submission Number

59

File Ref

INITIALS

Name Jenny Harris-Marek

Daytime phone 0267121

Address 289 Main Road Lower Moutere, RDZ, 7175

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?  YES  NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

### Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson city adopts Auckland City TPP+D Resolution.  
I support an Active Democracy for Nelson City

Date 26/3/13

Signature



Help with making a submission overleaf...



Nelson City Council

PO Box 645 - Nelson 7040 - 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

RECEIVED

20 MAR 2013

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Office Use Only

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Submission  
Number

60

Name CAMERON G MORRIS-MACEY  
Daytime phone 03 526 7121  
Address 289 MAIN RD LOWELL MOUNTAINS  
Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

INITIALS

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?  YES  NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

60

5. What else could help you to take action?


6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

NELSON CITY ADOPTS CITY T.P.P.A RESOLUTION  
I SUPPORT AN ACT DEMOCRACY FOR  
NELSON CITY

Date 26/3/13 Signature [Handwritten Signature]

Help with making a submission overleaf...



PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

RECEIVED

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

28 MAR 2013

Office Use Only

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Submission Number

61

File Ref

INITIALS

Name Maren Vincent

Daytime phone: 03 526 7805

Address Riverside Community RD2 Upper Moutere 7175

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES  NO  # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback Form



4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

61

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City should adopt  
Auckland's TAPPA Resolution.  
I support an Active Democracy  
for Nelson City

Date 26-03-13 Signature T. Vincent.

Help with making a submission overleaf...



**Nelson City Council**  
te kaunihera o whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
[www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz](http://www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz)

RECEIVED

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion. 28 MAR 2013

Please tell us what you think.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Office Use Only

Submission Number

62

File Ref

INITIALS

Name Tristan Vincent

Daytime phone 03 5267 805

Address Riverside Community RD2 Upper Moutere 7175

Organisation represented (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES  NO # of pages

\_\_\_\_\_ If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

62

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

Nelson City should adopt  
Auckland's TROVA Resolution.  
I support an Active  
Democracy for Nelson City.

Date 26-03-13 Signature Dean Bryant

Help with making a submission overleaf...



Nelson City Council

te Kaunihera o Whakatū

PO Box 645 • Nelson 7040 • 03 546 0200  
www.nelsoncitycouncil.co.nz

RECEIVED

28 MAR 2013

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion.  
Please tell us what you think.

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Office Use Only

Submission  
Number  
63

File Ref	INITIALS
----------	----------

Name FRANK RYAN

Daytime phone 03-5380227

Address 3 ALLPORT PLACE, STOKE

Organisation represented (if applicable) NELSON TPPA FREE

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?  YES  NO # of pages

         If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

P.T.O

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

63

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

NELSON CITY ADOPT AUCKLAND CITY TPPA RESOLUTION.  
I SUPPORT AN ACTIVE DEMOCRACY FOR NELSON CITY.

Date 25/03/2013 Signature [Handwritten Signature]

Help with making a submission overleaf...



RECEIVED

The Nelson City Council wants your opinion. 28 MAR 2013

Please tell us what you think.

NELSON CITY COUNCIL  
Records

Please type or print clearly. Remember to read the submission writing guidelines (over) before starting.

Office Use Only			
		Submission Number 64	
File Ref		INITIALS	

Name Joan Skurr

Daytime phone 545 6503

Address 49, A, GROVE ST., NELSON

Organisation represented (if applicable) -

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission? YES  NO  # of pages

         If you do not tick a box we will assume you do not wish to be heard.

Public information

Submissions to Council consultation are public information. Your submission will be included in reports, which are available to the public and the media.

1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

*It was very encouraging to read that so many groups and people had been involved in coming up with the draft strategy. For me, the descriptions of Vision and Goals were so woolly and unclear that I would need a great deal more in the way of specific definitions on what is really understood and meant, in order to agree or disagree. Involving people in any decision-making by the Council seems to me an excellent strategy so that all feel heard, but how can this be done in reality?*

2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

*Unfortunately I feel less able than I was, but would appreciate having a say in issues related to the elderly.*

3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?

*I live frugally and conserve energy, recycle, & walk as much as possible. I help with the Brook/Wainiarama Sanctuary. I am one of a group who raise money by hiring out Academic Regalia to raise money to help with awards towards education for women & girls. I also belong to several organisations and have roles therein.*

Nelson City Council Nelson 2060 Feedback form

4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?

I need to see the complete draft to see the checklist for maintaining the right direction.

64

5. What else could help you to take action?

6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?

7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?

There seems to me to be a great need to provide well-planned suburban centres so that work-places, shopping precincts and basic services are grouped closer to collections of houses. This would reduce the need for so much travel by car. Development of higher density housing areas, plus a park (say) rather than individual spec. housing, being built one at a time, ~~at housing~~ which includes solar heating with the chance to feed the grid, seems to be ideas which would be of benefit to reduce the need for so much space and power. There are great examples of successful schemes in some European countries.

I believe increasing population will be a major problem as cultural changes occur.

Date 26-3-13 Signature Jmskur

Help with making a submission overleaf...



**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 11:24 a.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 11:24:15 AM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

### Your name

Karen Driver

### Organisation (optional)

Nelson Environment Centre (NEC)

### Your email address

[karendriver@nec.org.nz](mailto:karendriver@nec.org.nz)

### Your phone number

03 545 9176 ext 2

### Your postal address

PO Box 4011  
 Nelson South  
 Nelson 7045

### Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?

Yes

### 1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?

NEC supports Nelson 2060 as a vision for Nelson. We believe this vision needs to encompass the whole region and so request that NCC advocates to TDC that they either work with NCC and make it a joint Nelson Tasman 2060 vision or that they encourage TDC to do their own version. NEC wants to continue to work with the community to ensure this vision is fulfilled. We believe that the key to success is the support and encouragement of leaders from all sectors of the community, with the understanding that many in the community have insufficient resources to play an active part without that support.

### 2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?

Yes, we believe we can support NCC and the rest of the community to work towards this vision.

### 3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?



NEC has been involved in developing the strategy through formal NEC involvement and through individual staff member input.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

It's a vision that needs adoption by the whole community. Once adopted NEC will consider the implications of the strategy to our work within the community.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

The involvement and support of TDC & the practical support from NCC (and TDC) to assist community groups to play a part, given their often limited resources.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

We are interested in working with the whole community.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 12:04 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 12:04:11 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Jacqueline Gibson

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[morrisongibson@gmail.com](mailto:morrisongibson@gmail.com)

**Your phone number**

03 5450094

**Your postal address**

22 Hillwood Drive RD1 Nelson

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Yes

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes, I think we all do. Starting with the kids, embedding principals of sustainability into the curriculum. Council should encourage and continue to financially supporting programmes such as Enviroschools and expand them into real activities int he wider community, so kids and adults are working together.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

On a personal level, trying to grow most of the food our family needs, learning skills to increase our resilience, we have installed PV and solar water heating panels on the roof of our new home, are protecting our native bush by weeding and our stream with plantings and conserve and recycle water. I am involved in raising awareness about the TPPA, which if it ratified will be a barrier to many of the goals of the plan particularly responding to change, I am also involved with a local group, "Clean Energy Futures" which is promoting renewable energy use and clean technology

while protesting the National Government's current policy to increase fossil fuel extraction. I am also trying to increase links in my local community through my involvement with the north Nelson News, a publication delivered free to around 500 North Nelson homes four times a year.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

Yes. It is important to have a dream, a clear vision. If the goal is clear to people, the means of achieving it will evolve and be aimed at in different ways.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

Support with building local communities. Low cost places to meet, and ways to distribute information, leafleting, social media, community noticeboards etc.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes. This can only work if we work together and/or communicate regularly about what we are doing as individuals.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

The combined effects of climate change, peak oil and the global financial crisis are going to be far reaching and hard to predict. As "Framing our Future" makes clear, we need to be able to respond to this change. If the TPPA is ratified we will effectively have much policy frozen in time (probably why changes to the RHA are being pushed through) because of the investor state dispute procedure. There is information of [www.itsourfuture.org](http://www.itsourfuture.org) about this but the Australian experiences with plain packaging for cigarettes and the "cash for cans" debacle should ring some warning bells. In the past local councils have had success influencing government policy. It is vital that the TPPA is stopped. If NCC was to adopt the same resolution as Auckland City regarding the TPPA (document attached) others will almost certainly follow the example.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

Auckland-City-resolution2.pdf - [Download File](#)

24 Approval of Plan Change 127 - Huapai North: Introduction of Special 29 Zone to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011

Resolution number RDO/2012/264

MOVED by Cr P Webster, seconded Cr DM Morrison:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) approves Plan Change 127 to the District Plan, as set out in Attachment A to this report, pursuant to Clause 17 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- b) authorises the Manager Planning North/West to complete the statutory processes under Clause 20 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991 to make Plan Change 127 operative in the District Plan.

CARRIED

26 Presentation on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements - Recommendation from the Economic Forum

*Deputy Mayor Hulse exited the meeting at 2:22 pm.*

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand.

Resolution number RDO/2012/265

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

Cr Northey moved an amendment, by way of addition to the original recommendation, seconded by Cr Casey:

- a) ..., that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
  - i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions; community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.
  - ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
  - iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
  - iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
  - v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
  - vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;

- vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
- viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
- ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
- x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
- xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
- xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

**For:**

Councillors: Dr Cathy Casey  
Sandra Coney  
Alf Filipaina  
Ann Hartley  
Mike Lee  
Richard Northey  
David Taipari  
Patience Te Ao  
Wayne Walker

**Against:**

Councillors: Hon Chris Fletcher  
Des Morrison  
Calum Penrose  
Noelene Raffills  
Sir John Walker  
Penny Webster  
George Wood

Cr Anae Arthur Anae, Cr Cameron Brewer, Mayor Len Brown, Cr Michael Goudie, Deputy Mayor Penny Hulse, Cr Dick Quax and Cr Sharon Stewart were not in the room at the time of voting.

The division was declared CARRIED by 9 votes to 7.

CARRIED

The substantive motion was put:

Resolution number RDO/2012/266

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand, that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
  - i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.

- ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
- iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
- iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
- v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
- vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;
- vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
- viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
- ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
- x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
- xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
- xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

CARRIED

*Cr Fletcher exited the meeting at 2:44 pm.*

27 Final copy of the Maui's dolphin Threat Management Plan Submission to DOC and MPI

Resolution number RDO/2012/267

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) approves the final submission on the Maui's dolphin Threat Management Plan (TMP), which was lodged with the Department of Conservation and Ministry for Primary Industries on 12 November 2012.

CARRIED

*Deputy Mayor Hulse returned to the meeting 2:45pm*

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 1:20 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

---

**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 1:19:51 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

---

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Glenys MacLellan

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[g\\_j\\_mac@xtra.co.nz](mailto:g_j_mac@xtra.co.nz)

**Your phone number**

03 5467885

**Your postal address**

5/17 Waimea Road,  
Nelson 7010

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

I congratulate NCC on leading work on this project. the framework,if used by Council, agencies, businesses, schools, etc. in deciding what & how they do/decide things would ensure the vision will eventuate.On an individual level, using the framework will save money and make for a better quality of life.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes. It will take all of us keeping the vision in mind and using it for it to happen.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

I, and the groups I am currently involved in or employed by are collectively already implementing goals 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, and some actions related to the rest.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

Yes.

I suggest that all organisations receiving funding grants are requested by NCC to read and adopt all parts of Nelson 2060 appropriate to their scope as a normal part of operating.

That All agencies, business representatives should be promoting it as well.

The document could be used by anyone making plans re their home, community involvement, or work.

Knowing the vision is important for all residents.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

An opportunity to post feedback on a Nelson 2060 website by anyone using the document and how they are using it, the great ideas they have generated from the use process, would be an enduring source of inspiration.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes, both on realizing the potential of this document for development, redevelopment, disaster recovery (physical and economic).

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

I have a problem with " attracting new people" on page 9 of the document. I think Nelson is full enough now. New people to replace those departing life or Nelson is fine, but not wholesale increase in the population, just for the sake of getting bigger.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**



**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 1:42 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

---

**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 1:42:00 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Bill Sayer

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[sayrbill@gmail.com](mailto:sayrbill@gmail.com)

**Your phone number**

03 5480234

**Your postal address**

14A Tort Street  
Nelson

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

Yes

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Walking, cycling, home gardening, growing and with help from others, distributing seeds and cuttings.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

Partly. FOF lacks specific targets.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

Specific city wide targets for emissions and energy reduction

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Action is urgently needed now.

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

Framing-our-future-submission-V1.0-2013.pdf - [Download File](#)

## **Framing Our Future Submission**

by

**Bill Sayer**

This file is best viewed connected to the web. Hyperlinks are blue.

### 1. Framing our Future

The public consultation was first class and a fine example of democracy in action. The resulting framework document is well done and Councillors and staff are thanked for an excellent effort.

All the goals head in the right direction but I particularly support the goals relating to food security, adaption to change; renewable energy (with reservations about solar), essential needs; reduction of consumption and resource sharing.

It's appreciated that the document defines (only) a framework and I hope that Council will soon get on with prescribing, financing and implementing the actions needed to achieve the goals.

The Framework rests on a notion of the future that is ordered, lawful, functional, a society having the will and wealth to respond to the challenges ahead, particularly those posed by climate change and energy depletion. That's not at all certain.

Oil depletion is serious but surmountable, specially in NZ which is fortunate to already have ample renewable geothermal, hydro and wind generated electricity. The energy challenge is primarily to become independent of oil. The technology to do so is at hand but so far there is little evidence of the necessary political will.

The challenge posed by climate change is momentous, to the extent that it's uncertain as to whether the projected, business as usual, 4° C world is habitable. Continuing rise of local, national and global emissions indicate that so far, the battle to contain is being lost. Efforts, comparable to those made during WWII are needed. Should humanity fail to restrain and limit global warming the kind of future defined by Framing our Future will be overwhelmed. That must not be allowed to happen.

Sections 2 and 3 consider energy and climate change in detail to underscore the need for urgent action.

Press PgDn for section 2 : Energy

## 2. Energy

Given Nelson's relative isolation and distance from other cities, i.e. the main centers of manufacture and distribution, and the high energy demands of local primary industries, the regional economy is sensitive to the price of oil. As a result of the development of horizontal drilling and fracking\*, the US is set to become energy independent and a net exporter of oil<sup>1</sup>. It's probable the US dollar will then rise in value and the NZ/US\$ exchange rate will progressively fall to historic low levels. NZ will then pay much more for imported oil. EIA forecasts the price of oil (reference case) as rising to \$US150 (2011 dollars) around 2040<sup>2</sup>.

Figure 1. U.S. domestic crude oil production by source, 1990-2040 (million barrels per day)






Figure 5. Average annual Brent spot crude oil prices in three cases, 1980-2040 (2011 dollars per barrel)



From : US Energy Information Administration | Annual Energy Outlook 2013 Early Release Overview<sup>2</sup>

EIA sees US tight, i.e. fracked, oil production peaking early next decade and thereafter more or less constant at about 2 million bpd, only some 2% of global production. Oil prices<sup>4</sup> will, as now, continue to be set by "energy-hungry economies in Asia and elsewhere"<sup>3</sup>. Fracking has not negated the theory of peak oil. Rather onset of decline may be delayed into the 2020's when depletion<sup>6</sup> becomes significant (2005 forecast) :



Laherrere's Peak truncated by refinery capacity

\* Fracking uses "enormous quantities" of water<sup>5</sup>. The extended drought in the oil shale States currently limits production. EIA's future production estimates may be unrealistically high..

The 2060 Framework foresees (p.24) "economic opportunities around renewable energy such as solar and biofuels". Biofuel production anywhere in NZ is now unlikely, given the collapse of Solid Energy and its renewable energy projects and the removal of Government assistance for biofuel production.

Biofuel to gasoline using wood feedstock is a far horizon technology.

The potential for solar is overrated. Hot water heat pumps work 24/7 and are lower in installed cost than solar hot water heaters. Electric flash heaters are a lower cost solution for small households.

Although the price of thin film PV is dropping, total installation costs per KWh for households are unlikely to ever compete economically with renewable geothermal, hydro and wind generated electricity supplied through the national grid. There may be a place for local suburban scale PV generation in the future.

Nelson's real need, on environmental, economic and security of supply grounds, is to break dependence on oil. Electric battery driven vehicles offer the only feasible solution. Plug in hybrid electrics like the GM (Holden) Volt are already available. Generating capacity is ample to power the nations cars and light trucks. *Council should lead and promote the introduction of electric cars (and buses) in Nelson.*

(1) Sims, D U.S. to Approach Energy Independence by 2030

(2) Fig 5 U.S. Energy Information Administration Annual Energy Outlook 2013 Early Release Overview

(3) Newell,R [No\\_easy\\_road\\_to\\_energy\\_independence.html](#)

(4) Rubin, J [The-price-for-energy-independence](#)




(5) Rubin,J [Do We Have Enough Water to Frack Our Way to Energy Independence?](#)

(6) Cobb,K [Depletion-one-word-oil-optimists-refuse to utter](#)


Press PgDn for section 3 : Climate Change

### 3. Climate Change

Collapse in 2012 of the extent of Arctic sea ice, record breaking melting of the Greenland ice sheet, increased frequency of extreme droughts, heat waves, fire storms and rainstorm events in Australia, USA, New Zealand and elsewhere, increased methane releases from northern latitudes, rapidly warming<sup>1</sup> and acidifying oceans and elevating global temperature collectively attest to a fast changing out of control global climate.




Source : Balmasida et al. Distinctive climate signals in reanalysis of global ocean heat content<sup>1</sup>



Source : Marcott et al. A Reconstruction of regional and global temperature for the past 11300 years

The past summer has seen the establishment of extraordinarily large intense stationary anticyclones over NZ. The associated drought has developed to become almost nationwide.

#### 20 Feb 2007 : Synoptic for a dry NZ



Extensive flat, plateau like pressure surface  
Subtropical ridge extending from NZ to Madagascar  
Subtropical jet stream and ridge far south of NZ (2006)  
NZ sharing Australia's weather pattern

Wratt (NIWA) says that "droughts are likely to become more frequent as the century progresses and high pressure belts of the subtropics expand further south and encompass NZ"<sup>2</sup>. Large stationary anticyclones also prevail over the Indian Ocean and they are there permanent. A likely future summer and autumn scenario for NZ, a new normal, is one of persistent drought.

Steep pressure gradient and anticyclone belt isolating southern Australia (and NZ) from rain producing fronts

The ring closes – a harbinger of modal change?

Increasingly frequent severe droughts will challenge maintenance of agricultural exports. Salinger is reported (perhaps erroneously) as saying "the current drought is historic and could spell the end of farming as we know it"<sup>3</sup>. Certainly farming faces a difficult future. Irrigation is the key and construction of more large water storage dams are vitally needed.

The Maitai dam catchment is small and whilst supply is currently adequate, it is unlikely to be sufficient during a long sequence of year upon year droughts. Local food security implies more home vegetable and fruit gardening. Water demand will then soar. It's staid that the Maitai Dam is "adequate for 50 years"<sup>4</sup>, but that's for a citizenry dependent on supermarkets for food.

In the Top of the South *nothing is more important than the construction of the Lee Dam*. Without the dam commercial food production in the Waimeas will, as the impact of climate change intensifies, fail. Nelson's urban citizens will be placed at risk. *The project warrants rather more enthusiastic and ambitious Council support than the present sideline stance*<sup>4</sup>.

That CO<sub>2</sub> is a green house gas has been known since 1824 yet humanity has been unable to make reductions in its emissions which continue to rise. There has been a failure of democracy in that corporate interests and those of the powerful and privileged, have influenced governments world wide. NZ is no exception. Here the Emissions Trading Scheme has been gutted, NZ has opted out of the second round of Kyoto, and the Resource Management Act is to be amended to provide "balance" between competing environmental and economic demands. Historically, economic growth has always resulted in rising emissions. The tools for decoupling are known and to hand, yet economic and political considerations prevent adoption of suitable technologies at the necessary rate and of significant behavioral change. Change is imperative. Unless dramatic change is made soon the world will be locked in to a grim future, likely one at 4°C above pre-industrial according to a World Bank commissioned comprehensive study<sup>5</sup>.

A recent Price Waterhouse study<sup>6</sup> estimates "that the required improvement in global carbon intensity to meet a 2°C warming target has risen to 5.1% a year, from now to 2050." and "not once since 1950 has the world achieved that rate of decarbonization in a single year, but the task now confronting us is to achieve it for 39 consecutive years." "The 2011 rate of improvement in carbon intensity was 0.8%.." and "To give ourselves a more than 50% chance of avoiding two degrees will require a six-fold improvement in our rate of decarbonization"

The implications are stark and clear. We must radically change and use every available transition technology, waste less, grow more, consume less, travel less, buy electric cars, use public transport, walk and cycle more and have fewer children. *Council could do much more to contain its own emissions and those of the community.*

(1) Balmasida et al. Distinctive climate signals in reanalysis of global ocean heat content.  
Geophysical Research Letters  
New research confirms global warming has accelerated

(2) Wratt, D. NIWA Drought frequency and anticyclones. Morning Rural News for 25 March 2013  
[http://podcast.radionz.co.nz/rural/rur-mnr-20130325-0624-morning\\_rural\\_news\\_for\\_25\\_march\\_2013-048.mp3](http://podcast.radionz.co.nz/rural/rur-mnr-20130325-0624-morning_rural_news_for_25_march_2013-048.mp3)

(3) Salinger, J Live chat: Weather and drought. Stuff 13 March 2013

(4) NCC Final-Decisions LTP-2012 NCC Live Nelson-Issue-33

(5) World Bank and the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research and Climate

Analytics 4° Turn down the heat

(6 ) Price Waterhouse Too late for two degrees

End of submission



**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 1:56 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

---

**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 1:56:22 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

---

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

John Morrison

**Organisation (optional)**

**Your email address**

[morrisongibson@gmail.com](mailto:morrisongibson@gmail.com)

**Your phone number**

5450094

**Your postal address**

22 Hillwood Drive

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

It is a good wish list.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes. Everybody has a role to play, including children.

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Involvement in various community organisations, upskilling and keeping abreast of developments likely to impact on the Tasman/Nelson area.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

It is a starting point however there are many things happening in the world which could have a significant impact on our region.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

An acceptance and planning by the Council for potential futures which are not so rosy. Council leadership to greater resilience in the region would be a good start.

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Yes, in the right circumstances.

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

The economic crisis in the world is far from over and I would not be surprised if the worst is yet to come. In that climate the Council needs to be able to act for the good of the region without unnecessary business-centric legislation. I am referring to the proposed Trans Pacific Partnership Agreement which has the potential to have a significant negative impact on our quality of life and the Nelson City Council's ability to manage the region for its residents. All for some vague promised future trade benefit.

The Council would do well to adopt the Auckland City's resolution on the TPPA (attached).

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

Auckland-City-resolution3.pdf - [Download File](#)

24 Approval of Plan Change 127 - Huapai North: Introduction of Special 29 Zone to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011

Resolution number RDO/2012/264

MOVED by Cr P Webster, seconded Cr DM Morrison:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) approves Plan Change 127 to the District Plan, as set out in Attachment A to this report, pursuant to Clause 17 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- b) authorises the Manager Planning North/West to complete the statutory processes under Clause 20 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991 to make Plan Change 127 operative in the District Plan.

CARRIED

26 Presentation on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements - Recommendation from the Economic Forum

*Deputy Mayor Hulse exited the meeting at 2:22 pm.*

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand.

Resolution number RDO/2012/265

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

Cr Northey moved an amendment, by way of addition to the original recommendation, seconded by Cr Casey:

- a) ..., that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
  - i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions; community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.
  - ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
  - iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
  - iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
  - v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
  - vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;

- vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
- viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
- ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
- x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
- xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
- xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

**For:**

Councillors: Dr Cathy Casey  
Sandra Coney  
Alf Filipaina  
Ann Hartley  
Mike Lee  
Richard Northey  
David Taipari  
Patience Te Ao  
Wayne Walker

**Against:**

Councillors: Hon Chris Fletcher  
Des Morrison  
Calum Penrose  
Noelene Raffills  
Sir John Walker  
Penny Webster  
George Wood

Cr Anae Arthur Anae, Cr Cameron Brewer, Mayor Len Brown, Cr Michael Goudie, Deputy Mayor Penny Hulse, Cr Dick Quax and Cr Sharon Stewart were not in the room at the time of voting.

The division was declared CARRIED by 9 votes to 7.

CARRIED

The substantive motion was put:

Resolution number RDO/2012/266

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) encourages the government to conclude negotiations on the Trans-Pacific Partnership and Free Trade Agreements in a way that provides net positive benefits for Auckland and New Zealand, that is, provided the Partnership and Agreements achieve the following objectives:
  - i. Continues to allow the Auckland Council and other councils, if they so choose, to adopt procurement policies that provide for a degree of local preference; to choose whether particular services or facilities are provided in house, by council-controlled organisations (CCOs) or by contracting out; or to require higher health and safety, environmental protection, employment rights and conditions, community participation, animal protection or human rights standards than national or international minimum standards.

- ii. Maintains good diplomatic and trade relations and partnerships for Auckland and New Zealand with other major trading partners not included in the agreement, including with China.
- iii. Provides substantially increased access for our agriculture exports, particularly those from the Auckland region into the US market;
- iv. Does not undermine PHARMAC, raise the cost of medical treatments and medicines or threaten public health measures, such as tobacco control;
- v. Does not give overseas investors or suppliers any greater rights than domestic investors and suppliers, such as through introducing Investor-State Dispute Settlement, or reduce our ability to control overseas investment or finance;
- vi. Does not expand intellectual property rights and enforcement in excess of current law;
- vii. Does not weaken our public services, require privatisation, hinder reversal of privatisations, or increase the commercialisation of government or of Auckland Council or other local government organisations;
- viii. Does not reduce our flexibility to support local economic and industry development and encourage good employment and environmental practices and initiatives like Council Cadetships, COMET and the Mayor's Taskforce for Jobs which enable marginalised young people to develop their skills and transition into meaningful employment;
- ix. Contains enforceable labour clauses requiring adherence to core International Labour Organisation conventions and preventing reduction of labour rights for trade or investment advantage;
- x. Contains enforceable environmental clauses preventing reduction of environmental standards for trade or investment advantage;
- xi. Has general exceptions to protect human rights, the environment, the Treaty of Waitangi, and New Zealand's economic and financial stability;
- xii. Has been negotiated with real public consultation including regular public releases of drafts of the text of the agreement, and ratification being conditional on a full social, environmental and economic impact assessment including public submissions.

CARRIED

*Cr Fletcher exited the meeting at 2:44 pm.*

**27 Final copy of the Maui's dolphin Threat Management Plan Submission to DOC and MPI**

Resolution number RDO/2012/267

MOVED by Cr MA Hartley, seconded Cr W Walker:

That the Regional Development and Operations Committee:

- a) approves the final submission on the Maui's dolphin Threat Management Plan (TMP), which was lodged with the Department of Conservation and Ministry for Primary Industries on 12 November 2012.

CARRIED

*Deputy Mayor Hulse returned to the meeting 2:45pm*

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 3:20 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing Our Future  
**Attachments:** Submission to Framing Our Future.docx.DRF; ATT00001.htm.DRF; PastedGraphic-6.pdf.DRF; ATT00002.htm.DRF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Barbara Graves[SMTP:GRAVESNZ@XNET.CO.NZ]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 3:19:54 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing Our Future  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

To whom it may concern,

Please find the submission from Safe at the Top. There are so many places where community safety could fit in Nelson 2060 and we are very happy to work with you to see where this would work best for everyone!

Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission.

Kind regards,

Barbara



**Submission to Framing Our Future:  
Towards Nelson 2060**

**Name:** Barbara Graves (Coordinator) with the Safe at the Top Coalition Group  
**Organisation represented:** Safe at the Top  
**Contact information:** [barbara.graves@xnet.co.nz](mailto:barbara.graves@xnet.co.nz)  
**No of pages:** 2

Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission on the Draft Nelson 2060 Strategy document.

Safe at the Top is the Nelson Tasman International Safer Communities initiative. Designation as part of this global, World Health Organisation programme took place in August 2011 and since then, Safe at the Top has undertaken several projects, worked with key stakeholders at a Strategic level and supported the work of seven task groups. These task groups, developed in response to community-identified needs include Home Safety, Road Safety, Workplace Safety, Outdoor Safety, Racism and Emerging Communities, Reducing Alcohol Related Harm and Family Violence.

Statistics provided through the ACC Community Profile, show that in the year 2011/2012, Nelson City ranked 24<sup>th</sup> out of the 72 TLAs in New Zealand in terms of ACC injury claim rates. Nelson City recorded an injury claim rate of 4,083.69 per 10,000 people compared to the New Zealand wide figure of 3,863.33. For our region, this has cost a total of 98,747 days of lost productivity<sup>1</sup>.

Injury does not only have an impact in terms of economic terms, but as outlined in the New Zealand Injury Prevention Strategy (NZIPS) *The impact of injury on individuals, families/whānau, and communities is pervasive. The social and economic costs at all levels are considerable and are estimated to be \$6-7 billion per year, yet most injuries and their consequences are preventable*<sup>2</sup>.

Safe at the Top would like to see the inclusion of community safety in the Nelson 2060 Strategy. The Safe at the Top Vision "Our community, leading the way to safety' complements that expressed in the Nelson 2060 document.

<sup>1</sup> This is the minimum number of days lost productivity, which is the number of weekly compensation days paid for by ACC and first 7 days not covered by ACC. It does not include cases where the person is off work for less than 7 days.

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.nzips.govt.nz/documents/strategycolour.pdf>

Safety could be a feature in the background section, fitting well under the sections:

- *'Our ageing population'* – where several initiatives are currently underway to support this, including falls prevention.
- *'Keeping People here and attracting new people'* – promoting Nelson as a safe place to work, live and play could contribute to this outcome.
- *'Planning together'* – Safe at the Top works under a collaborative model at all levels of its structure, from governance level, provided by Talking Heads, through the Coalition Group, to the Task Groups.

Safety could also feature in the themes of *"Outstanding lifestyles, immersed in nature and strong communities"* –with work being done to improve outdoor safety and other areas which contribute to 'outstanding lifestyles'.

Safe at the Top already delivers 'successful partnerships providing good leadership' and the soon to be launched, Safe at the Top Alliance, seeks to identify leaders and extend the partnership model to businesses, organisations and groups.

Several of the goals of Nelson 2060, could also include reference to the promotion of safety and reduction of injury.

*Goal 1 – We support and encourage leaders*

*Goal 2- We are all able to be involved in decisions*

*Goal 5- We are able to rapidly adapt to change*

*Goal 7- Our community thrives and contributes to a sustainable Nelson*

*Goal 9- Everyone in our community has their needs met*

Safe at the Top would like to continue to be involved in the development and offer to work with the staff producing Nelson 2060 to include community safety at relevant places in the document, to achieve both the vision of Safe at the Top –*'Our community leading the way to safety'* and the vision of Nelson 2060 *'Nelson 2060 is an inclusive city, with a diverse range of residents who can connect easily to each other and to the beautiful place we call home. Our leadership style supports our unique approach to living, which is boldly creative, ecologically exemplary, socially balanced and economically prosperous'*.

Thank you again for the opportunity to make a submission.



**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 3:29 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future - Towards Nelson 2060

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

---

**From:** Lynn Cadenhead[SMTP:LYNN.CADENHEAD@XTRA.CO.NZ]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 3:28:33 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future - Towards Nelson 2060  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

Hi,

This is a submission on Framing our Future - Towards Nelson 2060,

From Lynn Cadenhead, 14 Elliott street, Nelson7010, 03 546 8080, email [lynn.cadenhead@xtra.co.nz](mailto:lynn.cadenhead@xtra.co.nz)

## Framing our Future - Towards Nelson 2060

1. I support NCC having a long term vision and support the production of 2060.
2. Please remove all photos and other decoration from a down loadable and printable copy of all such documents. Photos make it more expensive to print which wastes resources and they make it slower and harder to read online as they constantly need to be scrolled past.
3. There is a missing theme relating to a healthy environment. "Sustainable management of natural and physical resources including safeguarding the life supporting capacity or air, water, soil & ecosystems". This is more than "being immersed in nature".
4. Goals need to be more explicit.
5. Water is mentioned as a goal what about the rest of the environment.? It is not covered in the word beauty nor in being "immersed in nature". What about land, air, biodiversity, ecosystems as goals .
6. Where is planning for and/or managing population growth – this should be alongside meeting people's needs. It's not just about keeping and attracting people.
7. Valuing and protecting our heritage should be a goal.
8. I don't feel that the background issues are carried forward very well into the document.
9. I do not wish to be heard.

Lynn Cadenhead

Ruth Killman

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 2:14 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Attachments:** Nelson-20.60-28-March-2013.pdf.DRF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 2:13:53 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Dr Ed Kiddle

**Organisation (optional)**

NMDHB

**Your email address**

[ed.kiddle@nmdhb.govt.nz](mailto:ed.kiddle@nmdhb.govt.nz)

**Your phone number**

035461649

**Your postal address**

Public Health Service  
PO Box 647 Nelson

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

see attached document

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

yes

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

Involved in sustainability activities at the DHB and through other organisations

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

provides overarching approach

**5. What else could help you to take action**

more joined up activity  
eg on issues such as energy, among organisations eg TDC and NCC

72

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**  
yes

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**  
see attached

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**  
Nelson-20.60-28-March-2013.pdf - [Download File](#)

## Submission on Nelson 2060 – Framing Our Future

Dr Ed Kiddle

March 28<sup>th</sup> 2013

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the draft Nelson 2060 document. This is an individual submission. I have been involved in the process of developing the strategy through the Mayor's Taskforce.

I would like to congratulate Council and council staff on the process involved in the development of Nelson 2060. I think it was very inclusive of the community with lots of opportunity for input. I have recently looked at the Swedish system around the development of eco-municipalities ( which include cities, towns and counties) and now make up about a third of all Swedish municipalities and they reflect a very similar approach to that used in the development of Nelson 2060.

Sweden has a long history of citizen participation in civic affairs. My observation around the planning process in several Swedish cities was that it has followed the process of finding a vision that reflected the views of the community looking into the future, then engaging the community in identifying the way to get to that vision All of this underpinned by principles consistent with flourishing in a sustainable environment. This is exactly what happened with the development of Framing our Future.

The description of a Swedish eco-municipality was one that has adopted a particular set of sustainability principles as guiding municipal policy and had committed to a bottom up, participatory approach for implementing this. This matches the approach being used here.

I support the rationale for the development of Nelson 2060, i.e. we need to think and work differently. Carrying on with our current model of economic growth and associated environmental destruction, along with the increasing social and economic inequity that results, is unsustainable.

The vision is very appropriate for guiding a new way of doing things. It is also consistent with the feedback from the Nelson community that occurred around the development of long-term community plans and community outcomes.

The identified goals are very relevant and reflect key areas of concern identified by the community and requiring action.

I acknowledge that Nelson 2060 is a high level document. It sets the scene that Council plans and activities should be consistent with. However, it also means that other Nelson organisations, large and small, along with individuals and families should be thinking about how they can contribute to achieving the goals identified in the document. For example I work in the District Health Board and as a key local organisation we need to see how we can do things that are consistent with sustainability principles and are part of achieving Nelson 2060.

I want to make specific comment on the identified economic goal, "that our economy thrives and contributes to a sustainable Nelson". I support the wording of this as it moves us away from the expectation of endless economic growth. Any economy that forever seeks *more* will in the end fail as natural resources are exhausted and ecological limits are exceeded. It would be inappropriate to promote a growth economy in a city strategy around sustainability. We need to shift the concept of growth away from *more* to one that reflects *enough* and concentrates on improving our quality of life and ensuring everyone in our community has their essential needs met (goal 9). In this regard we should be particularly mindful of young people and future generations. Currently we are borrowing from the future in our use of resources. This is wrong.

Goal nine is one that captures a range of health benefits that arise as Nelson 2060 is achieved. Urban planning issues impact on health eg active transport with more walking and cycling, spaces that foster community networks and interaction among locals, good well insulated houses. All of these are positive for health and wellbeing. Similar benefits arise under most of the other goals.

Goal six deserves particular comment as it covers energy, an area where the city has already started to lead and there is the willingness among a range of organisations to advance moves to increase alternative energy sources and decrease the risk we face as a community regarding electricity disruption or rising transport fuel costs. Given the interest this is an area where quite quickly we can advance actions under this goal.

It is important to note the need to move to a low carbon economy. We need to decrease carbon emissions. Climate change has been named a by the Director General of the World Health Organisation as the largest public health threat we face this century. As a city we can model the necessary responses and behaviour and this in turn stimulates further action, both locally, nationally and internationally. Given the impact of climate change on our pacific neighbours it is important for us the demonstrate action in this area.

I strongly support the framework Nelson 2060 is built on, The Natural Step. The identified sustainability principles are straight forward, have a solid scientific and social equity base and provide a logical system to guide actions following on under this strategy. They are the same as the principals used in the Swedish eco-municipality movement and this has generated significant change in areas such as alternative energy, recycling, food production, transport, housing and urban design. In addition they have been used in transforming other types of organisations, for example businesses, throughout the world.

I think measuring our progress is important. The statements around "how we will know we are getting there" in relation to the goals are quite high level and we need specific actions that we can map as we progress. I support the use of a set of indicators and the development of a graphical way of presenting this to aid the process. We need to reflect back to the Nelson community how we are going in achieving Nelson 2060 and use these progress reports to stimulate further discussion and action.

Once again, congratulations on the development of this strategy. It's a very good start to what I hope is increasing momentum down a path to true prosperity for the region.

Ruth Killman

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 2:36 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 2:36:27 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Lisa Gant

**Organisation (optional)**

Year 3 Bachelor of Applied Social Sciences students, Social and Community Development module

**Your email address**

[lisa.gant@nmit.ac.nz](mailto:lisa.gant@nmit.ac.nz)

**Your phone number**

5469175 ext 712

**Your postal address**

322 Hardy St, Private Bag 19  
 Nelson 7042

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

No

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

The goals sound good in theory, we are concerned how they will be translated into action. WE ARE ALSO UNCLEAR HOW WIDE THE NET HAS BEEN SPREAD IN TERMS OF EDUCATION AND AWARENESS OF THIS NELSON 2060 PLAN. What is the community knowlede of tis. How will the community be involved in updates, evaluations and reviews (when, how, who). How will accountability be measured?

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Most of our group do not feel part of this vision; we weren't aware of the submissions/input prior to this draft plan. We are wondering who was involved and how representative are these groups of the wider Nelson community?

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

We are all contributing to the community in numerous voluntary and paid roles, but do not believe this is visible in Nelson 2060. It feels like NCC is telling us how it is going to be done, rather than asking us what we think

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

No

**5. What else could help you to take action**

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

Where in the plan does it address NCC challenging central government on regional/national issues (eg TPP)

How does Goal 8 translate into action

- what role do iwi play; what is their voice, how will it be heard?

- Does this mean there will be dedicated Maori seats on the council?

Te reo - how will this translate regionally; will it become a core subject in schools, will all councillors be learning te reo?

- does this mean council business/activities will be guided by Te Tiriti o Waitangi, rather than the translated Treaty of Waitangi?

How are youth employment/opportunities being addressed?

What is being done to strengthen community networks?

Is the long term vision going to put a hold on things that need to be addressed now?

Nelson City adopt Auckland City TPPA resolution

We support an active democracy for Nelson City

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 3:56 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Nelson 2060 feedback from Federated Farmers  
**Attachments:** 130327 mb Neslon 2060.doc.DRF

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Red Category

---

**From:** Michael Bennett[SMTP:MBENNETT@FEDFARM.ORG.NZ]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 3:55:24 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Nelson 2060 feedback from Federated Farmers  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

To whom it may concern at Nelson City Council.

Please find attached a submission on Nelson 2060.

Can you please respond so that I know you have received our submission?

Regards

Michael

**MICHAEL BENNETT**  
REGIONAL POLICY ADVISOR  
Federated Farmers of New Zealand  
PO Box 1992, CHRISTCHURCH 8140, New Zealand  
P 03 357 9452  
F 03 357 9451  
M 027 551 1629  
[www.fedfarm.org.nz](http://www.fedfarm.org.nz)

This email communication is confidential between the sender and the recipient. The intended recipient may not distribute it without the permission of the sender. If this email is received in error, it remains confidential and you may not copy, retain or distribute it in any manner. Please notify the sender immediately and erase all copies of the message and all attachments. Thank you.



# SUBMISSION

TELEPHONE 0800 327 646 | WEBSITE [WWW.FEDFARM.ORG.NZ](http://WWW.FEDFARM.ORG.NZ)

---



To: Nelson City Council

Submission on: Nelson 2060

From: Nelson Province of Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Date: 28 March 2013

Contact: Michael Bennett  
Policy Advisor  
Federated Farmers of New Zealand

PO Box 1992  
Christchurch

P: 03 357 9452  
M: 027 551 1629  
E: [mbennett@fedfarm.org.nz](mailto:mbennett@fedfarm.org.nz)

**Federated Farmers would like to be heard in support of this submission**

**SUBMISSION TO NELSON CITY COUNCIL ON 'NELSON 2060'**

- 1.1. Federated Farmers appreciates the opportunity to submit on the document 'Nelson 2060'.
- 1.2. Our interest in 'Nelson 2060' arises from the importance of Nelson as an economic, social and cultural centre. Nelson City anchors the whole of the top of the south and it is in everyone's interest that it develops as a vibrant and prosperous place to work and live as we move into the 21<sup>st</sup> Century.
- 1.3. The current global socio-political environment is very challenging and subject to rapid change. Industries and economic activities that are economically competitive today may not be tomorrow, and it is very hard to accurately predict future trends or outcomes. The only certainty is uncertainty. We must build resilience into our communities so that we are able to manage change. To achieve this, the community first and foremost requires economic prosperity, flexible and enabling regulation, and confidence that Nelson is more than just an aspirational place to live.
- 1.4. Federated Farmers are concerned about the region wide prescriptive requirements of the document such as controlling land and water resources outside of the Nelson City area, designing for a low carbon economy costs and benefits, 'creating economic opportunities based on providing affordable food', and 'reducing consumption so that resources are shared more fairly'. These aspirational goals are promoted with little more than general ideas as to how they might be achieved. Nelson 2060 may be a highly desirable and aesthetically attractive place to work and live but will be of little value to people, particularly our young people, without appropriate employment growth opportunities that offer more than sunshine wages. Prescriptive interventions are as likely to hobble growth as they are to enhance social wellbeing.
- 1.5. Nelson 2060 does little to address known demographic challenges, for both young and old, associated with an aging population. The strategy does not address the lack of opportunities for young people to prosper through a high value regional economy and attain ownership of their own house, even though these are key threats to the future prosperity of Nelson city. The lack of affordable land for housing in Nelson coupled with high housing costs are key threats to the resilience of the Nelson community because it transfers vital capital away from the productive economy and innovative investment into an unaffordable housing market. Young Nelson people who are struggling to achieve life-goals locally, frequently take their energy, skills, and ambition elsewhere.
- 1.6. Nelson 2060 also under emphasises Nelsons connection to nearby regions. Nelson city is a critical port and social and economic centre that anchors the top of the South. It is inappropriate to consider the future aspirations of Nelson without commitment from the whole of the Top of the South. In particular Nelson 2060 needs to focus on provision of infrastructure integration with Tasman District and Marlborough, and taking an enabling approach to growth so that communities are able to provide for their own wellbeing.

### Decisions Sought:

#### 1.7. Federated Farmers seeks that Nelson 2060 is revised to:

- Enhance the importance of economic prosperity, flexible and enabling regulation, and confidence that Nelson is resilient to change and more than just an aspirational place to live;
- Remove prescriptive recommendations based on assumptions as to what will happen in the future and that intervene to an inappropriate extent in the activities of people;
- Specifically address issues with lack of opportunities for youth, including an unaffordable housing market and barriers to capital investment and innovation;
- Specifically address demographic challenges associated with an ageing population;
- Focus on the essential role of Nelson as an economic and social centre for the top of the south, including the critical importance of the primary productive sectors, the port and connecting infrastructure.

## 2. ABOUT FEDERATED FARMERS

2.1. Nelson welcomes the opportunity to submit on Nelson 2060.

2.2. Federated Farmers of New Zealand is a primary sector organisation that represents farming and other rural businesses. Federated Farmers has a long and proud history of representing the needs and interests of New Zealand farmers.

2.3. The Federation aims to add value to its members' farming business. Our key strategic outcomes include the need for New Zealand to provide an economic and social environment within which:

2.3.1. Our members may operate their business in a fair and flexible commercial environment;

2.3.2. Our members' families and their staff have access to services essential to the needs of the rural community; and

2.3.3. Our members adopt responsible management and environmental practices.

Gavin O'Donnell  
 Provincial President  
 Nelson Province  
 Federated Farmers of New Zealand

**From:** Submissions  
**Sent:** Thursday, 28 March 2013 4:16 p.m.  
**To:** Administration Support  
**Subject:** FW: Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
  
**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed  
  
**Categories:** Red Category

-----  
**From:** Council Enquiries (Enquiry)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 4:15:44 PM  
**To:** Submissions  
**Subject:** Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

## Framing our Future Submission on draft strategy

**Your name**

Debs Martin

**Organisation (optional)**

not applicable, but belong to Nelson Tasman Weedbusters and Forest & Bird

**Your email address**

[debsmartin@snap.net.nz](mailto:debsmartin@snap.net.nz)

**Your phone number**

9893355

**Your postal address**

96 Biggsburn Way, Todds Valley, RD 1, Nelson 7071

**Would you like to speak about your submission at a Council meeting?**

Yes

**1. What do you think of Nelson 2060, is it an inspiring vision of the future you want?**

I think the vision has a lot of strength in it. However, it is remarkably light on the biodiversity values and the importance they plan within Nelson City.

**2. Do you see yourself as having a part to play in helping Nelson achieve the vision?**

Yes, me and my partner are very active in biodiversity

**3. What are you already doing to help Nelson achieve the vision?**

AS above.

**4. If you were going to take action would Nelson 2060 be helpful?**

Yes, helps provide a framework for the future - provided our natural heritage is given centre stage.

**5. What else could help you to take action**

Do most of what I can

**6. Are you interested in working together, either with Council or other groups and organisations?**

Through Biodiversity Forum - we already do. My submission supports that of the Biodiversity Forum

**7. Do you have any other comments or thoughts?**

This MUST proceed as a matter of priority and be given statutory weight in decision making

**Would you like to upload a document in support of your submission?**