



# AGENDA

**Ordinary meeting of the  
Nelson City Council**

**To Deliberate on Submissions to the Rutherford  
and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan**

**Tuesday 2 April 2013  
Commencing at the conclusion of the Nelson City Council –  
Community Services meeting  
Council Chamber  
Civic House  
110 Trafalgar Street, Nelson**

Membership:

His Worship the Mayor Aldo Miccio, Councillors Ian Barker, Ali Boswijk (Deputy Mayor), Gail Collingwood, Ruth Copeland, Eric Davy, Kate Fulton, Paul Matheson, Jeff Rackley, Pete Rainey, Rachel Reese, Derek Shaw and Mike Ward

**2 April 2013**

1480273

---

Page No.

## **Apologies**

## **Opening Prayer**

### **1. Interests**

- 1.1 Updates to the Interests Register
- 1.2 Identify any conflicts of interest in the agenda

### **2. Confirmation of Order of Business**

### **3. Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan 3-31**

Document number 1454967

Recommendation

***THAT the Council considers and deliberates on the submissions received to the Long Term Plan 2012-22 that relate to the Draft Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan (1238139)***

***AND THAT the Council makes decisions on all submissions received on the Draft Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan (1238139);***

***AND THAT the Draft Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan (1238139) be amended to reflect the Councils decisions on submissions and brought back to a future Council meeting for adoption.***

Note: For background information, a copy of the Long Term Plan submissions on the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan is available on the Councillors Google drive, and in the Councillors Lounge.

---

## Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan

---

### 1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 To consider the submissions to the Long Term Plan 2012-22 (LTP) which relate to the Draft Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan (the Development Plan) and
- 1.2 To provide guidance to Councillors in their deliberations on the submissions received.

### 2. Recommendation

***THAT the Council considers and deliberates on the submissions received to the Long Term Plan 2012-22 that relate to the Draft Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan (1238139)***

***AND THAT the Council makes decisions on all submissions received on the Draft Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan (1238139);***

***AND THAT the Draft Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan (1238139) be amended to reflect the Councils decisions on submissions and brought back to a future Council meeting for adoption.***

### 3. Background

- 3.1 Council adopted the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Reserve Management Plan (the Reserve Management Plan) in 2010. The Reserve Management Plan identified the need for a Development Plan.
- 3.2 The role of a development plan is to provide an integrated visual representation of future development notwithstanding that it is subject to change according to Council decisions made from time to time.
- 3.3 In 2011 a Concept Development Plan was produced. This was used as the basis for community input. A series of workshops were held and informal consultation was carried out seeking views on the Concept

Development Plan. All leaseholders in the parks were contacted directly to obtain their views. A range of issues were raised, including:

- Access to the parks;
- Parking;
- The need for a mix of activities to be catered for;
- The importance of the Trafalgar Centre as an activity hub;
- The possible removal of community buildings.

3.4 A Draft Development Plan (Attachment 1) was prepared, taking into account the feedback received from the Concept Development Plan consultation and the objectives of the Reserve Management Plan. This was presented to Council on 15 March 2012.

3.5 At that meeting the Council resolved:

*THAT the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan (Document 1238139, Attachment 1) be approved in principle subject to LTP consultation.*

3.6 The Draft Development Plan was released for consultation as part of the LTP in April 2012. All submissions received then were acknowledged and submitters were contacted once the decisions on the LTP had been made.

3.7 While the Development Plan was not adopted, the Council responded to requests for fiscal restraint by reducing the allocation for the ten years by \$7 million. Sufficient funding remained to improve parking and access ways to the Trafalgar Centre. Funding for the Northern End of the Trafalgar Centre to be built at a cost of \$10.2m was included. The Maitai Walkway development and a destination playground would also contribute to the development of the park over the next three years.

3.8 Council has not formally considered the detailed points made by submitters about the specifics of the Development Plan

3.9 Concluding formal consultation on the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan will allow staff to proceed with the planning of two projects identified in the Development Plan and signalled in the LTP 2012-22. These are the destination playground design in 2013/14 and construction in 2014/15; and changes to the car park in 2015/16. Early discussion with lessees is required as these projects may impact on the Pipe Band, Community Arts and Rifle Club buildings.

## **4. Discussion**

### **Submissions to the LTP 2012-22**

- 4.1 The Council received 42 submissions related to the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan. A summary of these submissions, staff comment, guidance and recommendations is included as Attachment 2 to this report.
- 4.2 Twelve of these submissions were in full support of the Development Plan. Twelve submissions opposed spending money on the Development Plan with five of these stating the Development Plan was desirable but should be delayed until the economy improves.

### **Council Decisions made during the 2012-22 LTP**

- 4.3 Council made a number of relevant decisions as part of the LTP process. In summary these were:
- That the draft Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan be considered in light of issues raised through submissions to the draft Long Term Plan and brought back to Council for approval.
  - Approval for the redevelopment of the northern end of the Trafalgar Centre.
  - That the following funds are allocated for specific projects in Rutherford Park: \$1.86 million for the Maitai shared path in years one and two; \$760,000 for a destination playground in years two and three; \$1.67 million for the development of car parking and roading improvements in year four; \$45,000 and \$58,000 for the Paru Paru Road entranceway enhancement in years one and five respectively.
  - That Council was open to receive a proposal from the community for a weir in the lower reaches of the Maitai River.
  - That \$218,000 is reinstated in the Long Term Plan in year one for improving the surface of eight tennis courts in Rutherford Park in lieu of providing four additional courts.

### **Response to Submitters**

- 4.4 Council wrote to all LTP submitters following the LTP decisions. Submitters were advised that:
- Ongoing discussions were proposed with tenants affected by the proposed plans for Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks;

- Those submitters who requested a weir were invited to discuss this option further with Andrew Petheram; Principle Adviser Reserves and Community Facilities
- Council had prioritised spending to ensure sufficient parking and adequate access to the Trafalgar Centre;
- Concerns over changes to Haven Road as part of the Development Plan were noted and would be considered as the Plan is developed.

### **Scope of Deliberations**

- 4.5 Deliberations are to be constrained to the scope of the proposal and the submissions received in relation to the Development Plan. Refer to Attachment 2 for staff comments, guidance and recommendations.
- 4.6 Council now has the opportunity to consider the points raised by submitters and to direct staff to make further changes to the Development Plan as it sees fit.
- 4.7 Staff will then finalise the Development Plan and present it to Council for formal approval at a subsequent Council meeting.

### **5. Conclusion**

- 5.1 Council now has the opportunity to make decisions on the submissions to the Long Term Plan 2012-22 in relation to the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan. This will enable staff to make any amendments necessary and bring it back to Council for formal adoption.
- 5.2 Adoption of the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan will then allow work to continue on the specific projects that have been approved as part of its implementation and that have funding allocated in the Long Term Plan 2012-22.

Andrew Petheram

**Principal Adviser – Reserves and Community Facilities**

### **Attachments**

Attachment 1: Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan [1238139](#)

Attachment 2: Summary of LTP submissions related to the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan [1460152](#)

Supporting information follows.

## Supporting Information

### 1. Fit with Purpose of Local Government

The Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan fits with the purpose because it will provide good quality local infrastructure.

The plan is a cost effective option because it includes flexibility to respond to changing recreation use over time. It also proposes to make more effective use of a public asset (Rutherford Park) by encouraging a greater proportion of ratepayers and visitors to make use of this area in future. Use of Rutherford Park is currently limited by specific organisations' historic uses and facilities.

### 2. Fit with Community Outcomes and Council Priorities

Community Outcomes:

Healthy Land, Sea, Air and Water – we protect the environment.

People-Friendly Places – we build healthy, accessible and attractive places and live in a sustainable region.

Kind, Healthy People – we are part of a welcoming, safe, inclusive and healthy community.

A Fun, Creative Culture – we are proud of our creative local culture and regional identity.

Community Priorities:

A Leading Lifestyle – a place where a good lifestyle doesn't have to cost a lot of money, and where simple pleasures are valued.

Developing Community Hubs – physical spaces and buildings where people can gather and engage with each other.

Easy access to an active lifestyle - strengthening links between people and the natural environment.

### 3. Fit with Strategic Documents

The Long Term Plan 2012-22 includes activities for years 2, 3 and 4 to implement the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan.

This plan is well aligned with the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Reserve Management Plan which includes the following vision for the two parks:

Rutherford Park will provide space for cultural and other events as well as open space for recreation. It will attract use because of its facilities for events, its high quality landscape, its links with the Maitai River and its active transport connections.

Trafalgar Park will continue to be developed as the premier spectator venue in the city. Its environs will be attractive, accessible, and well connected.

**4. Sustainability**

This project proposes to use land more efficiently, for wider public benefit than is currently occurring. This will improve public access to leisure opportunities. Energy efficient lighting is proposed, which will also enhance community safety in the area. The project takes into account future demographic changes by allowing for changing use over time.

**5. Consistency with other Council policies**

This project is consistent with the objectives and policies for the Open Space and Recreation Zone of the Nelson Resource Management Plan, particularly:

Objective OS1 – Maintaining the social well-being and health of the community by recognising and enhancing opportunities for use of open space and recreation land.

Policy OS1.1 – The amenity provided by open space and recreation areas should be recognised and protected.

Objective OS2 – Retain the opportunity to provide for changing community needs and aspirations.

Policy OS2.1 – The use of land in this Zone should be reviewed on a regular basis to ensure that changing community needs are being met.

**6. Long Term Plan/Annual Plan reference and financial impact**

Page 158-159: Rutherford/Trafalgar Park Development Plan capital expenditure

2012/13: \$109,000

2013/14: \$83,000

2014/15: \$676,000

2015/16: \$1,674,000

**Maitai walkway:** pages 65 & 71

2012/13: \$450,000 (without subsidy)

2013/14: \$1,250,000 (without subsidy)

2013/14: \$1,000,000 (with subsidy)

**7. Decision-making significance**

This is not a significant decision in terms of the Council's Significance Policy.

**8. Consultation**

Extensive consultation has been carried out with the community. Significant consultation regarding the future direction of the parks was carried out during the development of the HON Strategy (2009) and the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Reserve Management Plan (2010). A comprehensive consultation program has been carried out during the development of the design concept

**9. Inclusion of Māori in the decision making process**











Local iwi were involved throughout the process. This included a hui at the Marae, design workshops with the Heart of Nelson Iwi Arts & Design Advisory Panel and ongoing discussions with local iwi.

**10. Delegation register reference**

Not applicable



- 1 Central Pedestrian Spine
- 2 Paru Paru Road - Park Boulevard
- 3 Flexible events lawns
- 4 Maitai Walkway River Promenade
- 5 Point Park marking old shoreline with stone seating wall and estuary edge gardens
- 6 Small scale events / sculpture lawn
- 7 Flexible petanque, performance, and temporary sculpture space
- 8 Playscape - children's landscaped playground with kiosk / public toilet / changing facility adjacent
- 9 Playscape - youth play & skate park
- 10 Croquet Courts
- 11 Halifax Street & Anzac Park Pedestrian Connections
- 12 Saltwater Creek edge enhancement & pathways
- 13 Moller Fountain parkland
- 14 Trafalgar Centre with Northern Extension and East Entry Foyer / Gallery
- 15 Multi-use plaza accommodating service, events space/parking
- 16 Trafalgar Centre drop off
- 17 New landscaped car parks
- 18 The Landing including improved small boat launching
- 19 New footpath & river walkway with boardwalk / deck access & outlook to Maitai River edge
- 20 Multi functional community facilities
- 21 Trafalgar Park river lawn - flexible events space
- 22 Estuarine ecological gardens including boardwalks, islands, and bird hides
- 23 Kinzett Terrace flexible events lawn
- 24 Nelson gateway sculpture
- 25 Boardwalk / Pier
- 26 New eastern Grandstand & Multi functional recreation facility
- 27 Boardwalk to Peach Grove and Haven Holes

-  New building
-  Existing building
-  Existing trees
-  New trees
-  Lawn
-  Limechip
-  Vehicle path and footpath
-  Boardwalk / Bridge / Deck

0m 100m

SCALE 1:1,500 @ A1

**LTP submissions related to the Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks Development Plan – Council’s response, staff comments and recommendations**

Submission No	Name	Organisation	Submission Points	Council’s Response and Staff Comments	Staff Recommendations
640	George Truman		<p>Put a weir below Trafalgar Bridge</p> <p>Make some allowance for commuter cars in general vicinity</p>	<p><u>Council’s response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>Council considered the suggestion to build a weir on the Maitai River and is open to receiving a proposal to take this forward although no funds have been allocated to construct a weir.</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The idea of a weir has been around for a number of years and will need careful consideration. This will include consenting issues relating to the environment, water quality, impact on flooding, sea level rise and long term maintenance. A weir is also likely to have implications on the integrity of the tidal terraces that are part of the Maitai walkway design.</p> <p>Since a weir was not indicated on the draft Development Plan other submitters did not have an opportunity to comment on this.</p> <p>Commuter parking will be considered as part of the NCC carparking study</p>	<p>Staff recommend that a weir is not shown on the Development Plan at this stage</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>The Development Plan will be a guidance document that can change over time and a weir if considered appropriate may be added in future subject to further investigation.</p>

865	Brad Josse	Results Gym	<p>Develop water based access for Waka Ama and possibly canoe, kayaks and rowing;</p> <p>Develop a circular path/loop so that terrace is not simply a dead end;</p> <p>Develop the area behind Trafalgar Park as practice for Rugby</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>Council heard a number of submissions from tenants affected by the proposed plans for Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks and will be considering the proposed plan further before approving it.</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The policy aim of the Rutherford &amp; Trafalgar Parks Reserve Management Plan (RMP) for Kinzett Terrace is to attract people to a unique natural coastal setting and to maximise biodiversity benefits. Further there is difficulty gaining access to the Maitai channel at this point.</p> <p>A circular path/loop across the state highway can be considered at a later stage but will need to be supported by NZTA</p> <p>The area behind Trafalgar Park has been designed as a flexible events space that could be used for Rugby practice</p>	<p>Staff recommend that a water based access from Kinzett Terrace to the Maitai River not be indicated on the Development Plan at this time and that further investigation is needed about its feasibility.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>Provision of water based access is likely to conflict with the policy aim of the RMP and further investigation on the feasibility should occur prior to including this option</p>
861	Mary Wilson	Results Gym	Refer to submission 865 above	Refer to submission 865 above	
532	William Rush	Member of Nelson Croquet Club	Support many parts of the plan and path network in general but don't support	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>Council heard a number of submissions from tenants affected by the proposed plans for Rutherford and</p>	Staff recommend that the Rutherford Park central path remains as shown in the draft

		and ratepayer	<p>path across croquet lawns as would be very costly</p> <p>Concerned that once the plan is approved it will be difficult to change; want to be further consulted until final plans are drawn.</p> <p>Cost to construct new bridge over Saltwater creek can't be justified</p>	<p>Trafalgar Parks and will be considering the proposed plan further before approving it.</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The crime prevention objective of the RMP is to maintain good sightlines through the Parks. The draft Development Plan identifies the central path as part of a walk and cycling network that aims to improve accessibility and visibility for visitors to the park.</p> <p>The central walkway is a significant design factor encouraging pedestrian and cycling access into Rutherford Park. Feedback during the consultation process was generally favourable. If the Council decides to include this in the draft Development Plan and to proceed with this in future then the croquet clubs would be consulted on the design of physical separation to ensure minimal disruption to their sport.</p> <p>If Councillors decide to include vehicular access to Haven Road via a bridge over Saltwater Creek in the draft Development Plan then the decision to fund this work would be consulted with the community during the Long Term Plan process.</p> <p>A new bridge and vehicular access will improve traffic flows during events and improve access to Rutherford Park.</p>	<p>Development Plan</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>The central path meets the crime prevention objectives of the RMP, encourages greater use of Rutherford Park by providing better walking and cycling access.</p> <p>Staff recommend that a bridge for vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians linking Rutherford Park to Haven Road remains as shown in the draft Development Plan</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>Any financial decision about the bridge will be subject to future LTP decisions. Additional vehicle access to the park will improve traffic flows during events and make the park more accessible.</p>
--	--	---------------	--	---	--

			Support closing of Saltwater Creek Lane but need to provide access from Paru Paru road and enough carparking for croquet club members and lawn maintenance staff	Access from Paru Paru road is provided for in the draft Development Plan.	
813	Doug Nottage	Croquet	The proposed central pathway is unnecessary and costly and would result in player distraction and potential danger to unaware pedestrians; suggest alternative location for the path east of the courts;	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>Council heard a number of submissions from tenants affected by the proposed plans for Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks and will be considering the proposed plan further before approving it.</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The crime prevention objective of the RMP is to maintain good sightlines through the Parks. The draft Development Plan identifies the central path as part of a walking and cycling network that aims to improve accessibility and visibility for visitors to the park.</p> <p>The central walkway is a significant design factor encouraging pedestrian and cycling access into Rutherford Park. Feedback during the consultation process was generally favourable. If the Council decides to include this in the Development Plan and to proceed with this in future then the croquet clubs would be consulted on the design of physical separation to ensure minimal disruption to their sport.</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the Rutherford Park central path remains as shown in the draft Development Plan</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>The central path meets the crime prevention objectives of the RMP, encourages greater use of Rutherford Park by providing better walking and cycling access</p>

			Reconsider staging as the path runs through squash building.	Staging through the Long Term Plan would be considered.	
801	Cathy Madigan	Uniquely Nelson	<p>Concerned about proposed changes to entry and exit to Rutherford Park</p> <p>Concerned about reduction of carparking on asphalt.</p> <p>Carparking should be increased if capacity of Trafalgar Centre increases.</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The vehicle entry and exit point at Haven Road was included in the draft Development Plan to improve traffic flows during large events. Its design will be carefully considered in future stages. If the capacity of the Trafalgar centre increases this is likely to impair traffic flows given there is currently only one entry and exit point to Rutherford Park.</p> <p>The aim of the RMP policy on carparking is to limit carparking and to have no expectation that capacity on-site parking will be provided. The aim is to improve walking and cycle linkages.</p> <p>The draft Development Plan provides for overflow carparking on purpose built durable grass areas that can be used for multiple purposes. The advantage of this is more space for flexible green event lawns when there are no major events. The disadvantage would be that during sustained rain events the lawns may not adequately recover between events to deliver high quality overflow carparking.</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the entry and exit points remain as shown in the draft Development Plan</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>Additional vehicle access to the park will improve traffic flows during events and make the park more accessible. The design will be subject to any future changes to surrounding infrastructure and its implications will be investigated in future stages.</p> <p>Staff recommend that the car park provision as shown on the draft Development Plan remain as shown.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>To provide overflow carparking on purpose built event lawns will make the design more flexible and adaptable for future use. It will also encourage walking and cycling which has been provided for through an improved path network.</p>

796	Gail Noonan	Hathaway Terrace	Support in principle but do not support commercial activity	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The RMP objective on commercial activities is to permit those which will enhance the enjoyment of Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks, and Kinzett Terrace, and which are compatible with the vision, uses and capacity of the Parks</p> <p>The only commercial activity indicated in the development plan is the provision of a kiosk adjacent to the Maitai Walkway in the vicinity of the destination playground. A kiosk will provide a space for parents to relax and have refreshments while watching children play and could attract more visitors to the park. Commercial activity if not carefully managed could detract from the high quality urban objectives for the Rutherford Park</p> <p>Remove the new footpath on Hathaway terrace</p> <p>There is currently a substandard path along the Maitai on Hathaway terrace. The new footpath will improve access and provide a safer connection from Trafalgar Street to Trafalgar Park. This will attract more visitors to the park but may impact on nearby residents as this may increase the noise at night time.</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the small kiosk remains as shown on the draft Development Plan</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>Provision of a small kiosk will meet the vision and objectives of the RMP as it will enhance the enjoyment of the playground and Rutherford Park. The management of commercial activity associated with the kiosk will be subject to future lease agreements with Council and will be further considered at that stage.</p> <p>Staff recommend that this path remains as shown on the draft Development Plan</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>The new footpath will improve access. This will attract visitors to Trafalgar Park and also improve pedestrian circulation during events</p>
-----	-------------	------------------	---	---	--



788	Anne Beeching	Trafalgar Centre Management	<p>Finish of upgrade to Trafalgar Centre, it is a great asset and very versatile.</p> <p>Do not “cut down” car parks. They service so many venues and events and would impact on elderly, infirm and physically disabled.</p> <p>Provide more general use area. There is too much “play green” such as croquet and tennis which suburbs cover already</p>	<p><u>Council’s response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>Many of the suggestions that were made by the submitter regarding Rutherford Park and the Trafalgar Centre have been agreed by Council. The Northern End of the centre will be completed to create a multi-use venue, and Council has prioritised spending to ensure sufficient parking and adequate access to the centre.</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Upgrade of the Trafalgar Centre was included in the LTP.</p> <p>For staff comments on car parking refer to submission 801</p> <p>The aim of the RMP policy on carparking is to limit carparking and to have no expectation that capacity on-site parking will be provided. The aim is to improve walking and cycle linkages.</p> <p>The development plan provides for overflow carparking on purpose built durable grass areas that can be used for multiple purposes. The advantage of this is more space for flexible green event lawns when there are no major events. The disadvantage would be that during sustained rain events the lawns may not recover in between events to deliver high quality overflow carparking.</p> <p>The development plan shows several flexible event lawns that can cater for a variety of uses. If no provisions are made in the development plan for tennis and croquet than</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the car park provision as shown on the Development Plan remains as shown.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>To provide overflow carparking on purpose built event lawns will make the design more flexible and adaptable for future use. It will also encourage walking and cycling which has been provided for through an improved path network. Access for elderly, infirm and physically disabled can specifically be provided for through allocation of car parks.</p> <p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission point</p>
-----	---------------	-----------------------------	---	--	---

				this will create uncertainty for their members and impact negatively on them.	<u>Reason:</u> The use and activities on the parks can and will change over time. The draft Development Plan reflects this and allows flexibility.
786	Berril Paine and Queenie Balance	National Council of Woman in NZ (Nelson branch)	Generally support but query' upgrade cost of Maitai walkway in economic hard times	<u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u> No specific response <u>Staff comments</u> Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans	Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission <u>Reason:</u> No changes to the Plan were requested
783	Addo Mulders		Support fully	<u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u> No specific response	Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission <u>Reason:</u> No changes to the Plan were requested
17	Gordon McIndoe		Council should finish Trafalgar Centre and put Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks development on hold for more prosperous times	<u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u> No specific response <u>Staff comments</u> Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans	Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission <u>Reason:</u> No changes to the Plan were requested
742	EC and PM Young		No more money should be spent on Trafalgar Park	<u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u> No specific response <u>Staff comments</u> Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions	Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission <u>Reason:</u> No changes to the Plan were requested

				during future Long Term Plans	
711	P and C Heywood	New Zealand Automobile Association	<p>Support turning Maitai Walkway into river promenade</p> <p>Support moving Paru Paru Road away from Maitai River edge, Support separation of cycling and walking from vehicular traffic</p> <p>Support good pedestrian and cycle access</p> <p>Access to sport facilities already established must allow the use of vehicles.</p> <p>Do not support incorporating Mollers fountain green space into Rutherford Park by removing the city bound lane on Haven road</p> <p>Do not support reduction of carparking spaces below current 330. Submit that carparking spaces must be reviewed.</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No funding has been allocated in the 2012-22 Long Term Plan for changes to Haven Road as proposed in the Rutherford and Trafalgar Park Plan. Council will proceed with upgrades to the Trafalgar Centre, and has allocated funding to improve car parking and accessways to the Centre via Paru Paru Road.</p> <p><u>Staff response:</u></p> <p>Following a number of responses during consultation the draft plan has been amended to address concerns about Haven Road lane.</p> <p>The aim of the RMP policy on carparking is to limit carparking and to have no expectation that capacity on-site parking will be provided. The aim is to improve walking and cycle linkages.</p> <p>The development plan provides for overflow carparking on</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the car park provision as shown on the draft Development Plan remain as shown.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>To provide overflow carparking on purpose built event lawns will make the design more flexible and adaptable for future use. It will also encourage walking and cycling which has been provided for through an improved path network.</p>

				purpose built durable grass areas that can be used for multiple purposes. The advantage of this is more space for flexible green event lawns when there are no major events. The disadvantage would be that during sustained rain events the lawns may not recover in between events to deliver high quality overflow carparking.	
853	Justine Whitfield		Support	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the Plan were requested</p>
10	Hamilton Baxter		Don't spend any more money spent until economy improves	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the Plan were requested</p>
766	J Lincoln B Breen		<p>Query the expense of development</p> <p>Expenditure on cycling should not be at expense of vehicles</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the Plan were requested</p>
764	Robin Smith	Citizens Advice Bureau	Citizens advice bureau facility in Rutherford Park has limitations due to location. Will move when	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>In response to the submitters request to co-locate in the Elma Turner Library, staff have been aware of this for some time and will look at this in the lead up to the design</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the Plan were requested</p>

			<p>this part is developed.</p> <p>Request to be relocated to library</p>	<p>of the proposed extension.</p> <p><u>Staff response:</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to consider relocating the Citizens Advice Bureau to the Elma Turner Library during future discussions on proposed library extensions</p>	
550	C Ratcliffe		<p>Queries expense and rates rises</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the Plan were requested</p>
500	Inga Schmidt		<p>Trafalgar Centre is a good investment if money is spare.</p> <p>Development of urban park between city and sea is good idea if money is spare</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the Plan were requested</p>
680	Paula Holden		<p>Support Trafalgar Centre, Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks development and in particular playground</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>
673	John Goodman		<p>Support continuing Heart of Nelson development, Maitai walkway and Rutherford</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p>

			and Trafalgar Parks development		No changes to the plan were requested
663	Graeme Vallance	Nelson Defence Small Bore Rifle Club	Wish to stay at Rutherford Park, The facility is very important to the future of the sport in the top of the South Island; rifle range had been feature for 60 years, caters for 13-70 year, Central location is important to cater for Nelson College students	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>Council heard a number of submissions from tenants affected by the proposed plans for Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks and will be considering the proposed plan further before approving it.</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The RMP policy aims to build community buildings in a logical cluster and to remove redundant buildings that are not part of such clusters over time.</p> <p>The rifle club building is in the area where the development plan shows an active and flexible community space for performance, events and temporary sculpture.</p> <p>Although the lease with the Nelson Small Bore Rifle Club has expired staff recommend that discussions continue with the Rifle Club, the Nelson Lawns Tennis Club, the Nelson Squash Club, the Marist Rugby Football Club, the Nelson Rugby Football Club, the Highland Pipe Band and Community Arts to assist with new locations.</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the active flexible community space adjacent the river promenade should remain as shown on the draft Development Plan.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>That staff continue discussions with affected lessees to assist with alternative locations</p>
448	Patrick Doherty		Supports upgrade of Trafalgar Centre and Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks development	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>

44	Chris Pawson		Spending is excessive, Council should focus on essential services	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>
1439	Martin Leeper		Sounds like a good idea, but this is vast amount of money, Suggest Council look at a more frugal scheme	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>
398	Amanda Young		Supports implementation of plan	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>
613	D Wastney		No to Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks - a desirable dream for the future	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>
577	Maree Shalders	Youth Nelson	Very much support the ideas for Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks development	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p>

					<p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>
557	Bill Leonard		Unnecessary	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>
554	Margaret Cotton		<p>Trafalgar Centre does not need 3m spent in three year. Spread spending out to ten years.</p> <p>Closing of part of Haven Road to make a park between the green median and Saltwater Creek will cause huge traffic problems at peak time and over Christmas. The Auckland Point School; will become dangerous for children to get to school.</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>The Trafalgar Centre upgrade will go ahead as the feedback was generally supportive that the centre needs completing. The centre will not be built as a conference centre, but a multi-use facility focusing on events.</p> <p>There were a number of submitters concerned about the changes to Haven Road as part of the Rutherford Park development. This feedback will be considered as the park plan is developed.</p> <p><u>Staff response:</u></p> <p>Following a number of responses during consultation the draft plan has been amended to address concerns about Haven road lane.</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>Changes to Haven Road as requested by submitter were made during consultation and the draft plan has been amended to address concerns about Haven road lane.</p>
669	Sara Leach		Nice idea but don't support spending money at this stage	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the Plan were requested</p>



				during future Long Term Plans	
619	Helen Lees		Support, the area is in need of beautifying	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the Plan were requested</p>
616	Marion McNicoll		Support the development	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>
596	Joan Skurr		<p>Rutherford and Trafalgar Park development although desirable is not a priority</p> <p>The edge of the Maitai river should be considered the first project to go ahead</p> <p>It is unsatisfactory to divide the croquet lawns with a walkway. There is no need for the central walkway.</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p> <p>The Maitai walkway project is scheduled to begin in April 2013.</p> <p>The crime prevention objective of the RMP is to maintain good sightlines through the Parks. The development plan identifies the central path as part of a walk and cycling network that aims to improve accessibility and visibility for visitors to the park.</p> <p>The central walkway is a significant design factor encouraging pedestrian and cycling access into</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the Rutherford Park central path remains as shown in the draft Development Plan</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>The central path meets the crime</p>

		<p>There should be no crossing at Halifax Street between the traffic lights and Saltwater creek.</p> <p>Carparking near Trafalgar Centre should be retained and later extended across southern end of events lawn</p>	<p>Rutherford Park. Feedback during the consultation process was generally favourable. If the Council decides to include this in the Development Plan and to proceed with this in future then the croquet clubs would be consulted on the design of physical separation to ensure minimal disruption to their sport.</p> <p>The additional crossing will connect ANZAC park to Rutherford Park and will meet the two goals of the RMP to have a connected and accessible park. This crossing would be important if the central path in Rutherford Park remains in the Development Plan. Its design will be carefully considered in future stages</p> <p>The aim of the RMP policy on carparking is to limit carparking and to have no expectation that capacity on-site parking will be provided. The aim is to improve walking and cycle linkages.</p> <p>The draft Development Plan provides for overflow carparking on purpose built durable grass areas that can be used for multiple purposes. The advantage of this is more space for flexible green event lawns when there are no major events. The disadvantage would be that during sustained rain events the lawns may not recover in between events to deliver high quality overflow carparking.</p>	<p>prevention objectives of the RMP, encourages greater use of Rutherford Park by providing better walking and cycling access.</p> <p>Staff recommend that the car park provision as shown on the draft Development Plan remain as shown.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>To provide overflow carparking on purpose built event lawns will make the design more flexible and adaptable for future use. It will also encourage walking and cycling which has been provided for through an improved path network.</p>
571	Alex Grigg	Support strengthen riverside park	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p>

					No changes to the plan were requested
569	Mervyn Johns		Totally opposed to the cost	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments</u></p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p>	<p>Staff recommend that no changes are necessary as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>No changes to the plan were requested</p>
445	Kathryn Koopman schap	Member of Nelson Tennis Club	Lack of proper tennis facilities in Rutherford Park, wants to see more	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>Council agreed that \$218,000 be reinstated in the Long Term Plan in 2012/13 for improving the surface of eight tennis courts in Rutherford Park in lieu of providing four additional courts.</p> <p><u>Staff comment:</u></p> <p>This has now been addressed with 8 all weather surfaces and night lighting.</p>	<p>Staff recommend no changes to the Development Plan as a result of this submission</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>Eight all weather surfaces and night lighting was provided to the tennis club in lieu of providing four additional courts</p>
408	Stu Easton		<p>Don't reduce parking around Trafalgar Centre,</p> <p>Paru Paru road should not cross Saltwater Creek; too expensive an exercise</p> <p>Retain both lanes at Haven</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The aim of the RMP policy on carparking is to limit carparking and to have no expectation that capacity on-site parking will be provided. The aim is to improve walking and cycle linkages.</p> <p>The draft Development Plan provides for overflow carparking on purpose built durable grass areas that can</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the car park provision as shown on the draft Development Plan remain as shown.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>To provide overflow carparking on purpose built event lawns will make the design more flexible and adaptable for</p>

			Road	<p>be used for multiple purposes. The advantage of this is more space for flexible green event lawns when there are no major events. The disadvantage would be that during sustained rain events the lawns may not recover in between events to deliver high quality overflow carparking.</p> <p>Councillors have the opportunity to make these decisions during future Long Term Plans</p> <p>Both Lanes on Haven Road have been retained</p>	<p>future use. It will also encourage walking and cycling which has been provided for through an improved path network.</p>
1051	Lynn Baigent	Nelson Small Bore Rifle Association	<p>Wishes to remain in the area, concerned that playground will replace them. Similar points raised to Graeme Vallance submission 663 submission of reasons why they believe they should remain.</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>Council heard a number of submissions from tenants affected by the proposed plans for Rutherford and Trafalgar Parks and will be considering the proposed plan further before approving it.</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The RMP policy aims to build community buildings in a logical cluster and to remove redundant buildings that are not part of such clusters over time.</p> <p>The rifle club building is in the area where the development plan shows an active and flexible community space for performance, events and temporary sculpture.</p> <p>Although the lease with the Nelson Small Bore Rifle Club has expired staff recommend that discussions continue with the Rifle Club, the Nelson Lawns tennis Club, the Nelson Squash Club, the Marist Rugby Football Club, the</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the active flexible community space adjacent the river promenade should remain as shown on the Development plan.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>That staff continue discussions with affected lessees to assist with alternative locations</p>

				Nelson Rugby Football Club, the Highland Pipe Band and Community Arts to assist with new locations.	
85	I G D Beeching		<p>Don't reduce carparking,</p> <p>Don't provide bird watching hides (will become homes for alcoholics and homeless)</p> <p>No children playground next to public toilets and rivers</p>	<p><u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u></p> <p>No specific response</p> <p><u>Staff comments:</u></p> <p>The aim of the RMP policy on carparking is to limit carparking and to have no expectation that capacity on-site parking will be provided. The aim is to improve walking and cycle linkages.</p> <p>The development plan provides for overflow carparking on purpose built durable grass areas that can be used for multiple purposes. The advantage of this is more space for flexible green event lawns when there are no major events. The disadvantage would be that during sustained rain events the lawns may not recover in between events to deliver high quality overflow carparking.</p> <p>The policy aim of the RMP for Kinzett Terrace is to attract people to enjoy a unique natural coastal setting and maximise biodiversity benefits. The inclusion of bird hides fits with this aim. Their appropriateness will be considered when this stage is developed.</p> <p>The destination playground has been included in years two and three of the LTP. The playground is shown in the Development Plan next to the Maitai river promenade where it will be highly visible and where there is most</p>	<p>Staff recommend that the car park provision as shown on the Development plan remain as shown.</p> <p><u>Reason:</u></p> <p>To provide overflow carparking on purpose built event lawns will make the design more flexible and adaptable for future use. It will also encourage walking and cycling which has been provided for through an improved path network.</p> <p>Staff recommend that bird hides remain included in the description of estuarine ecological gardens on Kinzett Terrace</p> <p>Staff recommend that the playground remain as shown in the Development Plan</p>

			No rugby practice, use Trafalgar Park	activity.  The flexible event lawns provide for rugby practice along with other activities as required.	<u>Reason:</u>  Staff believe that the proposed site provides a high profile area suitable for a destination or regional playground. The distance from the nearest residential properties will ensure that small children will be dependent on adults to deliver them to the site and hence adult supervision should be present.
69	Tim Fraser-Harris		Support the plan and want to see the link to the city improved  Would like to see the area that comprises Burger King, car company and small offices turned into an attractive open space/courtyard to draw visitors into the park	<u>Staff comment:</u>  This is outside the area of Council owned land	
64	Angus McNeill		Would like to see a weir at the confluence of Maitai River and Saltwater Creek  Would like allowance for commuter cars in parks	<u>Council's response during LTP consideration:</u>  Council considered the suggestion to build a weir on the Maitai River and is open to receiving a proposal to take this forward although no funds have been allocated to construct a weir.  <u>Staff comments:</u>  The idea of a weir has been around for a number of years and will need careful consideration. This will include	Staff recommend that a weir is not shown on the development plan at this stage  <u>Reason:</u>  The development plan is a guidance document that can change over time and a weir if considered appropriate may be added in the future subject to further investigation.

				<p>consenting issues relating to the environment, water quality, impact on flooding, sea level rises and long term maintenance. A weir is also likely to have implications on the integrity of the tidal terraces that are part of the Maitai walkway design. Mr McNeil subsequently changed his preference to a series of natural shingle banks holding water at low tide. He has since advised that he has withdrawn his interest because of the possibility of poor water quality.</p> <p>Since a weir was not indicated on the development plan other submitters did not have an opportunity to comment on this.</p> <p>Commuter parking will be considered as part of the NCC carparking study</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--